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## PREFACE.

This volume contains the two Epistles to the Thessalonians, and the two Epistles to the Corinthians. The volume published in 1887 by the Messrs. Appleton contained the seven Epistles of Paul written after he became a prisoner. Still earlier, Notes on the Epistle to the Galatians and the Epistle to the Romans were published in one volume by the American Publication Society of Hebrew, and are now for sale at No. 122 Wabash Ave., Chicago, Ill., by Rev. F. G. Thearle.

The Epistles in the present volume involve theological and ethical questions of the highest importance. In the two Epistles to the Thessalonians we have the views of Paul on that subject which has engaged so much attention in all the centuries since Christianity was introduced into the world, - the coming of Christ.

In connection with the study of these two Epistles, the student will be greatly profited by a careful reading of President Hovey's recent work (published since my notes were written), entitled Biblical Eschatology. This is the most scholarly, thorough, and in all respects satisfactory discussion of the entire subject that I have ever seen.

In the First Epistle to the Corinthians we find a larger number of most important topics - theological and ethical - than in any other Epistle of the New Testament. The value of these presentations of divine truth cannot be over-
estimated. In the Second Epistle to the same church, we have the Christian experience of the apostle Paul under severe trials and anxieties, suggesting important lessons not only to Christian pastors of the present day, but also to believers in every position in this present life.

The Greek Text is the same as that in the former volume, - that of Tischendorf, with a constant comparison of the text of Tregelles, and of Westcott and Hort ; edited by Oscar de Gebhardt.

The notes are for the most part brief; and are intended to aid the student, or the pastor, in arriving with as few digressions as possible at the exact construction and meaning of the Greek. Three points are kept constantly in view, - the exact signification of words, the force of the Greek construction, and the logical connection of the sentences. No scholar can afford to neglect either one of these three points, whether in classic or New Testament Greek.

A few sentences from Bishop Ellicott's Preface to the First Epistle to the Corinthians may not be out of place here.
"The reader has before him an effort to ascertain, as far as possible, by means of a close and persistent consideration of the grammatical form and logical connexion of the language of the Original, what the inspired writer exactly desired to convey to the Church of Corinth, and to all readers of this profoundly interesting Epistle. . . . Whatever else this Commentary may be, it certainly expresses a judgment, formed in every case independently on grammatical and contextual considerations, though constantly corrected, supplemented, and enhanced by the labours of eminent and helpful predecessors. . . . Next I will presume to say this, - that if the student will patiently wade through these details of grammar he will be rewarded by a real knowledge of the mind of the Original,
which, so far as I know, cannot certainly be acquired in any other way. I am well aware that this is a sentiment which may not be quite in harmony with the tone of the hurried days in which we are living, and with the obvious tendency to escape, as far as possible, the trammels of laborious scholarship. Still, I must be permitted to say, it is true."

These points, from one of the most eminent living scholars and commentators, may well command attention.

I may conclude this Preface by expressing the hope in the words of this same scholar, "that this humble effort of waning life to set forth more clearly to the student the meaning of one of the most varied and most profoundly interesting portions of the Book of Life may receive some measure of the Divine blessing, and not only may help, but encourage, others to study more closely the light-giving and life-giving Word of God."

JAMES R. BOISE.
Morgan Park, Ill., May, 1889.

## EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS

## AT THE FOOT OF THE PAGES OF THE GREEK TEXT.

H, edition of Westcott and Hort; [H], the same editors, to indicate a probable interpolation ; $[[\mathbf{H}]]$, to indicate omissions in "Western" documents alone, or in "Western" and "Syrian"; also to indicate "important matter apparently derived from extraneous sources."

Fis $^{8}$ indicates a difference in $\mathbf{H}$ from Tischendorf; yet retained by Tischendorf as a marginal reading.
$\mathrm{H}^{*}$ indicates the same, except that the marginal reading is included in brackets $; \mathrm{H}_{\ddagger}$ indicates the same, except that the reading is included thus, $+\vdash$, "to indicate a word or words similar in character to the 'Western' interpolations already mentioned."
$h$ is a marginal reading of $\mathbf{H}: \nmid h \vdash$ a marginal reading included thus: +1 .
$\boldsymbol{T}$ indicates the reading of Tregelles; [T], $T^{s}, T^{*}$, see above, [H], $\mathrm{H}^{5}, \mathrm{H}^{*}$.
t is T in the margin; [ t ] a marginal reading included in brackets.

Ti indicates Tischendorf's eighth larger critical edition, where it differs from the text of Tauchnitz, third edition.

## ПPO $\Sigma$ ӨЕ $\Sigma \Sigma A \Lambda O N I K E I \Sigma$ A.

## I.





















 $[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{H}^{\S}$ om $\epsilon \nu$ ante $\nu \mu \nu \nu \quad 7 . \mathrm{h}$ tumovs 8. $\mathrm{T} \ddagger \mathrm{H}$ om $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta \mid \mathrm{H}$ $a \lambda \lambda$







 є $\rho \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ร.

## II.


II. 2. Acts xvi. 125s.


 $\pi$ pòs $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon v ̉ a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda l o \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \epsilon ُ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ủ ả $\hat{\omega} \nu l$.

5. Phil. i. 8.

4 Gal. i. мо.











9. $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ : h $\nu \mu \omega \nu$ 10. $\mathrm{H} \epsilon \kappa[\tau \omega \nu]$ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ II. 5. T
 $\rho о \mu$. | Н $\eta v \delta о к о ч \mu є \nu$











 $\lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ каì סógav.








 каì тòv ки́рьov ảтоктєเvávт $\omega \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ каì тоѝs $\pi \rho о$ -






IIs. TH $\pi а \rho а \mu \nu \theta o v \mu є \nu о \iota ~ к а є ~ \mu а р т v \rho о \mu є \nu о \iota ~(Н ~ \mu а р т и р о-~$ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$, 12. th калє $\sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ 13 . \mathrm{t} \mathrm{H}$ a $\lambda \eta \theta \omega s \in \sigma \pi \iota \nu 16 . \mathrm{h}$ єфӨакєу





19. Phil. iv. 1 ; 1 Thess. iii. 13 .



 каi $\dot{\eta}$ хара́.

## III.

III. z . Acts xvii. 6.
2. Rom. xvi. "2r.
6. Acts xviii. 5 .



 $\kappa а \grave{\imath} \pi а \rho а к а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma а \iota ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{3} \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta$ -




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \hat{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \omega s$ є́ $\pi \epsilon i ́ \rho a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ vi $\mu \hat{\varsigma}$ ó $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́-$






 5. $\mathrm{h} \tau \eta \nu \nu \mu \omega \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota \nu$







 $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon р \eta \not \mu a \tau a \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \iota ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ;$

 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ v́pâs $\delta є$ ò кúpıos $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon v i \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$






## IV.


 $\pi a \rho ' \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тò $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ن $\mu \hat{a} s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каì $\alpha \rho \epsilon \in-$








[^0]
6. Sir. v. 3 .





8. Luke x .16 ;

Ezek. xxxvi. 27.

 aủtov tò à áyıov єis vi $\mu a ̂ s$.






 i $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi a \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon i \lambda a \mu \epsilon \nu,{ }^{12}{ }_{i}^{\prime \prime} \nu^{\prime} a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \chi \eta$ -

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ког $\mu \omega \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu$, ǐva $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda v \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каӨ̀̀s каі оі




15s. 1 Cor. $x v$. 515. 23.














## V.










 $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa a \theta \leq u ́ \delta \omega \mu \in \nu$ فs oi $\lambda o \iota \pi o i, ~ i ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \eta \gamma o \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$
 סоvбıv, каi oi $\mu \in \theta v \sigma \kappa о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \nu v \kappa т о ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta \dot{o} о v \sigma \iota \nu$.







 тоルยิтє.

[^1]12. 1 Tim. v 17

 r. Mark ix. 50.







 16. Phil, iv 4.




22. Job i. I, 8. 23. Heb, xiii, 205.



 $\pi \tau \omega \varsigma$ èv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тapovaía $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ кирiov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' 'I $\eta \sigma о \hat{\nu}$
 каї $\pi о \iota \bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$.
25. 2 Thess. iii. $\mathbf{1}$.
26. Rom. xvi. $\mathbf{1 6}$, etc.
28. Phil. iv. 23 , etc.
${ }_{25}{ }^{\text {' }} A \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ$ ó, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad$ $\eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad{ }^{26} a \dot{a} \sigma \pi a ́-$

 Є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta \nu \nu \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̂ s . ~$
 $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 $\mathrm{H}[\delta \epsilon]$ 25. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon v \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon: \mathrm{t} \mathrm{H}$ add [kal] 27. a $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ois: [t]h pm ayıoıs 28. T subscr. $\pi \rho o s$ $\theta \in \sigma \sigma a \lambda$ oviceıs $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.

## MPO乏 ӨEइะAMONIKEIइ B.

## I.



 татро̀s $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ каì кขрíov 'I I бои̂ Xpıбтой.





 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ тoîs $\delta \omega \omega \gamma \mu o i ̂ s ~ v i \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì тaîs $\theta \lambda i ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ais


 $\theta \in \hat{\varphi} \hat{\omega}$ çuтato
 ciтокади́ $\psi \in \iota ~ т о \hat{v}$ кupiou 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ «' $\pi$ ' ov̉pavồ $\mu \in \tau$ '





[^2]







I2. Is. xxiv. 15 ;
luvi. 5 ; Mal. i. In.


 'Iŋбой Xpıбтoû.

## II.










4. Dan, xi. 35 ; Ezek. xxviif. 2; Is. xiv. 14 .






10. $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \theta \eta: h \uparrow$. II. 1. H $\tau<v \kappa v \rho[\eta \mu \omega \nu]$ 2. h кvрьоv,- 3. avoнlas: th a a





 батаvâ $\epsilon \nu \pi a ́ \sigma \eta ~ \delta \nu \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ́ o \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho а \sigma \iota \nu ~$


 $\pi \epsilon \prime \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ av̀тoîs ò $\theta$ єòs є̀ є́ $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a \nu \pi \lambda a ́ \nu \eta s$ єis тò $\pi \iota-$

 т $\hat{\eta}$ ảסıкía.














7. H avoulas . 8. H [ $\dagger \eta \sigma o v s] \mid a \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota: \mathrm{h}$ ava入ou 12. $\mathrm{H}^{s}$



## III.




 ${ }^{3} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ o ́ ~ к u ́ p ı o s ~ o ̂ s ~ \sigma \tau \eta р \iota \xi є \iota ~ v i \mu a ̂ s ~ к a i ~$
4. Gal. v. 10.
6. Rom, xvi, 17 ; I Cor. v. ir.



 и́тоиоуŋ̀̀ той Xрıбтоиิ.




7. I Thess. i. 6 . $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$. ${ }^{7}$ aủtoì $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ oíßatє $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta_{\epsilon \hat{\imath}} \mu \iota \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \eta \mu a ̂ s$,













[^3]





 $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тávт $\omega \nu$ vi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \stackrel{i}{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 $\mu \eta \sigma v y a v a \mu \iota \gamma \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota \quad$ 18. T subscr. $\pi \rho o s \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda o \nu i k є \iota s \beta^{\prime}$

## IPOE KOPINOIOY A.

## I.

I. 15s. 2 Cor. i. is.
I. Acts xviii. 17 .






 кирі́о 'I $\eta \sigma$ ồ Xрıбто̂̂.










 кขрíov $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$.
10. Phil. ii. 2.



[^4]












 $\sigma o \phi i ́ a ~ \lambda o ́ y o u, ~ " ̈ \nu a ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ к \epsilon \nu \omega \theta \hat{\imath}$ ó $\sigma \tau a u \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о \hat{v} X \rho \iota ~$


 $\tau \eta \nu \sigma \circ \phi_{i}^{\prime} a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, каì тìv $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бvve-




 то̂̂ кпри́ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ атоs $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ тоѝs $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ovtas. ${ }^{22}{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$




 aîdl $\tau \omega \theta \in \omega \mid \mathrm{H}$ yaîo 1 17. t [o] रpıのтos


 ро́тєроע т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ảע $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu .{ }^{26}$ B $\lambda \epsilon \prime \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ زà $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$







29. Eph. ii. 9.
${ }^{31}$. Jer. ix. 23 ; 2 Cor. x. 17 .






## II.









 ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu$ סvvá $\mu \in \iota$ өєov.
28. $\tau a \mu \eta$ оута: $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{pm}[\kappa a \iota]$ 30. $\mathrm{TH}^{\S}$ Өєov, $\delta \iota к a \iota о \sigma v \nu \eta$ II. 1. $\mu$ aptvpıov: $\mathrm{H}^{8} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho t o \nu ~ 2 . \mathrm{TH} \tau \iota \in \iota \delta \in \nu a \iota$ 4. H $\pi \iota$ Өoıs | T $a \lambda \lambda a$ 5. T $a \lambda \lambda a$


















 $\delta \iota \delta а к т о i s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta s$ бофías $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda \prime$ ' $\nu \nu \delta \iota-$






 $X \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ є้ $\chi о \mu \epsilon \nu$.
 h -тıкшs | T $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \nu .15$. avaspıvєı: add [T]H $\mu \in \nu$ th [ $\tau \alpha]$ 16. $\mathrm{H} \sigma v v \beta \iota \beta$.

## III.

III. x. Heb. v. I2S. ; I Pet. ii. 2.








5. vii. 17 ; Rom. xii. 3 .

3s. i. IISS. ; xi. 18; Gal, v, 20; 2 Cor. xii. 20.

 $\sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota, \zeta \eta \mu \iota \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \ell$, aưtòs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, oùт $\omega \varsigma$ $\delta^{\circ} \epsilon$ as $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi v \rho o ́ s . ~$

 $\phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota, \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тoûtov ó $\theta \epsilon o ́ s \cdot$ ó Yàp vaòs тoû













IV.








[^5]
 критттà тои̂ бко́тоия каì фауєрผ́бєь тàs ßov入त̀s
 $\sigma \tau \omega$ ảmò $\tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$.
6. Rom, xii. 3 .







 9. Rom. viii. $3^{6}$;
10. iii. 18.















IV. 6. H $\tau о$. $\mu \eta$ vтєр 8. T $\tau v \mu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v \sigma$. 9. THs











 ci. xi. 7 y. James $\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta$, каì $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \iota ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \phi v \sigma \iota \omega-~$


 $\tau \in \pi р а и ̈ т \eta т о \varsigma ;$

## V.













[^6]тò $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \quad \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$＇̀ $\nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$ ग̀ $\mu \epsilon \in \rho a$ то̂̂ кирíou＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ ．

6．Gal．v． 9 ；
（Mat．xvi．6，etc．） 7．Ex．xii．${ }^{5}$ ； John xix． 36 ．





 єì入ıкрıvías каì à $\eta \theta$ धєias．
 $\mu i ́ \gamma \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \rho \nu o \iota s,{ }^{\text {ro }}$ oủ $\pi u ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ тoîs $\pi$ ópvoıs то̂̀



IT． 2 Thess．iii．
6 S．I4 ；$x$ Cor．$x$ ． 6s． 14 ；$x$ Cor．$x$ ．
14 ； 1 John $v . ~$
21 ； 14；I iohn v，
Tit．
2 John 10．





 $\epsilon \in \xi \dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

## VI．

VI．I．Mat．v． 40.


 Luke xxii．30；
Rev．ii．26S．；$x$ x． 4





[^7]










 $\kappa \lambda є ́ \pi \tau а \iota ~ о и ̆ т є ~ \pi \lambda є о \nu \epsilon ́ к т а \iota, ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu є ́ \theta v \sigma o \iota, ~ o v ̉ ~$















5. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ : $\mathrm{t} \lambda a \lambda \omega$ 7. $\eta \delta \eta \mu \in \nu:[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{H}$ add ovv 10. ov $\mathrm{pr}: \mathrm{T}^{\S}$ ovтє II. кuptov: tH add $[\eta \mu \omega \nu]$ 14. $\epsilon \xi \in \gamma \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ : $\mathrm{h} \in \xi \in \eta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$











## VII.
















19. h $\pi \nu \epsilon v \mu$. ayıov єбт. | Н $\theta \in о v$; кає оvк $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon a v \tau \omega \nu$, VII. I. є $\gamma \rho a \psi a \tau \epsilon:$ T add $[\mu \circ \iota]$ 5. $\mathrm{H} \mu \eta \tau \iota[a \nu] \mid \mathrm{H}[\nu \mu \omega \nu]$ 6. T $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$










 äv















 єє $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$; $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ бо८ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \omega$ • à $\lambda \lambda$ ' єi каi סúvaба८
 $\tau \iota s:$ TH $\eta \tau \iota s$ 15. vpas: Th $\eta \mu a s$ 17. th $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \in \nu$
 Eph. vi. 6.


23. vi. 20 ; Gal. v. 1 . 24. vii, 20 .
26. Mat. xxiv. 8ss., 19.

 тоv́т $\omega \mu \in \nu \in ́ \tau \omega$ тapà $\theta \in \hat{\omega}$.




 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda v \sigma a \iota ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \gamma u v a \iota к o ́ s ; ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \zeta ̆ ̀ т \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma u v a i ̂ k a . ~{ }^{28}$ éà ע









32. vii. 28 .







 33s. TH $\tau \eta$ रvvaıкı, кає $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau a \iota$. кає $\eta \gamma \nu \nu \eta \eta$ а $\gamma$ а $\mu$ оs кає ๆ $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \nu$ оs $\mu \in \rho \iota \nu \nu a$ 34. TH [каı] $\tau \omega \sigma \omega \mu$.



















## VIII.














Mal. ii. 10; Rom. xi. 36 ; Col. i. Eph. ii. 10 .







 v. 13 . 10. X. I4, 21 .




 סí ồ X X


13. Rom, xiv. 21.

 фóv $\mu$ ои бкаиба入íбш.

## IX.

 xivii. 9,22, , , 5s. ; xxvi. 1ass.
=. iv. $\mathrm{r} 5 ;$ = Cor. iii. 2



VIII. 6. $\mathfrak{t} \mathrm{H}[a \lambda \lambda] \eta \mu \iota \nu \mid \delta \iota$ ov: h $\delta \iota$ ov S. TS़H ovtє
 $\mid \mathrm{T} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \varepsilon v_{0} \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad$ Io. $\mathrm{tH} \iota \delta \eta[\sigma \epsilon] \mid \mathrm{T} \epsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda \epsilon \omega$ IX. I. Т єшрака





























[^8]ǐva oưt




 $\pi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon v \mu a \iota$. ${ }^{18}$ тís oủv $\mu$ ov '̇ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \nu$ ó $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ós; ǐva

















 $\dot{v} \pi \omega \pi u a ́ \zeta \omega$ رov тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ каì $\delta о \nu \lambda a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\omega}, \mu \gamma_{i}^{\prime} \pi \omega s$

16. $\epsilon v a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \zeta \omega \mu a \iota \mathrm{SC}: \mathrm{TH}^{\S} \epsilon v a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \omega \mu a \iota \quad$ I8. $\mu о v \mathrm{pr}:$ $t \mu_{0 \iota}$ 22. $a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta s: t \mathrm{pm}[\omega s]$ 23. T $\sigma v \gamma \kappa о \iota \nu . ~ 27 . ~ T H a \lambda \lambda a$

## X.




























 $\mathrm{h} \epsilon a \sigma . \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \theta, v \mu$.



24. I John v. 2 .
16. xi. 2455 .









19. viii. 4 .
20. Deut, xxxii. 17.






22. Deut, xxxii. 21.


23. vi. 12 .
24. Phil. ii. 4
${ }^{23}$ Пávтa ${ }^{\quad{ }^{\prime} \xi} \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ov̉ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi є ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$.








14. H $\epsilon \delta \delta \omega \lambda 0 \lambda a \tau \rho \iota a s$ I6. $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mathrm{pr}: \mathrm{TH}$ ante tov aıцatos 18. Tsh oux ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 20. $\theta$ vovolv $\mathrm{pr}: \mathrm{T}[\mathrm{t} \mathrm{H}]$ add $\tau a \in \theta \nu \eta$











 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{v}$.

## XI.
















[^9]












${ }^{16}$ Eỉ סé тıs סокєî фıдо́veルкоs єìval，ìmeis тoıaú－
 $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ ．

17．xi． 22.

18．i．ITS．；iii． 3 ．

19．Mat．X． 34 ； xviii．7；x John ii．19；Luke ii． 35 ．









22．xi． 17 ；
James ii． 6.




[^10]


























## XII.


XII. 3. x John 1v. 25 .
4. Rom. xii. $\sigma$.



















12. xii. 27 ; vi. 15 ; Rom, xii. 4s.
13. Gal. iii. 28 ; John vii. 37, 39.







XII. 2. оть отє : h $\dagger$ 6. о $\delta \epsilon: \mathrm{t} \mathrm{H}^{8}$ кає о| H Өєоs, $\quad$ о.










 Sv́vataı $\delta €$ ò ỏ $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon i \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ . ~ \chi р \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~$




 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, каì тà $\grave{a} \sigma \chi^{\eta} \mu о \nu а$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi \eta \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu$



 ả $\lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$. ${ }^{26} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota}$ єı'тє $\pi \dot{́} \sigma \chi \in \iota$



 сітобто́خоия, $\delta є u ́ t \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \pi \rho о ф \eta ́ т а s, ~ т \rho i ́ т о \nu ~ \delta i \delta a \sigma к а ́-~$


[^11] $\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ a ̈ \pi o ́ \sigma \tau o \lambda o l ; ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о ф \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota ; ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa а \lambda о \iota ; ~ \mu \eta$ тávтєs $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;{ }^{30} \mu \eta \grave{\eta}$



3I. xiv. $x$.



## XIII.

XIII. घ. Rom. xiii. 8ss.

 र्xiviiv zo.



3. Rom, xii. 20 ; z John iii. 16s.
4. Prov, x. I2.
5. x. 24; Phil. ii. 4 .










 $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau a \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ 3. кає $\epsilon a \nu \mathrm{pr}: \mathrm{TH}$ кал | кає єà Sc: Н кау | T
 $\tau a \iota, \eta$ ayamך ov $\zeta \eta \lambda o \iota,[\eta$ a $\gamma a \pi \eta$ ] (H om) ov $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \rho$. 5 . $\tau \alpha:$ th то $\mu \eta$ 6. T $\sigma v \gamma \chi a \iota \rho$. 8. T $[\delta \epsilon] \mid \mathrm{h} \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \iota a$,














## XIV.







 oiko








[^12]$\kappa ө \dot{a ́ p a, ~ є a ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a \sigma т о \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ т о \hat{\varsigma} \text { ф } \theta \text { óyyoıs } \mu \eta ̀ \delta \hat{\omega}, \pi \hat{\omega} s ~}$




















 $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\omega}, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \gamma \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \eta \lambda a \lambda \hat{\omega}$.

 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\gamma} \sigma \sigma \eta$.
20. Eph. iv, 14; Mat. xviii. 3 .
${ }_{20}$ ' $A \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \circ i$ ', $\left.\mu i\right\rangle$ raisía $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ тais $\phi \rho \in \sigma^{\prime} \nu$, ả入入à $\tau \hat{n}$ какі́ą $\nu \eta \pi \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \tau а i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \rho \in \sigma i \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \circ \iota$
8. Th $\phi \omega \nu \eta \nu \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi$ 14. TH $\epsilon a \nu[\gamma a \rho] \quad$ 15. $\mathrm{t} \pi \rho \circ \sigma-$
 17. T $a \lambda \lambda a$ 18. $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{8} \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a t s$



 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \circ v \sigma \iota \nu$ ả入入̀̀ $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s, ~ \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i ́ a$




 є̀ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, ảvaкрívєтaь ímò $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$,














 тávтєs $\mu а \nu \theta a ́ v \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ каі̀ тávтєє таракал $\omega \nu \tau а \iota$. ${ }^{32} \kappa a i ̀ \pi \nu \in v ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \pi \rho о ф \eta \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi \rho о ф \eta ́ т а \iota \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \pi о т a ́ \sigma-~$
 єi९ŋ́vŋร.

 - $\epsilon \rho \eta \nu \eta \rho$, ) $\omega \varsigma \epsilon \nu-a \gamma \omega \omega \nu$.






 єis $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\varsigma}$ s $\mu$ óvovs кат $\eta \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ；



39．xiv．$x$ ss．

40．Col．ii． 5 ．
 $\mu \circ v, \zeta ̆ \lambda 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ тò $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，каì тò $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \eta ̀$



## XV．

XV．ェ．Gal．i． 2 \％．





3．xi． 23.
3s．Luke xxiv． 26s．；Is．liii．8ss．； P＇s．xvi．xo．








[^13]








 є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v^{\prime} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$.

















7. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a \mathrm{sc}: \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}^{\S} \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ 10. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \mu \circ \iota: \mathrm{h} \mathrm{pm} \dot{\eta} \quad$ I4. $\mathrm{T} \ddagger \mathrm{H}^{\S}$ om кає $\mathrm{pr} \mid v \mu \omega \nu: \mathrm{H}^{\S} \eta \mu \omega \nu \quad$ 17. $v \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{pr}: \mathrm{H}$ add [ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu]$






25. Ps, cx. T ; Mat. xxii. 44 ; Heb. x. 13 .










 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$.





32. 2 Cor. i. 8 ; Is, xxii. . 3 ;
(Wisd. ii. xss.)
33. Eph. v. 6 ;
Wisd, iv, Wisd. iv. 12.

 ő $\phi \in \lambda о s$; єi vєкроі̀ oủ火 є́ $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu \tau a l$, фá $\gamma \omega \mu \in \nu$ каi


24. t H $\pi$ apaóto 0 25. T axpıs 27. h om ort pr 28. T[H] om кає | TH om тatr
${ }^{35}$ ' $A \lambda \lambda$ à є́ $\rho \varepsilon i$ í $\tau \iota$. $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ є́ $\gamma \epsilon$ ípovtal oi vєкроí ; 35. Ezek. xxxvii.

 ò $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ́ p \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, ov тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ тò $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,


 ${ }^{33}$ oủ $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \sigma \grave{a} \rho \xi$ ì aủtウ $\sigma a ́ p \xi$, ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\mathfrak{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu,{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \delta_{\epsilon} \sigma a ̀ \rho \xi \kappa \tau \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, \stackrel{a}{ } \lambda \lambda \eta \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \quad \sigma a ̀ \rho \xi$
 ри́vıa, каі̀ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau a ~ є ̇ \pi i ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota a \cdot ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ є ́ \tau \epsilon ́ p a ~ \mu є ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\eta}$






 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \psi \nu \chi \iota \kappa o ́ v$, є́ $\gamma є i \rho є \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \pi \nu \epsilon \cup \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v . ~ \epsilon i$






 каì оі хоӥкои́, каi оîos ò є̇тоира́vıos, тоьоиิтои каĭ

 єттоираиíou.
49. форєб $\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ : $\mathrm{h} \phi о р \epsilon \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$

 $\phi \theta о \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \phi \theta a \rho \sigma i ́ a \nu ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. ${ }^{51}$ íooù $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta$ -

52. IThess. iv.
155. ; Mat. xxiv. 32.
 бабӨaı àфӨapoíav каì тò $\theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о и ̂ т о ~ \epsilon ’ \nu \delta и ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~$













## XVI.






 $\sigma \iota a \nu: \mathrm{H} \mathrm{pm}[\tau \eta \nu] \quad \mathrm{XVI}. \mathrm{2} .\mathrm{a} \mathrm{\nu:} \mathrm{TH} \epsilon a \nu \mid \mathrm{h} \epsilon v o \delta \omega \theta \eta$
 ${ }^{3}$ ơт










 àขтєкєíцєขоц тоддоí.














3. $\epsilon a \nu: \mathrm{T} a \nu \mid \mathrm{H} \delta о \kappa \iota \mu a \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \omega \nu$, 4. TH
 $\omega \varsigma \epsilon \gamma \omega$ II. T $\pi \rho \circ \varsigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon$







29．Acts xviii． 2 ； Kom．xvi． 5 ．




20．Rom．xvi． 16，etc．



 ${ }^{23}$ 方 $\chi$ ápıs то仑 кирíov＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad{ }^{24} \dot{\eta}$
 ＇I Inoov．
 $\mathrm{T}^{3}$－ －

## חPO乏 KOPINӨIOYะ B.

## I.


 тô̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta}$ ov̌ $\sigma \eta$ ध̇v Kopív $\theta \omega$ б $\sigma \nu \nu$ тoîs áyious $\pi \hat{a}-$

 X рıттой.








 $\grave{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega \nu} \pi а р а к \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ к а і ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta р і ́ a s, ~ \epsilon і ̈ \tau \epsilon ~ т а р а к а-~}$




I, 6. h om кає $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota a s$ | $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi а \rho a к a \lambda$. $v \pi$. $\tau \eta s ~ v \mu \omega \nu \pi a-$ $\rho a \kappa \lambda$. ( Th add $\kappa a \iota \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota a s$ ) : $\mathrm{T}^{8} \mathrm{~h}$ post $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota a v \pi \epsilon \rho v \mu \omega \nu$ | TH $\pi a \sigma \chi \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$,


 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ө入íut












 ढ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ко́б $\mu \omega, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ סè $\pi$ pòs $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s: ~{ }^{13}$ ov̉




 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$.
 pov $\pi$ pòs ípâs è $\lambda \theta \epsilon i v$, "'va סevtépav $\chi$ ápıv $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$,


8. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota: \mathrm{H}^{8} v \pi \epsilon \rho$ 1о. TH єрvбато | TH [oтı] 12. T єì<крıvєاa | $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{kai}]$ ovк $\epsilon \nu$ боф. 14. T om $\eta \mu \omega \nu$ sc 15. $\chi$ арьд: t H${ }^{8}$ रapav












 $\pi \nu \in v^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ тaîs карסíaus $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$.






## II.


 viцâs, каì тis ó єùфраiv





 арраßшขa II. I. $\delta \epsilon:$ t $H^{3} \gamma{ }^{3} \beta$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о т$ ép $\omega \varsigma$ єis vi $\mu \hat{a} s$.










11. xi. 3 ; I Pet. v. 8 .
12. Acts xvi. 8 ; x Cor. xvi. 9 ; Col. iv. 3 .
13. vii. 55s. ;

Tit. i. 4.
4. Col. ii. 15









15. I Cor. i. 18.








5. H $\mu \epsilon \rho$ ovs $\downarrow \nu a \mu \eta \epsilon \pi \iota \beta a \rho \omega$ tavtas 7. [T] $\mathrm{t} \mathrm{H}^{8}$ om $\mu a \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad$ 9. $\epsilon \iota: \mathrm{h} \hat{\eta} \quad$ 17. $a \lambda \lambda \mathrm{pr}: \mathrm{T} a \lambda \lambda a \mid \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota \kappa p \iota \nu \epsilon \iota a s$

## III.































 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu ~ \delta o ́ \xi \eta$.

 ${ }_{25} 5$













 кирíov тиєч́́иатоя.

## IV.

IV. г. iii. 6.
2. 1 Cor. iv. 5 .





13. єavtov: TH avtov 14. TH a $\lambda \lambda a \quad$ I6. T $\delta \varepsilon a \nu \mathrm{~h}$ $\delta$ av 17. кขрьоv: $\mathrm{h} \dagger$ 18. каӨатєр: h каӨ由नтєр IV. 2. H $\sigma v \nu$ ıбтavovtes











 Xриттой.
















 кає pr



 т $̀ \nu$ סógav тồ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$.






 $\mu \grave{\eta} \beta \lambda \in \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ aíẃvıa.
V.













14. TH [kvpıov] 17. [t] Hs om $\eta \mu \omega \nu \quad$ V. 3. Th $\epsilon t \pi \epsilon \rho$ 4. H $\beta a \rho о \nu \mu \in \nu \circ \iota \epsilon \phi \mid \mathrm{T} a \lambda \lambda a$ 5. TH $a \rho \rho a \beta \omega \nu a$







 фaû入ov.




















 $\mathrm{t} v \mu \omega \nu \quad$ 19. TH avt $\omega \nu$,








## VI.







4. iv. 2.
5. xi. $235 s$.









VI. 4. H ovviбtavovtєs









 Xрıбтồ трòs Bє入íap，خे тís $\mu \in \rho i s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$







 каì Өuरатє́раs，$\lambda є ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ к и ́ \rho \iota о s ~ т а у т о к р а ́ т \omega \rho . ~$

 $\mu \circ \lambda \nu \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$ барко̀ऽ каì $\pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \mu a т о \varsigma, ~ Є ่ т \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda о и ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~$


## VII．




[^14]та́крьбьv ои $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ • троєі́рпка үа̀р ӧть є̀v таі̂s

4. iii. 12 .





 ${ }^{6}$ à $\lambda \lambda$ ' о тарака入 $\hat{\nu} \nu$ тоѝs татєєvoùs тарєкá $\lambda \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$








9. ii. 4











VII. 5. $\epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ : T§ $\epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu \mid \mathrm{H} \theta \lambda_{\iota} \beta о \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota-\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \mu a \chi a \iota$, $\epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ фоßol-. S. H ( $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega-\epsilon \lambda v \pi$. vpas) | $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ :
 12. ovk: TH ou | ov $\delta \epsilon: \mathrm{H} \mathrm{pm}[a \lambda \lambda] \mid \mathrm{T} a \lambda \lambda \alpha$







 ả $\eta \theta \in \epsilon i ́ a ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \in \nu$ v́ $\mu i \nu$, oùt $\omega s$ каі̀ $\grave{\eta}$ каú $\chi \eta \sigma \iota s$
 $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ av̉тồ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ єis $\mathfrak{v \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i \nu ~}$




## VIII．









 $\kappa а Ө \grave{\omega}$ ऽ $\grave{\lambda \pi i \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ a v t o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ै \delta \omega к а \nu ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega-}}$



14．$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \tau 0 v:[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{h} \mathrm{pm} \dot{\eta} \quad$ VIII．3s．H к．$\pi a \rho a \quad \delta v-$ $\nu a \mu \iota \nu$ ，avӨaıрєтоь $\mu \epsilon \tau a \quad$ 5． H a入入





















 j̀入аттóv $\quad$ бє $\nu$.





7. $\mathrm{H}^{\S} \epsilon \xi \quad \eta \mu \omega \nu \epsilon \nu \quad v \mu \iota \nu$ 9. T ( $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon-\pi \lambda$ оvт $\eta$ $\sigma \eta \tau \epsilon), \mid \mathrm{H}[\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v]$ 12. $a \nu$ : TH єav 13. $\mathrm{H}^{\S} \theta \lambda \iota \psi \iota \varsigma$
















 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$.

## IX.










19. T (ov $\mu 0 \nu . . . . \pi \rho o \theta . \eta \mu \omega \nu)$. $\mathrm{H}-$ - $\boldsymbol{v}^{\mu} \mu \nu \nu . .$. $\pi \rho \circ \theta . \eta \mu \omega \nu,-\mid \sigma v \nu:$ TH $\epsilon \nu \mid$ TH om autov 24. $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\kappa \nu \nu \mu \in \nu o \iota: ~ t H^{\S} \in \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \xi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$
5. viii. 6.
6. Gal. vi. $7^{5 s}$.
7. (Ex. xxy. 2);

Pruv. xxii. 8.
8. (I Tim. vi. 6
fhil. iv. II.)
9. Ps. cxii. 9 .
20. Is. 1v. Io;

Hos. x. 12.
21. viii. 2.
12. Phil. ii. 30.




























IX. 5. $\epsilon \iota$ : T $\pi \rho o s$ 5. $\mu \eta \omega s$ : TH pm кą 9s. H
 $\theta \epsilon \omega$ : h $\theta \in o v$

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \kappa \delta \imath \eta \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \varphi$ aủтoû $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{q}$.

## X.









 ó $\chi \nu \rho \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu, \quad{ }^{5} \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \circ \grave{s}$ каӨalpô̂vтєs каì $\pi a ̂ \nu$












 $\mu a \tau \omega \nu-1$ TH $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon l a s ~ 7 . \mathrm{H} \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. 8. Th om






 $\tau \omega \nu$ ．ả入入à aùtoò èv éavtoîs éavtoùs $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$


13．Rom．xii． 3.











17．Jer．ix．23； \＆Cor．i． 3 ．


 ки́pıos $\sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.

## XI．





[^15]















 $\rho \eta \theta e i s$ ou катevapk $\eta \sigma a$ outevós, тo Yà









 خous X бaтavâs $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ єis ä $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ фштós. ${ }^{15}$ oủ $\mu$ '́ $\gamma a$ oủv єỉ кaì oi סıáкоуo九 aủtov̂ $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \chi \eta$ -

























26．vi． 45 S ．； 2 Tim．iii．II； Gal．ii． 4 ${ }^{26}$ ódoıторíaıs тод入áкıs，кıvסv́voıs тота $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \iota \nu \delta v ̌-$






 TH $\epsilon \nu$ фvлакаเs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau \epsilon \rho \omega s, \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma a \iota s$ v $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \omega s$







 $\mu \epsilon,{ }^{33} \kappa a i ̀$ סıà $\theta v p i ́ \delta o s ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma a \rho \gamma a ́ v \eta ~ \epsilon ́ \chi a \lambda c ́ \sigma \theta \eta \nu$


## XII.
















30. H $\tau$. $a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu .[\mu \nu v]$ 32. H á $\rho \epsilon \tau a \quad$ XII. I. H $\delta \epsilon \iota \cdot$ ov h $\delta \epsilon$ ov 3. H [ovk oı $\delta a$ ] 5. TH om $\mu$ ov 6s. $\epsilon \mu$ ov.


7 каì $\tau \hat{\eta}$ vimepßо入ĥ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảтока入ú $\psi \epsilon \omega \nu$ ìva $\mu \grave{\eta}$











12．xii． 6 ；xi． 5 ．




 13．xi． 8.












7．$\iota \nu a \mu \eta$ vтєраı $\rho$ ．pr：TH pm $\delta \iota$（H атокал $\nu \psi \epsilon \omega \nu$. $\delta \iota \iota \iota \nu a) \mid \mathrm{T} \ddagger$ om $\iota \nu a \mu \eta$ vтє $\rho a \iota \rho$ ．sc 9．［T］H om $\mu$ ov sc Iо кat：Thev II．$\gamma^{a \rho}$ SC：h add $\tau \iota$ 12．TH кatє $\rho-$ $\gamma_{a \sigma} \theta_{\eta} \mid \mathrm{T}[\mathrm{H}]$ om $\tau \epsilon \quad$ 15．Th $\tau, \psi v \chi . v \mu \omega \nu, \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma . v \mu$ ． аүат $\omega \nu \eta \sigma \sigma о \nu ~ а \gamma а т \omega \mu а \iota ~$




 oủ тoîs aủroîs "' $\chi$ veбuv;












## XIII.



 каì $\dot{a} \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$, тоîs $\pi \rho о \eta \mu а \rho т \eta к о ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ т о i ̂ s ~$





 $\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \sigma \eta \mid \mathrm{T} \epsilon \pi \rho a \xi \xi^{2} \nu ;$ XIII. 4. $\epsilon \nu$ avta : th $\mathrm{h} \sigma \nu$ avi $\omega$ | H [eıs vpas]












17. Rom. xv. 33 .
12. Rom. xvi 16 etc.
 таи̂та ảm̀̀v $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$, íva $\pi a \rho \grave{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ảmотó $\mu \omega$ s
 $\mu о \iota$ єis оікободі̀̀ каі ойк єis каӨаípєбьข.






 $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau o s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 $\pi \rho о$ корıข $\theta \iota o v s \beta^{\prime}$

NOTES.

## EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS.



It is thought the remaining abbreviations require no special explanation.

## FIRST EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS.

For general information on this and also the second epistle, see Conybeare and Howson, Farrar, and the Bible Dictionaries. Place of writing, Corinth. Date, $5^{2}$ or 53 .

Chap. I. Salutation (verse I). Thanksgiving for their Christian walk and example (vv. 2-10).
V. i. Пav̂̀os kaì इıhovavòs ктє. Paul and Silvanus and Timothy to the church of the Thessalonians in God the Father and the Lord Fesus Christ: Grace to you and peace. Note the simplicity and brevity of the address ; also that Paul does not here style himself an apostle. In addressing such devoted friends as the Thessalonians, who did not question his apostolic authority, this was not necessary. - Article omitted w. $\pi a \tau \rho i$ and $\kappa v \rho i \varphi$, on the same principle as often w. proper names. Xápıs $\dot{\mu} \mu i \imath v$ кal єip $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$, sc. єัך $\eta$, optat. of wishing. Grace. . . peace, a salutation uniting the Greek रaipeıv and the Hebrew (Gen. 43. 23: Judges 6.23, et al.) - Silvanuts, so called by Paul and Peter. In Acts called Silas.
V. 2. EủXapıஎтoû $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v} \kappa \tau \dot{E} .$, We give thanks to God always concerning yout all, making mention (of you), or making to ourselves (mid.) a remembrance (of you) in our prayers. Ė $\pi i$ w. the gen., in the time of; cf. Lex. Th.; $\mu \nu \epsilon^{\prime} a$, in the sense remembrance: cf. ch. 3.6; Phil. r. 3 ; 2 Tim. 1. 3. It does not necessarily imply the use of any actual words. Cf. $\mu \nu \eta \mu \rho \nu \in \operatorname{cu}^{\circ} \nu-$ $\tau \in s$, next verse.
 labor of love and steadfustness of hope in our Lord Fesus Christ before ourGod and Father. - à $\delta \mathrm{L} \alpha \mathrm{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i} \pi \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ (fr. a priv., $\delta(\alpha-\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ ), used only by Paul in N. Test. and with reference, direct or indirect, to prayer. - $\hat{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o v ̂$ Epyou $\tau \eta ิ s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, your work of fuith, your work connected with your faith, the direct result of your faith, which is not a mere speculative belief.

 $\kappa \tau \epsilon$., your steadfustness of hope in our Lord Fesus Christ: a hope that does not waver and that bears up (cf. ímo $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega$ ) under all trials ; a hope in our Lord Jesus Christ, so different in character from every other hope. ё $\mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon v \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., before our God and Father: all that is affirmed in the entire sentence being in the presence of our God and Father ; securing his approval ; and taking place with the utmost sincerity and earnestness.

Vv. 4, 5. єiSótes ктé., knowing (feeiing assured; particip. causal ; referring to the subj. of єنं $\chi a p \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂ \mu \in \nu)$, brethren beloced of God, your clection; i. e. the fact that you have been chosen, selected from the mass of mankind, of God: - a precious truth and an occasion for thanksgiving.ötl (causal. The verse shows how Paul was convinced of their election)
 word only, but also in power, and in the Holy Spirit and much assurance, even as ye know what (of what character) we became among you on youraccount: our gospel, i. e. the gospol whiche we preach; not in word only, i. e. there was something more than mere argumentation, and logical force; in the Holy Sfirit and much assurance, added to the preceding clause to make it more definite, and to intensify the thought ; coen as ye knowe etc., - an appeal to their own experience in confirmation of the foregoing; of what character etc., i.e. such as is implied in the foregoing, - not in word only etc.
 the Lord. This may be viewed as an additional assurance of their election; and of the Lord, as he was presented to their minds in the example and the preaching of the apostle. - $\delta \in \xi \xi_{\mu} \mu \epsilon v o t ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon .$, having received (particip. causal, in that ye reccived) the word in much affiction. Cf. Acts 17. 6 ; also ch. 2. 14; ch. 3. 2, 3.- $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ xapâs $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., with joy of the Holy Sfirit (joy inspired by the Holy Spirit); and this, too, in the midst of their affliction, - an experience of many in other places and times. -
 to be imitated of Christian character and living) to all those having fiuith (Christian faith) in Miacedonia and in Achaia (the usual name, from 146 B. C., for Greece ; st. 'E $\lambda \lambda$ ás).
 $\lambda$ रoyos $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, , For (in confirmation of verse 7) from yout has sounded forth the word of the Lord (i. e. the gospel) not only in Mlacedonia and in Achaia, but in every place (everyzwere, a popular hyperbole) your faith toward God has goine forth, so that we have no need (lit. have not need) to say anything, i. e. anything respecting your faith toward God. It is already everywhere well known.
V. 9. aủroi Yàp ктє́., For they themselves (the people in every place) report concerning us (the apostle and his co-laborers) what manner of en-
 quite different in sense fr．द̇̃t－тре́ $\pi \omega$ ．See Lex．Th．）ктé．，and hoou ye turned（were converted）to God from idols（from the idols，von den Ab－ gïltern，Luther）to serve the living and true God（B．U．），or to serve a lizing and true God（R．V．）．The first is usually preferred．For the omission of the article w．$\theta$ fós，see Win．p．I21 ff．Note the order of the Greek words，to serve the God，or a God，who is living and true，－in emphatic contrast to those that have no life and are spurious．For the meaning of $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu o ́ s$ ，as distinguished from $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta \eta$ भ́s，see Lex．Th．
 pends on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \psi a \tau \epsilon$ ，turned ．．．to serve ．．．to wait for）his son from
 uтย．，zuhom he raised from the dead，Fesus，the one who is dilivering us from the anger that is coming．The work of deliverance is represented as going on，but not yet completed．The leading thorght in this verse，to zuat for his son from heaven，is satisfactorily explained in John 14．I－3．

Chap．II．The same leading thoughts．Their reception of the truth；Paul＇s conduct among them ；his desire to see them．

Vv．1，2．Aủrol үàp oídatє ктé．，for ye yourselves knozu，brethren， our entrance to you，that it has not been vain（or empty）．$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ，confirma－ tory；$\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$, repeated，pointing out more distinctly the connection of

 （insolently）treated，as ye knowi，in Philifti．－̇̇тapp $\quad \sigma \iota a \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon$（ $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta-$ $\sigma L a ́ \zeta \omega) \kappa \tau \dot{E}$. ，we weve bold，confident，in ourr God（a sure ground，and the only sure ground，of confidence）to speak to you the gospel of God in muck conflict，－referring to external dangers．Cf．Acts，chs．16，17．à $\gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$ ， spoken of a contest of athletes；then of any severe conflict．
 his speaking with boldness and confidence）our exhortation is not of error，nor of uncleanness，nor in gruile（deccit）．тарáк入ךбьs，exhortution， encouragement，comfort．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ ．．．द̇ $\xi$ ；out from，as the source．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，in the sphere of．$\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta$ ，a wandering，an error；also a leading into error，decep－ tion．ákäapoia，（a priv．，ka日aipw），uncleanness，imppurity，一used here probably in the moral sense of impure motives（see Lex．Th．）；the desire for gain，covetousness，Alf．，Ell．，Lünem．סó入os，a snare，bait， deceit．
 approved of God to be intrusted with the gospel，so zue speak；not as if pleasing men，or，viewing the present as conative，not as if seeking（hatitu－ ally）to please men，but God，who tests our hearts．$\delta o \mathrm{~s} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega$ ，to test，to
frove，and as a result to aftreate．Can all who now preach the gospel adopt the language of this verse？

Vv．5，6．оüтє үáp $\pi о \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon \in$ ．，For neither at any time did we use （ensage in）futtering langruage，as ye linow，nor any fretoxt arising from

 in language consisting of fluttiry；ìv $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon t \pi \lambda \in o \nu \in \xi i a s$, in a pretixt dictated by，ansing from，cocictousnuss．They themselves might judge whether he had used flattering language（ $\kappa \alpha \hat{\omega}$ s oťôatє）；but God alone could know whether he had been secretly moved by a covetous spirit
 （were wei＇）seeking glory of（or froml）men，neither frem you nor from others． $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ，out from ；$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{o}^{\prime}$ ，simply from．No stress on the distinction here．－ Suváuevol ．．．ámóvтohol，though we might，as afostles of Christ，be in authority．$\delta \delta_{\nu}{ }^{\mu} \mu \in \nu o l$, lit．bcing cable，may be viewed as temporal or as con－ cessive，－when we were able，or thought we were able；＇̀v Bápel，in the fosition of a weight，or in cuuthority．The latter idea seems most suitable in the comnection．With Bápos，cf．Eng．wecight，and zecighty in the sec－ ondary sense，influcutiall ；ámó⿱宀тo入ot probably in the wider sense，includ－ ing Silvanus and Timothy．Cf．Lex．Th．
 （or we frozed ourseltes，we weve found，gentle；see Lex．Th．Yivouat，5）； with the reading עintiob，we were found（as）babes in the midst of your．－
 mothor cherishes her own children，so while yearning towards you（hazing a tender and strong affiction for you，see Lex．）we were well fleased to share with you，to impart to yeu，not only the gospel of God，but our own souls also，because ye became to us helozed（zery dear）．Was such affection found elsewhere in human society than in the hearts of Christians？Eivoont $\omega$ ， a later Greek word（cf．єùठoкía），freq．in N．Test．，spoken often of God＇s good pleasure．Cf．Matt．3．17；12．18；17．5；Mark 1．II ；Luke 3．22；
 souls；not merely outward civilitics and services，such as are commonly accepted in human society，but our own hearts，our lives，all that we possess．

V．9．$\mu \nu \eta \mu \circ v \in$ v́єтє $\gamma$ àp $k \tau \epsilon \in .$, For（confirmatory）ye remember，brethrcn， our toil and sorrozu．nóтov ．．．$\mu$ óx $\theta$ ov，nearly synonymous in meaning； the thought intensified by the two words，our hard lator（labor and
 ктÉ．，night and day working．עuktós placed first（an exception Acts 9．24）， as the day was usually by the Jews（so also by the Athenians）reckoned from evening to evening．Cf．Gen．I．5；Acts 20．31．The continuing to work by night was also something more exhausting．Éprasó $\mu \in \nu o l$ ，
referring here to manual labor, perhaps tent-making. Cf. Acts 18.3 . -

 (in these circumstances) we proclaimed among you ( $\epsilon$ is $\dot{u} \mu \mathrm{a} s$, huzing entered among yout the gospel of God.

Vv. io-12. v́peis $\mu$ áptupes kal $\theta$ eós, Ye are witnesses and God. Cf. verse 5, note. - is jóims kтє́., how holily and righteously and unblam-
 spoken of divine and human relations ; cf. Eph. 4. 24, note. É $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\nu} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, cf. v. 5. - каЯáтєр oißare, ws ктє́., even as ye know how (we behazed ourselves), exhorting and encouraging you, each one of you, as a futher his children, and testifyings, to the end that ye walk worthily of God, who calls your into his king cdom and glory. Bear in mind, in vv. II and I2, єं $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \mu \in \nu$. - тарака入оиิvтєs, exhorting, encouraging, comforting. тарациӨои́иєขol, nearly synonymous, added to dwell on the thought. Cf. note on кótov . . . $\mu o ́ \chi \theta o \nu$, verse $9 .-\epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon .$, , the purpose, the end in view; connect w. the three participles. - кa入oôvros, pres., the divine call continued to the consummation, when we enter into his kingdom and glory.
V. I3. Kaì Sเ̀̀ тov̂to, And on this account, referring to what precedes, - the continued call to enter into the kingdom and glory of God. - кai $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., we also (we, as well as all believers who hear of the Christian walk of the Thessalonian church) gize thanks to God unceasingly, that (the ground of the thanksgiving) having received (by a public profession) the word preached by us (the word) of God, ye embraced it (accepted by an inner experience), not (as) the word of men, but even as it truly is, God's word, which also works in you who believe. Note the distinction between $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$ as objective, and $\delta \in ́ \chi o \mu a \iota$ as subjective. So Alf, Liinem., et al. - $\lambda$ óyov áko s , lit. the word of hearing, i. e. the word heard, the zoord preached. Cf. Heb. 4. 2; also Gal. 3. 2, note. With this use of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \circ \hat{\eta} s, \pi \alpha \rho ' ~ \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is logically connected with it. - ös may grammatically refer either to $\lambda$ órov or to $\theta \in o \hat{v}$. The former construction is preferable. When $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ is spoken of God, the act., not the mid. or pass., is regularly used. Cf. I Cor. 12. 6; Gal. 2. 8, et al.
V. 14. $\dot{\nu} \mu \in i=1$ s $\gamma \dot{\text { àp }} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., for (proof of the statement just made) ye became imitators, brethren, of the churches of God wohich are in Fudea in Christ Fesus, in that ye also suffered the same things of your owo fellowconntrymen (the Gentiles in and around Thessalonica) even as they (the Christians in Judæa) also (did) from the Fcius. - $\mu \mu \eta \tau a i$, imitators, in a good sense, - those who follow an honorable example. - $\dot{\alpha} \delta e \lambda \phi c i$. Note the frequent repetition of this word, indicating the hearty brotherly love of the apostle.
 Fcsus and the prophets, and drove us out, and are not pleasing to God and
are opposed to all men. The last clause may remind the classical studenit of the words of Tacitus, Hist., v. 5: "Adversus omnes alios hostile odium." With this seemingly severe judgment we may well compare, or rather contrast, the words of Paul in Rom. chs. 9 and io, vv. Iff. The first kal seems best viewed as correl. with kal . . . кal . . . кai in the following genitive clauses. So R. V. - tòv кúplov, separated from 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$, thus more emphatic. - $\eta \mu a ̂ s ~ e ́ k \delta \iota \omega \xi a ́ v \tau \omega v, ~ d r e e t ~ u s ~ o u t, ~ i . e . ~$ from among you. Acts 17.5 ff. So Alf., El1., R. V. Others understand it as a strengthened form of the simple verb, wello fersiouted us. So Liinem. Cf. Lex. Th. - $\dot{\eta}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{\mu}} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}$, $u s$, is understood by some of Paul alone; by others, of Paul and Silas; by others, of the apostles generally, understanding $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \iota \omega \xi \dot{\alpha} \alpha \tau \tau \nu \nu$ in the sense persecuted.
 forbiduting us to stakk to the Gintilis that they may be sazed, to the end that they (the Jews) may fill up their sins alawars (expressing the divine purpose). With the figure of speech of. Gen. 15. 16. - $\kappa \omega \lambda$ vóvicov, lit.
 (that of God) is come upon them to the uttermost; lit. into an end, i. e. an end of the anger. The figure of a definite measure is to be kept in mind. With the thought here cf. Rom. 2. 5. In the aor. ép $\theta \alpha \sigma \in \nu$ Paul appears to look back on that which was still impending (in the divine purposes) as an accomplished fact. - $\dot{\eta}$ ópyíl, anter ; ó Gupós, wrath. Is it not well to distinguish between the two ?

Vv. 17-20. Paul now relates how greatly he had desired to visit the Thess. ch., and how he had been hindered. In close connection with verse 13 .

 (more lit. bereft and separated from yout) for a short sauson (lit. for a season of an hour) in actual presence (lit. in face), not in hart, the more exceidingly endeazored (made haste) to see your face in great longin!s. Note here the force of the aor. particip., after zee had been bereft of you for a liriff feriod, we endeatored etc.; also the metaphor in damop申avt$\sigma \theta \in \dot{e} \nu \tau \in s$, having had a fecling of bereavement like that of orphans. $\pi \epsilon p / \sigma \sigma \circ \tau ' \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$, the more exccedingly, the more because the period of sepa-
 the idea in $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \mu \in \nu$.
 sá $\sigma a u \in \nu$ ) we desired to come to you, I Paul both once and twice, and Satinn hindercal us. Note the meaning of סótı; always causal, never illative. $\epsilon \quad \gamma \dot{\omega}$, sing. and definite, in appos. w. the plur. subj. of $\dot{\eta} \theta \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} v$, restrictive, Lat. quidem; not usually rendered, yet having a very

the general statement in the plur. is restricted and emphasized. évérouev ( $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa o ́ \pi t \tau \omega)$. By what means Satan hindered him is not stated.
 he longed to see them) what is our hope or joy or crown of glorying ("crown of which we can boast," Th.) ? Are not ye also (ye as well as other churches) before our Lord Fesus at his coming? - it ouxi. "The \# introduces a second and negative interrogation, explanatory and confirmatory of what is said in the first " (Ell.). Not rendered into English. - kai before $\dot{y} \mu \mathrm{k}$ is may be viewed as emphatic, ejen je. So R. V. The anticipation of meeting them among the redeemed in the presence of the Lord Jesus at his final appearing might well be the crowning joy to Paul, as the same anticipation may be the crowning joy of the faithful pastor
 "ye are" at all times; ye are now, and ye will be in that day.

Chap. III. Being himself hindered from visiting them, Paul again sends Timothy. The tidings received through him are cheering. Paul prays that he may himself soon be able to visit and encourage them.
 (under our continued absence from you and desire to see you or at least to hear from you), we thought it good (we consented) to be left behind in Athens alone (emphat. posit.). The plur. here seems to be spoken simply of Paul, not of Paul and Silas. From Acts 17 . 13 ff., compared with 18. 5, it would appear that Timothy and Silas first rejoined Paul at Corinth. Thus Paul was actually left alone, so far as these his travelling companions and helpers in the ministry were concerned; and also with little if any Christian society. Note the force of the neg. $\mu \eta \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota$, indicating the subjective state, the inward feeling. Bear in mind also the tendency in later Greck to use the neg. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ oftener than in Attic. - кal $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon_{j}-$廿auev ктє., and sent Timothy our brother and God's servant in the gostel of Christ. St. סıáкovov the reading $\sigma u \nu \in p \gamma \partial ̀ \nu \kappa \tau \in \in$, , fellow-wuorker with God, is preferred by some, Alf., Ell., Lünem., et al. Cf. I Cor. 3. 9. - tis tò
 and cncourage (comfort) your respecting your foith. - vinép in later Greek often synonymous w. $\pi \in \rho i$. Some prefer to render $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho$ here, on bchalf of, for the furtherance of, Alf., Ell., Lünem. Not so R. V., Rigg.-тò $\mu \eta$ Śsva oaivea9au (only here in N. T.) ктé, that no one be moved (agsitated, troubled) in these afflictions. The gram. const. is not certain. It may be viewed as the direct obj. of $\pi \alpha \rho a r a \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\sigma \alpha$, or eis expressed above may be understood. -aủroi $\gamma$ àp oi' $\delta a \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \in$ e., for ye yourselves know that zuith this in view (these afflictions), to this chd, we (Christians) are appointed (are set, placed, in the divine plan). Cf. on $\kappa \in i ̄ \mu a \iota$ Luke 2.34 ; Phil. I. 16. On the
thought cf. Matt. 5. 10-12 ; Jno. 15. I8 ff. ; 16. 2 ; Acts 14. 22 ; 2 Tim. 3. 12.
 $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$. : кaí, ascensive; $\gamma$ áp, causal ; Vulg. nam et), when we wecre zuith you, we told you beforchand (or we told yout plainly) that we (Christians generally, as in verse 3) are about to suffer affliction, ceen as it truly came to farss and ye know. See Lex. $\pi p \rho \lambda$ '́ $\gamma \omega$, to tell beforchand, or to tell plainly. Why not both? to tell flainly beforchand; kal . . . kai may be correl., both . . .and; or the first may be ascensive, trully, as above rendered. -
 lations) I also, no longer buaring up (no longer supporting the weight of anxiety; cf. verse 1), sent to know your fuith, list in some way the templer. had tempted you and our toil mishlt prove (to have been) in rain. - kaj $\gamma$ w, ral $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega$, I also, as well as you (so Ell.) ; as well as Timothy, or as well as the other Christians who had heard of their affliction (so Alf., Liinem.).
 into) that which is vain, empty; кóтos, toil, hard labor. Cf. ch. 2. 9.
 from you and brousht to us the good newes of your frith and love and that you hate a kind remombrance of us alwarys, longing to see us, just as we also (to sec) you, on this account wee were comforted (and encouraged), brethren. - Note äp $\rho \iota$ in emphat. posit. ; comnect logically w. é $\lambda \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau o s, ~ \epsilon \dot{\nu} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda_{l}-$ $\sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu o v$, and $w . \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \hat{j} \theta \eta \eta \mu \in \nu(\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \in \omega)$, i. e. w. the entire sentence. Note also the later Greek usage of äp $\quad$. See Lex. Th. - $\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi i \sigma \tau \iota v$ kal $\tau \grave{v} v$ á $\alpha^{\pi} \pi \eta \nu$, a comprehensive description of Christian character, imply-
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., coer you (in respect to yout) in all our distress and affliction thirough your faith; becanse new we live, if ye stund fust in the Lord. - $\overline{\epsilon \pi i}$ márn $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. The rendering in etc. is not very accurate, though the usual Eng. idiom; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ w. the dat. properly, upon etc., over and above. Thus we often speak of rising above our trials and distresses. - $\zeta \hat{\omega} \mu, \epsilon \nu . . . \sigma \tau \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. Note the force of the pres. tense: zue continue to live, if ye continue to stand fist, firm.- 'iv kupie, in the Lord, the sphere in which they were to stand and the only sphere in which as Christians they could be firm.
Vv. 9, ro. tiva үàp єủXapıotíav ктé. Fer (a confirmation of verse S) what thankssiaing are aue able to render in full to God concerning you for all the joy with which we rejoice on account of you before our God; night and day aboie measure (exceedingly') fraying that we may sce your fuce and make complete those thimss which are lacking in your faith? - a $v \tau-a \pi 0-\delta o \hat{-}-$ $v a \mathrm{l}$, to give in return (àvT-) in full, or what is due ( $-a \pi 0-$ ). - $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \pi$ áan $\tau \hat{n}$ Xapă. Note here another rendering of $\epsilon \pi i$ w. the dat. Cf. v. 7. - ท̂ xaipopev. The dat. is commonly viewed here as an attraction fr. the acc. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \nu$, acc. of cogn. meaning. Cf. Matt. 2. ro. ; yet the dat. with $\chi a^{\prime} \rho \omega$
also occurs，cf．Jno．3．29．－＇่̇ $\mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ тov̂ $\theta \in 0$ v̂ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ shows the character

 order，to muke complete $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ．It may be supposed that they still needed much instruction，as they had so recently been converted from heathenism or Judaism．The surprising thing is that they should already have made so great and rapid advances in a knowledge of Chris－ tianity，－a proof of the enlightening influences of the Holy Spirit．
 grammatically in several different ways．The simplest const．is that in the R．V．Now may our God and Fither himself，and our Lord Fesus di－ rect（lit．make straight）our way to you．Thus $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ limits both $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho$ and $\theta \in o ́ s$, and the intens．aúrós qualifies both．The rendering in the O．V．－ Now God himuself and our Fither and our Lord Fesus Christ direct etc． －is certainly objectionable，as liable to mislead the common reader，sug－ gesting three distinct persons．Note кatєu日v́val，opt．without å $\nu$ ，express－ ing a wish（the infin．is katevөivat）．Note also the sing．number here and in v．12，suggesting the unity in action of the Father and the Son．－ The reading Xpıotós，after I $\eta \sigma o u s s$ ，not retained in critical editions．
 make you to increase and abound in lowe towards one another and towards all ment，as zue also（do）tozards your．Note the emphatic repetition of ípus． $\delta$ кúpios in verse 12 may refer either to the Father or to the Son．The connection（cf．verse II）and the ordinary use in Paul＇s epistles would
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu$, more abundant，and $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \sigma o ́ v$, ozer and above，－words nearly syn－
 prominence given to this trait in Christian character．Cf．I Cor．13． 13. －kai $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s$ ．The ellipsis in the ist pers．plur．is readily supplied．－$\epsilon$ is à入入ウ́入ovs，єis mávtas，єis úuâs．Not simply tozuards，reachins up to，as in an Eng．idiom；but into．Their love was to reach into the inner being， the inner life，the vital interests，of all．
 he may establisk（confirm，Alf．）your hearts unblamable in holiness before our God and Father at the coming（or in the presence）of our Lord Fesus with all his saints．Amen．Connect єis тò $\sigma \tau \eta p i \xi a \iota ~ \kappa \tau \kappa \in .$, closely w．the optatives in verse 12 ，as expressing the end in view．－$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \tau 0 v s$ ，so as
 á $\gamma \iota a \sigma \mu o ́ s$ ．Lex．Th．Rare words in N．T．Cf．also $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta$ ，freq．in N．T．－тоv̂ $\theta \in o v ̂$ кai $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta j \mu \hat{\mu \nu} v . ~ N o t e ~ t h e ~ o r d e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ w o r d s ~ a n d ~$ the rendering：$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{w} . \theta \in o \hat{v}$ and $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o$ ；cf．verse II，note．－$\mu \in \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon}$ ， with，in the midst of，all his saints，all his holy＇ones，including probably the idea of both saints and angels．－á $\mu \dot{\eta} v$ is omitted by Alf．，Ell．，Lünem．， Riggenbach，et al．

Chap. IV. Exhortation to continue and make progress in the Christian life; to be consecrated, chaste, and free from covetousness (Vv. I-S). Exhortation to brotherly love, to quiet industry, and to exemplary living ( $\mathrm{V} v$. 9 $^{-12 \text { ). Respecting departed friends }}$ and the coming of the Lord ( $\mathrm{Vv} .13-18$ ).

V̌v. i, 2. Loumòv oûv ктé., Finally then, brethren, we bessech you, and exhort (encourase yout) in the Lord Fesus, that (in order that) as ye received from us how ( $\tau \grave{o} \pi \bar{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$, just howu) ye ousht to walk (to conduct yourseliès, to live) and to please Goil, wein as ye do also wealk, that ye abound still move (in your Christian walk and conversation). - $\Lambda$ oimov marks the transition to
 appeal to the Thessalonians in view of the thought in verse $1_{3}$, chap. 3 . - N'ote the N. T. use of $\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. - $\hat{\epsilon} v$ кvpi $\varphi$ ' 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂$, the sphere and element in which alone the appeal is made. Cf. Phil. 2. 1; Eph. 4. 17. iva after the intervening clauses repeated before $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon u ́ \eta \tau \epsilon$ - тò $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$
 before $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$, also. Ye not only received the instructions, but ye also walk in accordance with them. - oíSarє $\gamma$ àp tivas $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$. For ye linozu (an appeal to their own recollection and knowledge) aihat charres (wihat commands, orders) we gave to you through the Lord Fisus (through him as the authority; hence they did not originate with the apostle, although he was commissioned to deliver them). Cf. I Cor. I. Iо. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega ิ . .$. סıà $\kappa \tau$ モ.
 fication, that yo alstuin from (kows yourseives aloof frem) fornication, that cuch one of you know houi to acquire for himsalf his owen evssel in sanctificittion and honor. - Oed $\lambda \mu \mathrm{a}$, that which has been willed, made definite by the
 frogress in consecration; also the effect, sanctification. Rom. 6. 19. Cf..
 mopvéas, from fornication, a prevalent sin among heathen nations. - тò écuтov̂ $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v}$, his owihl ressel. Does this mean his cuon body? If so, we are compelled to give $\kappa \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ a meaning which is found nowhere else, - to fossiss. But the pf. $\kappa \in \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$ is the word for this idea. The evidence scems conclusire that $\tau \delta \sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o s$ was, in common usage and in Roman law, spoken of the wive. So the Latin zus. Hence we adopt the rendering above given, that cach one of you know how to acquive for himself his ozun vessel (i e. his own wife) in sanctification and honor. Cf. I Pet. 3 . 7 ; also Lex. Th. $\kappa \tau \alpha \dot{o} \rho \mu a \iota$ and $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o s$. Such is now the prevailing view of the meaning. So Alf., Ell., Lünem., Ewald, Hofman, Jowett, De Wette, Riggenbach; and among the older commentators, Augustine, Thomas Aquinas, Zwingli, et al.

Vv. 5, 6. In the same const. and closely connected w. what precedes.
 lust, as the Gentiles also. If they were to do thus, they would be acting as
 ròv 0 éo, who know not God, i. e. have no just and adequate idea of his
 the preceding infinitives), that no one (we may readily supply $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$ ) go beyond and gain the aderntage of his brother in the transaction (in the thing (done), i. e. in acquiring for himself $\tau \delta$ éautov̂ $\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o s$, his ozun tessel, his wife. Such is the only natural interpretation. It is quite probable that intrigue and unfair dealing may have been common among the Gentiles in this matter; and this would be a great disturbing element in social
 exacts the penalty) concorning all these thiners, as also we before (plainly)
 it is not only true, but we also told you. Cf. note on кaí, verse 5 .

Vv. 7, 8. oủ үào éká $\lambda \leqslant \sigma \in \nu \kappa \tau \in \in$. For (introduces a fact which confirms the foregoing) God did not call us for unuleanness (to practice impurity, like the Gentiles in their private social relations) but in sanctification, (consecration, purity). Note the use of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu$ ós here ; in opp. to àkäap-
 and counts as naught these exhortations) rejects not man (a mere human beins's) but God, toho also gives his Holy Spirit to you ( $\epsilon$ is ípass, entering into $y$ rout. - Tou- $\gamma \alpha \rho$-ôv , each particle has its usual force, - intens., causal, illative. Cf. Germ. doch denn mun. - tòv kal Sıסóvta, who also gives. He not only makes plain his requirements, but he also gizes his Holy Spirit, - the Spirit whose attribute is holiness, - to encourage us in the right way, the way of holiness. Note the form of expression in the

 (love of the brethren, R. V.) ye have no need of our woriting to you.- "This is a not unusual touch of delicate rhetoric with St. Paul (cf. a Cor. 9. II; Philem. 19; ch. 5. r.). It conveys tacit but gentle reproof. The knowledge and the practice already exist ; but the latter is not quite in proportion to the former." Alf. - av̉zol $\gamma$ àp $\mathfrak{i} \mu \epsilon \mathrm{i} \mathrm{s} ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon$. For ye yourselves are
 and entering into the lozing one another. - кai үàp moteît aúrò єis ктє., for indeed ye do it towards all the brethren in all Macedonia. ( $\epsilon$ is $\kappa \tau \in$. Your love is not only directed to or tozuards, $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ s, all the brethren; but
 exhort you, brethren, that ye abound still more (in this love), and that ye make an carnest effort (make it your aim) to lead a quiet life and to do your ozun business (i. e. not to neglect your own proper duties and not to meddle
with the affairs of others) and to work with your own hands (the church no doubt being composed of those who were engaged in manual labor), accordints as ( $\kappa a \theta \omega$ 's, fr. katá, $\dot{\omega} s$ ) zue chargred you (i. e. when we were present with you), in order that ye may walk in a becoming manner in relation to those who are without (those who are not Christians) and may have need of nothing (or of no mann, Ell., et al. The neut. scems more logical). The importance of all these exhortations, in the circumstances in which the Thessalonian church was placed, can hardly be over-estimated. This fact becomes still plainer in what follows.
 timue ignorant, brethern, concornins those who are sloeping (or those who fall asleep, pres.), that ye sorrow not as also the rest (of men), those who have not a hope (a hope respecting the future life). It would appear that the Thessalonian church were expecting the final coming of Christ in their own day and were greatly troubled respecting the welfare of their departed friends.- $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \gamma \dot{a} \rho \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \mu \epsilon v \kappa \tau \in$. Fior (introducing the reason why they should not sorrow) if ave believe that Fesus died and rose again (this doctrine they had accepted when they first believed), thus also will God, through Fisus, bring those who have fallen asleep, together with him (i. e. with Jesus). Thus Jesus is viewed as mediator; and those who are raised accompany him at the resurrection. This const. adheres most closely to the ordinary use of $\delta \iota a$ w. the gen. Most modern scholars view it thus. Cf. Lex. Th. $\delta \iota a ́$, III. 2. a. Many, however, connect $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$
 asleep in Fesus will God brings with him, or "those luid to sleep throug'l Fesus" (Ell.). This const. is acknowledged to be unusual ; and is doctrinally no more in accordance with the other scriptures. By qoùs noum$\theta$ ív $\tau \alpha$, the Thessalonians would understand those of their own number. i. e. believers, who had fallen asleep. - äkct, will bring, understood of raising from the dead and bringing to the heavenly home.
V'v. I5, 16. то̂̂то $\gamma$ àp ข́pî̀ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ктє́. For (confirmation by a direct revelation) this we say to you by the word of the Lord (lit. in the word ctc. : Eng. idiom, in the zoords of the Lord) that we who are aliee, who are left to the coming of the Lord (eis, into that day) will certainly not precede those who have fallen asleep. - ov̉ $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \in \nu(\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega)$ : an emphat. form of denial. - öть aúròs ó кúpıos ктє́. Because (reason for the foregoing statement) the Lord himself will descend from heazen with a command (a loud summons), with the voice of the archangel and with the trum力
 $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$. . . $\hat{\epsilon} v \sigma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \pi เ \gamma \gamma \mathrm{l}$, lit. in etc.; i. e. the descent will take place during, in the sphere of these occurrences: dat. of time when. - aủròs ó кúplos, the Lord himself, i. e. the Lord Jesus. - appayyenov, of the archangel; Greek article omitted, as often w. a proper name. - $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 v$, at first, adv.;
not $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \pi o t$. No reference here to the first and second resurrection, as in Rev. 20. 5 ; but as $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha$ suggests, before the event mentioned in verse 17.

The words $\hat{\eta} \mu \in i \hat{s}$ oi ̧ $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \in s$ suggest the important inquiry, did Paul expect to live until the parousia? Was he thus mistaken? Many expositors say, yes! Others say, no!-and, I think, rightly. Paul still belonged to the class of $\zeta \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ when these words were written; and it was quite in keeping with the popular use of language to say zue who are alive; but this is guarded and modified by the next clause, those zolo surevize. Farrar remarks on this passage: "He had as little meant positively to assert that he would survive to the Advent when he said 'we that are alive,' as he meant positively to assert that he should die before it occurred, when, years afterwards, he wrote, 'He which raised up the Lord Jesus shall raise up us also by Jesus.' That the we in these instances was generic is obvious from the fact that he uses it of the dead and of the living in the same Epistle, saying in one place, ' $W$ e shall not all sleep,' and in another, 'God will also raise up $u s$ by His own power.' '" Cf. I Cor. 6. 14; 15.51. We are thus able by a strict and proper interpretation of language to avoid the hypothesis that the apostle Paul was laboring under a great mistake respecting the Messiah and his work on earth.
 left, shall be causht up ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta a$, $\dot{\alpha} p \pi \alpha \dot{\jmath} \dot{\varsigma} \omega$ ) at the same time (or all together, ä $\mu a$ ) in company with them ( $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ à̇oois, the dead that have been raised) in clouds to meet the Lord in the air; and thus we shall be aletays with the Lord. Wherefore comfort (encourage) one another zuith these words. - $\ddot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a . ~ . ~ . ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ \sigma \grave{v} \nu \kappa \tau \in \in d e n o t e ~ t h e ~ s e q u e n c e ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ c l o s e ~ p r o x-~}$ imity of the two great events, - the resurrection of the dead in Christ
 lit. into a meeting of the Lord, as he is coming down from heaven. - eis
 we, - those who have been raised and those who have been caught up. - ̈̈бтє $\pi a p a k a \lambda \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ (pres. tense), Wherefore comfort (continually) etc. What assurance could be more comforting?

With this passage cf. I Cor. ch. I5. It should be borne in mind that both passages were written to Christian churches, for instruction respecting the future of believers in Christ. Neither passage can legitimately be viewed as a presentation of the general subject of the resurrection. This is found elsewhere, particularly in Matt. ch. 25, and in Rev. ch. 20. Alford remarks on verse 17, "That he advances no further in the prophetic description, but breaks off at our union in Christ's presence, is accounted for by his purpose being accomplished, in having shown that they who have died in Christ, shall not be thereby deprived of any advan-
tage at His coming." Any inference from this passage that the wicked will not be raised from the dead is illogical, and contradicts other passages of Scripture.

Chap. V. The definite time of the Lord's coming $=0$ revealed. It will be sudden and unexpected by the world. Hience it is important to watch and be ready (vv. I-II). General exhortations (vv. 12-24). He asks for their prayers, sends a salutation to all the brethren, and a direction that his letter be read before the assembled church (vv. 25-27). He closes with a brief prayer for the divine blessing to abide with them (v. 28).

Vr. 1, 2. חepi $\delta$ è $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ xpóvov $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. But concirning the times and the seasons (the time's in general and the difinite times, i. e. when the events just predicted will occur), brethren, ye have no need that anything be zuritten to you (cf. the const. ch. 4. 9). Paul had already taught them as much as could be known on this subject. - av̉roi $\gamma$ àp ảkpı $\beta \omega \hat{s} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. For ye yoursches know definitily that the day of the Lord is coming just as a thief in the night. Of the time when it would come, they were not informed (cf. Acts $\mathrm{I}_{6} 7$ ); but of the manner of its coming, they were already informed, and he again assures them. The words i, mépa кupiou have been differently understood; as meaning the day of one's death; the destruction of Jerusalem ; and the fimal coming of Christ. That it may be used in these three ways camnot fairly be questioned; but the connection here points plainly to the final coming. Of this, the destruction of Jerusalem was a sign, an anticipation, the beginning of the end. So also, as E:11. remarks (Note on Phil. r. 6), "The day of Christ, whether far off or near, is the decisive day to each individual; it is fractically coinctident with the day of his death." Of the nearness or remoteness of that day the apostle does not here speak.
 general) ; feuce and sufetr, then sudden (unforesecn) destruction is coming. ufen them (stands by them, Lex. Th. É $\phi$ '-i $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{u}$ ) aten as the travail-fain ufon the woman with child, and they certainly (ou $\mu$ रो) shatl net escate ( $\left.\epsilon \kappa-\phi \dot{v} \gamma \omega \sigma \tau \nu, \epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa-\phi \in \dot{v} \gamma \omega\right)$. The suddenness and certainty of the future event; yet with the uncertainty as to the time of its occurrence; the character only of the time - when men are not expecting it - is here declared. - єip $\eta \dot{\nu} \eta$ кal ảa $\phi a ́ \lambda \epsilon ı a, ~ s c . ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i ́ \nu$.

Vv. 4, 5. Very different will it be with believers in Christ. - 讠仑peîs
 day (the day spoken of in verse 2) may, as a thicf, orartake (surprise, Ell.) you. -iva, that, in order that; denoting the divine purpose. - тávтes $\gamma$ àp ن́peìs $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, for ye are all sons of light and sons of (the) diay; zue are not of
(the) might nor of durkness. Hence the reason why believers in Christ will not be taken by surprise. - $\mathbf{u} \mu \mathrm{i} \hat{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{yc}$, addressed to the Thessalonian church; we: Paul here includes himself with them, and with all Christians.
Vv. 6, 7. షipa oûv $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \in \in$. So then let us not be asleep, as the rest (of men, "i. e. the careless world." Alf.), but let us wurtch (be wide awoake) and be sober (temperate, in no way intoxicated) ; for those who sleep sleep in the night, and those who are drunken are drunken in the night. - Note äpa oûv, freq. w. Paul: not thus in Attic; oûv the general illative ; ápa (postpos. in Att.) denoting a subjective impression. See Lex.
 $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$. But let us, since we are of the day (oैvтєs, causal, as well as temporal) be sober (free from intoxication, habitually; pres. tense), having put on the breastplate of fuith and love (gen. of appos.; hucving put on fuith and love as a breastplite) and as a helmet the hope of salvation: figures of speech readily understood, and expressive, in those days when the weapons of the heavy-armed soldier were so constantly seen. - $\sigma \omega$ т $\eta$ pias, object. gen. Note how frequently our salvation is spoken of as something still in the future; begun, but not yet complete. - örı oủk êقєто $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \kappa \tau \in ́ .$, , because (introducing the reason for such hope; and more remotely, for our continuing sober) God did not appoint us for anger (to enter into such a state) but for a securing (as one's own property) of salvation through our Lord Fesus Christ. A knowledge of the divine purpose might well strengthen the hope of salvation and conduce to a life of sobriety. They are also reminded through whom their salvation was made secure ; and in verse ro, how it was accomplished.
 zuhether wee are awake or asleep (not in the same sense as in verse 6, spiritually asleep, but the same general idea as Rom. 14 8, zuhether wee live or die) we may live (have spiritual life) together with him. Note $\pi \epsilon \rho i \mathrm{w}$. the gen. after àmotavóvoos, the usual const.; never in this sense the dat., as


 Cf. ch. 4. 17. - Siò тарака入ोîte $\kappa \tau \in \in$. Wherefore continue to exhort and comfort one another (pres. tense; $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, to exhort and comfort), and build eack other upp, even as ye also are doing. The assurances in vv. 9, io afforded a sufficient encouragement for all this. - Note the metaphor in oiкoסoueite, build up, edify, i. e. strengthen in every Christian grace: eis, appos. w. the subj. ; $\tau \delta \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu a$, obj. of the verb, suggests individual effort. Each one was exhorted to participate in this work. - кaө̀ेs . . . тoteîte, an encouraging acknowledgment of what they were already doing.
Vv. 12, I3 Eрตт
treat, beseech, cf. 4. 1) you to knowe those who toil among you and are ower you in the Lord and admonish your. - eiofeval, to know, i. e. to recognize and appreciate them in their work and office. Has this exhortation lost any of its importance at the present day ? - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v \kappa v \rho i \varphi$, , the sphere of their labor and in which they are over you. кai $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{ol} \kappa \tau \in$, , and to think exceeding highly of them in lowe on account of their work. - èv á $\gamma \dot{a} \pi n$, in love, in Christian affiction and estecm. It was not to be a mere intellectual admiration of their brilliant talents, as is too often the case now. The reason for this love follows, - on account of their work. - єipquevert év aủroîs, be at pace among yourselves, - an independent sentence. Note aùzoîs (st. aúroîs, or éautoîs) in Tisch. So freq. With the thought cf. 2 Cor. I3. II. A very important exhortation at all times, but especially in the earliest days of Christianity.

Vv. 14-22. Exhortations addressed to the entire church ( $\left.\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi 0_{i}\right)$; not simply to the Elders, as some have understood them.
 monish the disorderly, encourugre (comfort) the faint-hearted, support (hold firmly to) the weak, be patient towards all. - тарака入é $\omega$ and mapa $\mu \nu \theta \in$ opal, nearly synon. Cf. 2. if, note. The latter word very rare; used only twice in Paul's Epistles ; $\pi$ apauvtia, once only, I Cor. 14. 3.- $\mu a$ -

 fortitude; verb, to endure, remain under, support; àvoxí (once only in N. T.), ávéxouau (nearly the same meaning as $\dot{u} \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ ), a holding $\quad u \neq$, endurance, forbearance; verb, to hold ut, to endiure, to forbear; to suffer ; àvéxoual (rare, no noun corresponding), to have, or to hold, one's self ofposite to so as to support, to hold firmly (Tit. 1. 9).
 that no one render evil in return for evil to any one, but alzarys pursue that which is good towards one another and towards all (looking into the interests of one another and of all). Alzuyys rejoice, pray zuithout ceasing, in everything gize thanks; for this is the will of God in Christ Fesus towards you. Who but the Christian has understood all these exhortations and sought faithfully to obey them? With the Holy Spirit in the heart, one cannot be revengeful even towards those who have injured him, but will seek to promote their welfare. He will rejoice continually, will be in the spirit of prayer without ceasing, and in everything will be thankful. Evidently, the prayer here enjoined is not a form of words, but the frame of mind. Cf. Eph. 6. 18; Col. 4. 2; also Eph. 5. 20. - тô̂то үàp ктє́., for this etc. refers directly to the giving of thanks; but includes, I think, the precepts before this in vv. 16,17 .
 not the Spirit (a figure of speech readily understood. It would not be
forgotten that on the day of Pentecost the IIoly Spirit had appeared

 naught，in comparison with the gift of speaking with tongues，may have been seen at Thessalonica．It was especially marked in the Corinthian
 （test）all things，hold．fust（firmly）that zuhich is good．The three points follow each other logically．Do not despise prophesyings，but test them （separating the good from the bad），and hold fast the good．－ámò mav－
 visible appearance）of evil．－eiסos，properly that which strikes the eye，any－ thing seen，a form．Lex．Th．

Vv．2．3，24．Aútòs $\delta \mathbf{E}$ ò $\theta$ eòs $\kappa \tau$ é．And may the God of peace himself sanctify you woholly，and may your spirit and soul and body be kept entire without blame at the coming of our Lord Fisus Christ．Faithful（trust－ worthy）is he who calls you，who will also do it．一o $\theta$ ès $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{E}$ होp $\mathrm{p} v \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ，the God of peace；He who loves peace，and who alone can impart perfect
 tify（consecrate，purify）you wholly（completely，ö̀ $\mathrm{\lambda os}$ ，whole，entire，$\tau \in ́ \lambda o s$ ， end）．Sanctification is thus viewed as progressive．It was already be－ gun in them，but was not yet complete；the $\tau \in \dot{\text {＇}}$ os was not yet reached． －ó̀ók入ךpor（ö̀os，$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s, a$ lot，a share），all that has fallen by lot（Lex． Th．），complete in all its parts，entire ：adj．agrees w．$\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ ，understood w．$\psi u \chi \dot{\eta}$ and $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ．The spirit，soul，and body，the entire man，all that belongs to the human being．－$\tau \grave{o} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a$ ，the spirit，the higher immate－ rial nature of man，vis superior ；$\dot{\eta} \psi u x \eta$ ，＂the lower or animal soul， containing the passions and desires which we have in common with the brutes，but which in $u s$ is ennobled and drawn up by the $\pi \nu \in \hat{u} \mu a$＂（Alf．），vis inferior：－$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \tau \omega s\left(\alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau o s, a\right.$ priv．，$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi о \mu a t$ ，to blame），not liable to censure or blame，blameless，expressing quality；connect w．$\delta \lambda \delta \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu, ~ e x-~$ pressing quantity．－ $\bar{\epsilon} v \tau \mathfrak{n} \pi a \rho o v \sigma i ́ a$, the time when，or $i n$ which，i．e．the coming of our Lord to judgment，cf．ch．2．19，notes．The anticipation of this great day，however remote it may appear to us，might well occupy a larger place in our thoughts as a future certainty．$-\tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \in$ єi $\eta(\tau \eta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega)$ ， optat．of wishing．－o кал⿳⿵人一⿲丶丶㇒一⿱一𫝀口灬，He who calls，i．e．God the Father．Cf．
 plish it，i．e．will bring to pass all that is contained in the foregoing prayer，verse 23 ．

Vv 25－28．＇A $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о i, k \tau \varepsilon$ ．Brethren，pray for us Note $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ，nearly
 brethren with a holy kiss，－a common form of affectionate salutation ：$\dot{\epsilon} v$ ， lit．in，＂the kiss being the vehicle of the salutation＂Alf－＇vopki ${ }^{2} \omega$ （w two accs．So in Attic）ípâs $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \in$. I adjure you（I solemnly entreat you）
by the Lord that the efistle be read (a่vaүv由नồval, àva- $\gamma เ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) to all the bretheren (i.e. publicly read to all the brethren in Thessalonica). The solemn earnestness with which he makes this request is noteworthy. It contained, beyond a question, some most important instructions; important not less to the members of the church generally than to the few who might first receive the letter. Alf. suggests that the aor. infin. refers to a single act; i.e. a reading of the whole at one meeting. One eminent commentator, in another connection, asserts very positively that the aor. denotes momentary action. The time occupied in the reading of this epistle would be rather a long moment. Paul solemnly asks that the thing be done; that is all : not in a moment, and not necessarily at one meeting of the church. See Greek grammars, aor. tense. - 'H Xápıs $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. (sc. єï optat. of wishing), May the grace of our Lord Fesus Christ be weith you. Note the omission of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$. This, the first of Paul's extant epistles, closes with one of his briefest forms. For the longest, and to us most familiar, see 2 Cor. 13 . 13. Cf. also note on the same.

## SECOND EPISTLE TO THE THESSALONIANS.

Written also at Corinth, not many months after the first Epistle.

Chap. I. Address and salutation (vv. I, 2). A recognition of the progress of the church in faith and love and steadfastness in the midst of trials (vv. 3, 4) ; a comforting and encouraging reference to their reward at the coming of Christ (vv. 5-10) ; Paul assures them of his remembrance of them in his prayers, stating to them for what he prays (vv. II, I2).

Vv. 1, 2. Mav̂入os ктe.. Paul and Silvanues and Timothy to the churciz of the Thessaloniuns in God our Fiather and the Lord Fesus Christ; Grace to you and peace from God our Fiuther and the Lord Fesus Christ. Cf. I Thess. I. I, note. - ámrò $\theta_{\text {eov̂ } \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \text {. (not added in I Thess.), from as the }}$ source.
 thanks to God always conceming you, brethren, as it is befitting (meet), because (in zieze of the fuct that) your fuith increases exceedingly (virep-) ana the love of each one of you all towards one another abounds (becomes $\pi \lambda \epsilon \frac{1}{}{ }^{2}$ ).
 ó $\phi$ eì ouev, we owe it, we feel it to be a duty and we acknowledge it, in viezu

 each member of the church for every other member increases. The form of expression in the Greek is noteworthy; and the statement suggests an important lesson for us.
$\mathrm{Vv}, 4.5$. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ aủroùs $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s} \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., so that we ourselves glory in you (as the sphere, or the object) in the churches of God (those in Corinth and Achaia) concerning your stcadfustness and fuith in the midst of all your persecutions and the afflictions which ye endure. - We ourselves, as well as others who hear concerning you. Cf. I Thess. I. 8 ; we naturally includes here the three who address the church in verse $\mathbf{I}$. - aîs, attracted to the case of the antecedent. - $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{́} \chi \in \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon\left(\dot{a} \nu-\varepsilon^{\prime} \chi o \mu a \iota\right)$; cf. I Thess. 5. I4,
note. - $\mathrm{E} v \delta \epsilon เ \gamma \mu \alpha \kappa \tau \epsilon$. (in explanatory appos. to the foregoing) a proof (demonstration, evidence) of the righteous judgment of God (that which will appear at his final coming ; cf. vv. 6, 7), that ye may be counted woorthy of the kingdom of God, for which ye also suffer. Their steadfastness and faith in their trying situation pointed to the righteous decision which would come at last, by which they would be counted worthy of the kingdom of God. So is it ever. The same Christian graces, to the thoughtful mind, point to the same glorious result. - The kingsdom of God, " of which the Christian here on earth is a subject, but the full privileges of which he is to enjoy hereafter." Ell. Cf. I Thess. 2.12.

Vv. 6-S. Explanation and confirmation of "the righteous judgment of God." - єiँтр סíkawov ктé., if indeed (a supposition which admits of but one answer) it is a righteous thing with God to repay affliction to those who affict you, and to you who are afficted (to repay, to give in full) rest (relief) with us, at the revelution ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ w. dat. the time when) of the Lord Fesus from heaven with the angels of his power in fluming fire (lit. in a fire of flame), giving (azuarding) vengrance to those who know not God and to those who obey not the grospel of our Lord Yesus. - єimep סíkaiov, sc. É $\sigma$ iv, not subjunc., if it is (as a matter of fact) a rightious thing. The punishment of the wicked, and the reward of the righteous, are here assumed to be a righteous thing, about which there could be no question. - $\delta \mathbf{\delta}$ סóvros,
 clauses following declare to whom this will be awarded: to those who know not etc. is thought to refer to the Gentile world ; to those who obey not etc., to the unbelieving Jews.

Vv. 9, to. oïtเves $\delta i \kappa \eta \eta$ тíoovolv ( $\tau i \nu \omega$ ) ктé., being of such a character that they zuill pay the penalty of (zuill suffer as a punishment) eternal ruin from the face of the Lord and from the glory of his might. - oïtues, Lex. Th. ö $\sigma \tau \iota s, 2$. - ö $\lambda \in \theta \rho o \mathrm{v}$, destruction, muin; as we say now of a man who loses his reputation or his property "he is ruined." Here, "the loss of a life of blessedness after death, future misery." Lex. Th. —ötav "è $\theta_{\eta}$ èv-
 be fulfilled), when he shall come (shall have come, ă $\nu$ w. aor. subjunc.) to be glorified in his saints and to be wondered at in all those who believed (because our testimony to you was believed) in that diry (connect w. '่̇ $\delta o \xi \xi a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$, ... өavuarөîval $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$.$) . - In his saints, "the element of his glorification.$ He will be glorified in them, just as the sun is reflected in a mirror." Alf. Cf. Gal. 1. 24 ; Is. 49. 3.-In all those who believed, "sc. owing to the reflection of His glory and power which is displayed in those that believed on Him while they were on earth." Ell.

Was St. Paul mistaken in all these representations of the final destiny of the righteous and the wicked? The language is as plain and free from ambiguity as language can be. We do well to profit by what is
here so plainly revealed to us, and not to cavil or seek to go beyond what is revealed.
 which, i. e. the glorious destiny that awaits believers in Christ) we also pray alworys concerning you (for you, $\pi \in \rho!$, cf. I Thess. 5. 25, note) that our God may count you worthy (make you worthy, Luther, Grotius, Flatt, Olsh., Ewald, et al.) of your calling (the divine invitation) and may fulffl, bring to completion, every desire (grood-will, choice) of goodness and woork of fuith, in power (connect w. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \eta$ ). $-\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \kappa \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ includes the idea of the initial act (I Thess. 2. 12), the life here on earth (Eph. 4. I), and the future blessedness, as here. Cf. Phil. 3. 14. - Epyov $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, woork of fuith, work belonging to faith, resulting from it. Paul, not less than James, believed in a vital faith, which prompted Christian activity. Cf.
 Fesus may be glorified in you and you in him, according to the grace of our God and the Lord Fesus Christ. - rò òvoua ктєє., "not a mere periphrasis for $\delta$ кúpoos, but specifies that character and personality as revealed to and acknowledged by men." Ell. A very important point, to be ever
 $\dot{\epsilon} v$ av̉rஸ̂, applies distinctively to the Thessalonians; verse io is general, applies to all believers. Lïnem. and Hofmann refer aùvê to ơvoua (and you in it). The thought is not materially changed. - кãò $\tau \eta ̀ \nu$ Xápıv $\kappa \tau \epsilon$. Some translate this, according to the grace of our God and Lord Yesus Christ, comparing it with Rom. 9. 5; Tit. 2. 13. So Hofmann, Riggenbach. Yet as the omission of the article before kúpos (viewed as a proper name) is so common, most commentators prefer the usual translation (as above).

Chap. II. Vv. I-I2. The leading doctrine of the epistle; viz. the man of iniquity must first be revealed and destroyed, before the final coming of the Lord. Then follows, to ch. 3. 15 , the hortatory portion of the epistle. Vv. 13-17, exhortation to persevere in a life of faith ; and prayer to God that he would enable them to do this.
 brethren, concerning ( $\dot{v \pi \epsilon} \hat{\rho}$ nearly $=\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ) the coming of our Lord Fesus Christ and our gathering together unto him ; to the cnd that (in order that, Alf.) ye be not quickly shaken from your mind nor yet be troubled, either by spirit or by word or by epistle, as if by us (purporting to be from us), as that (as if it be a fact that) the day of the Lord stands near, slands in sight, impends. (Lex. Th. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ - $\mathfrak{i} \sigma \tau \eta \mu u$ ) - $\dot{\mu} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma v v a y \omega \gamma \eta ิ s ~ \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, our gathering

unto him，denotes not merely direction towards（ $\pi \rho$ ós），but the actual ar－ rival．－$\epsilon$ is $\tau$ ò $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa \tau \in$ en denotes purpose and at the same time is usually viewed as the obj．of $\epsilon^{\rho} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega}, \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．Cf．I Thess．2．12；3．10．With this const．a period is placed at the end of verse 2．So Alf．，Ell．，Tisch．， B．U．，et al．The punctuation of W－H．，and R．V．，placing only a comma， or semicolon，after kupiuv，makes the first clause of verse 3 the obj．of $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \mu \in \nu$, －We lesecil you，brethren，respecting the coming of our Lord
 àmò rov̂ voós，to be shaken，agituted，azuay from the mind，so as to lose one＇s
 ．．．nor．The last negatives，in an English idiom，not rendered here， after $\epsilon$ is $\tau \delta \mu \dot{\eta}$ ．－By＇spirit，i．e．by any one assuming to have a supernat－ ural，spiritual revelation；by woord，oral communication；by cpistle，as if by us（comnect w．the two preceding clauses，－by werd，purporting to come from us；by epistle，claiming the same source）．It appears that there were deceivers in those days，as well as now．－$\dot{\text { s }}$ örl，suffosing that，as if it be a fact that．Cf． 2 Cor．11．2I．－ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v$－$\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，lit．stands in， i．e．is just at hand（Am．R．V．）．
 （We beseech you，brethren，．．．）let no man deceize you in any manner，be－ cause（that day will not arrize）unless there shall haze come the filling autay （the afostusy）in the first place，and there shall have been reacaled（ajmo－ ка入vфөŋं，àmо－ка入и́ттш）the man of lazulcssness，the son of ferdition，he who stands in opfosition and exalts himself（ $\dot{v} \epsilon \rho-a \iota \rho o ́ \mu \in v o s, \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho-a i \rho \omega)$ agrainst （vintp－，above，èmi w．acc．，ascainst）ecery one called God or that is an alject of worship，so that he sits in the temple of God exhiliting himself that he is Gord．－The ellipsis after ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ is requisite to make a complete sentence and is suggested by the connection．－ $\mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha$, the afostasy，article expressed．It is not improbable that Paul and the other apostles may already have forewarned the churches on this point．Cf．verse 5 －－odu－
 described more fully in what follows．－$\dot{\delta}$ viòs $\tau \hat{\jmath} s \dot{a} \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i a s$, applied by our Lord to Judas，Jno．17．12．－ód ávtcéj $\mu \in \operatorname{vos}$ ，he who stands in offosi－ tien，i．e．to Christ and the progress of the gospel．－Távta，acc．sing．
 kaOifal（aor．infin．fr．$\kappa \alpha \theta l \zeta \omega)$ ，a condensed const．；so that he enters into the temple of God and sits down in it．－ảmoઠ̌七кvívтa（agrees w．aủtóv） $\kappa \tau \varepsilon$＇，exhibiting himself etc．
 yet with you（lit．being yet ctc．）I told you（＂＇$\lambda$ eyov，impf．，used to tell yout） these thangs？and now that which restrains（holds in chicik）ye linow，to the end that he may be revealed in his own season．－кal vôv，and now＇in the logical，rather than temporal，sense．－тò кat＇ X ov，that which，the fozer
which, restrains (the man of lawlessness). - eis tò kTé., to the cnd that etc. the divine purpose. - '̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aủrov̂ (or av́rov̂) кalpề, in his ozvn season, proper time, and not sooner.

Vv. 7, S. тò $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{p} เ o v \kappa \tau \AA$. For the mystery of lazulessness is already zworkings, (yet) only until he who now restrains shatl have been tuken from the midst. (It is already working, but only with restraint up to a certain time.) Or, supplying $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ w. $\delta$ кaré $\chi \omega \nu$, only (there is) the one who restrains nowu until he shall have been taken from the midst. And then will be revealed the lazuless one, whom the Lord Fesus zuill destroy (ảve $\lambda \in \hat{i}$ fut. of $\dot{\alpha} v$-aupe $\omega$ ) with the breath of his mouth (thus easily) and woill bring to naught (render powerless) by the manifestation of his coming. - 'evep $\begin{gathered}\text { irirat }\end{gathered}$ may perhaps in this condensed sentence denote what is now going on and will continue up to a certain point in the future. The pres. expressing a future action is not unusual. Cf. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{i} \nu$, verse 9 . - $\dot{\delta}$ кат $\boldsymbol{\tau} \chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, presented as a person; above, rò katéxov, as a power. This is usually understood to mean civil government, as suppressing disorder and protecting society. - каi тóte, and then, when he who now restrains shall have been re-


 oia ктé., whose coming (or presence) is according to the working of Satan in all fower and signs and woonders (portents) of fullseliood. - ̇̇otiv, pres. to denote the certainty of the future event. - кar' èvép $\begin{gathered}\text { ctav tov̂ } \sigma a \tau a v a ̂\end{gathered}$ (rst declens. gen.), an efficiency, a superhuman working, such as belongs to Satan. On the form of the expression, cf. Eph. I. 19; Col. I. 29.Connect $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$ and $\psi \in \dot{u} \delta \dot{\delta} o u s$ logically w. the three substantives. - кal $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v}$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta \dot{a} \pi \dot{a}^{\tau} \eta \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., and in all deceit of unrighteousness (such as belongs to and is practiced by unrighteousness) for those who are perishing (dat. incommodi), because they did not receive the love of the truth to the end that they might be saved. - á $\nu 9$ ' $\begin{gathered} \\ v\end{gathered}$, for that, because. Luke I. 20 ; Acts 12. 23, et al. Thus it appears that the responsibility for their fate rests on themselves.

Vv. II, I2. кal $\delta \mathbf{L}$ т тov̂тo $\pi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon\llcorner ⿺ 𠃊 \tau \epsilon$. And on this account God sends them a zvorking of error ("a working which tends to enhance and develop $\pi \lambda$ ávj." Ell.) to the end that they should belieze (put fuith inn) that which is false, the lie; in order that they all may be judged, condemned (the more remote purpose, after $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\delta} \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon ')$ those zuho did not belicve (did not put faith in) the truth, but took pleasure in unrichteousness.
But what does this entire chapter thus far mean? On few passages in the New Testament has there been a greater diversity of opinion, and scholars are still far from being agreed as to the application of the leading expressions. Farrar shows conclusively that "the man of lawlessness" is not in detail the Roman Hierarchy. It seems rather to be a
personified description of human wickedness in its worst forms in every age.

Some sentences of Farrar on this subject are worth quoting. After some pertinent suggestions, he speaks thus: "To that vast limbo of exploded exegesis - the vastest and the dreariest that human imagination has conceived - I have no intention of adding a fresh conjecture. That the 'check' was the Roman Empire, and the 'checker' the Roman Emperor, may be regarded as reasonably certain ; beyond this, all is uncertain conjecture. . . . As to the precise details, considering the utter want of unanimity among Christian interpreters, I am content to say, with St. Augustine, ' I confess that I am entirely ignorant what the apostle meant.' "

But the question arises, What would be the effect of the passage on the Thessalonians? Though they might be unable to interpret all the details, as is often the case with prophecy; yet the general impression made can hardly be doubted. It would correct the misunderstanding of the first Epistle, and would lead them to resume their neglected business, which was so important to their welfare. Whether they, or even Paul himself, had any correct conception of the nearness or the remoteness of the parousia is very doubtful.

In connection with this subject, it is well to bear in mind the words of our Lord, in Acts, I. 7, "It is not for you to know times and seasons, which the Father hath set within his own authority." And again, the words of Peter contain a principle of great importance ( 2 Peter, 3. S), "But forget not this one thing, beloved, that one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day." How different must be the human and the divine estimate!

A single remark more on this subject. Is not the general idea in verse 8 in accord with Rev. 20. S, ff.? It would appear that Paul had some visions of the future similar to those of John. May he not also, like Matt. in chs. 24,25 , have comprehended in one view, both in one line, the earlier and the later farousia, - the earlier, at the destruction of Jerusalem ; the later, at the end of the world, the final farousia? It may be said that at the destruction of Jerusalem the Lord did not come with his angels. But can we safely make this assertion? In the famous picture of Kaulbach, our Lord and his angels form a conspicuous part of the sublime view ; and the truthfulness of the picture, in its leading conceptions, has not been questioned. If we adopt this supposition, there were no doubt many in the church at Thessalonica who lived till after that great event in A. D. 70, - a period of only eight years.
 thy; we emphat., in contrast w. those just mentioned) ought to gize thanks to God alauty's concerning you ( you also, in contrast w. those described in verse 12), brethren beloved of the Lord (i. e. the Lord Jesus. In I Thess.

1. 4, ì $\gamma a \pi \eta$ Lévot $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\delta} \theta \in o \hat{u})$, because God chose yout from the besinning (W-H., et al., read here $\dot{\alpha} \pi a p \mathrm{X} \dot{\boldsymbol{v}}$, chose you as first-fruits) unto (to enter into) salvation in sanctification of the Spirit (i.e. wrought by the Holy Spirit) and faith in the truth (dं $\lambda \eta \theta \in l a s$, objective gen.) ; to zuhich end (zoith a view to which; more lit., directing attention into which) he also
 gospel which we preach; as the means) to the obtaining of the glory of our Lord Fesus Christ (entering into the obtaining as a secure possession, of the glory etc. See Lex. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o i \eta \sigma t s$, and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-\pi \circ t \in(\omega)$.

Vv. 15-17. ぬpa oûv, à $\delta \in \lambda \phi$ oí, $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon$. So then, brethren, stand fust (pres. continue to stand fast, firm. Cf. I Thess. 3.8. Used here in antithesis to $\sigma a \lambda \in v \theta \hat{\eta} v a t$, verse 2), and hold (hold with strength, крáros. Cf. катє́ $\chi \in \epsilon$ I Cor. 1I. 2) the principles (the instructions) which ye were tuught, whether by zoord (i. e. orally) or by our letter. The rendering of $\pi a p a \delta o ́ \sigma \in \epsilon s$, traditions, as the word is usually understood, hardly applies to the teachings of Paul, which were so new in that age. This definition is not in Lex. Th. -üpa oûv. Cf. I Thess. 5. 6, note. - aủròs $\delta$ è ó
 And may our Lord Fesus Christ himself and God our Father, zwho loved us and gave (uls) eternal comfort and good hope in grace, comfort your hearts and strensthen ( you), make ( you ) from, in every zoork and word that is good ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega}$, emphat. posit.). An earnest prayer following closely on the exhortation in verse 15. - The R. V. understands $\tau$ às kapolas after $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \xi \alpha$ at. The majority of scholars (Alf., Ell., Liunem., et al.) understand $\dot{i} \mu a ̂ s, ~ a s ~$ above rendered. - Bear in mind the full meaning of $\pi \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, comfort, encouragement ; and of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha t$, to comfort and encourrage.

Chap. III. Exhortation to pray for him and his fellow-laborers (vv. I, 2). Expression of confidence that the Lord would establish and guide them (vv. 3, 4). A prayer for them (v. 5). A charge to avoid all who walk in a disorderly manner, and to be industrious in their habits, taking the apostle for an example in this particular; with a prayer that the Lord would give them peace (vv. 6-I6). Autograph salutation and concluding prayer for them (vv. 17, 18).
 remains) pray, brethren, for us (note here again $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́$ nearly $=\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ), that the word of the Lord may run and be giorified (cf. I. 12), even as with yout also (cf. I Thess. I. 6 ff.), and that we may be delivered from unveasonable (perverse, lit. those who are out of their place) and evil men; for not all
 Matt. 6. 13 ( $\hat{\rho} \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi \grave{̀} ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho o ̂ ̀) . ~$
 кúplos $\kappa \tau \mathfrak{E}$. But faithful is the Lord, who woill strengthen you, make you firm, and gruard you from evil (or from the coil one. Cf. again Matt. 6. 13). And we have confuldence in the Lord respecting yout ('ॄф' i $\mu \mathrm{is}$, extending over you) that what we charge ye are doing and will do (in the future). -
 Lex.
V. 5. ó $\delta \grave{e}$ кúplos katevoúval (optat. of wishing) $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$. And may the Lord direct your hearts (by a straight course, edं日v's) into the love of God and into the endurance (fortitude) of Christ (such as belongs to his character and such as he imparts to those who trust in him). - The love of God, his love of the Christian and the Christian's love of him. There is no good reason for limiting the idea to either one of these relations. The genitive properly includes both. Cf. Rom. 8. 35, note.
 brethren, in the name of our Lord fesus Christ, that ye withdraw yourselves ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a} s$, subj., $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$, mid. ; pres. tense, that ye habitually, continually, withdraw yourselers) from ciery brother who zualks in a disorderly manner and not according to the instruction (the communication. Cf. 2. 15, note) which they reccived from us. - '̇v obvópave ктé, in the name etc.; a solemn form of expression : not in our own name or by our own authority do we
 a considerable number in this church who were negligent in their businesf, and also disorderly (cf. vv. ro-12) ; as a result, perhaps, of not understanding aright the first epistle ; yet in that he alludes to the same tendency (I Thess. 5. 14) ; and exhorts the church to admonish such persons. Here, he takes a less hopeful view, as their conduct may have become still more irregular, and exhorts the church to withdraw from them (in modern phrase, "to withdraw the hand of fellowship." - $\pi a p-$ $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\beta} \beta o \sigma a v$, Att. $\pi a p \in \hat{\lambda} \alpha \beta o v\left(\pi a p a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\nu} \omega\right)$. - Note the dif. between $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta$, from, and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, communication from a person.

Vv. 7, 8. av̉тol үàp oídate kré. For ye yourselves know how ye ousht ( $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S} \delta \hat{\mathrm{L}}$, how it is necessary, how it is a duty) to imitute us, because we did not act in a disorderly manner among you ( $\eta$ тaкт $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma a \mu \in \nu$, à $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \omega$, adj. äтakтos fr. a priv. and $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$, to set in order), nor did we even cat bread from any one as a gift (zuithout compensation); but in toil and sorrow (cf. I Thess. 2. 9, note) working night and day so as not to burden (so as not to
 in these circumstances, we ate our bread.

This is a very noteworthy statement in regard to the life and work of the apostle Paul.
 right to a support from the church. Cf. i Cor. 9 4), but (we toil thus) that
we may sive ourselves as an example to you, so that you should imitate us, or more lit. so as to imitate us. - oux ötı, I do not say that, I do not mean that. For other examples in the epistles of I'aul of this elliptical expression, cf. 2 Cor. 1. 24; 3. 5; Phil. 3. 12;4. 11, 17.- éavтov's, ourselves, Att. ท̀ $\mu$ âs
 zoith you, this wee commanded you (this we charged upon you), that if any one is not willing to zoork, neither (not even) let him eat.

Vv. II, I2. ákov́o $\mu \mathrm{sv}$ үáp $\tau เ v a s \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. For (introducing the reason for what he had just said) que liear that some are watlaing amons you in a disorderly manner, not at all woorking at their own business (for themselves, mid.) but zoorking beyond that which belongs to them (Lex. Th. $\pi \in \rho$ i, III. 2), i. e. meddling with the business of others. - ákovopev w. acc. and particip. This const. freq. in Attic, after verbac sentiendi. - Note the paronomasia
 (though very imperfectly) in English. - тoîs סè roıov́rots ктé. Nozu such fersons we charge and exhort in the Lord Fesus Christ (द̇v kupíw, cf. verse 6) that (in order that) zuith quietness working they eat their oien bread. '́pyayónevot, mid. zuhile working (or by working) for themselves; and this $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi l a s$, with quietness, in opposition to the noisy, bustling life of the intermeddler.

Vv. I3-15. ípsîs $\delta \hat{\epsilon}, k \tau \epsilon \in$. But ye, brethren (in distinction from those just addressed), become not zueary, fuint-hearted, in weil-doings, or while do-
 come not etc. The aor. of verbs denoting a state or condition often expresses an entrance into that state or condition. - $\varepsilon \mathbf{i} \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \iota s \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. Aud if any man fuils to obey (listen to, ínakov́єt) our word conveyed by the epistle, mark, or note ( for yourselves, mid. of $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon$ ob $\omega$ ) that mann ; do not associate zuith him (or do not kecp componny with himl, $\sigma v \nu-\alpha \nu a-\mu i \gamma \nu v \mu \mathrm{l})$ in order that he may be ashamed (may be turned to look within, to reflect; and thus through shame may be led to change his habits of life : $\epsilon^{\prime} \tau \tau \rho a \pi \hat{\eta}, 2$ aor. subjunc. pass. of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu-\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \omega)$. - Note the neg. oùz in a conditional sentence,
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., and do not regard him, think of him, as an enemy, but admonishis him as a brother.

All these directions were without doubt especially necessary in the churches of that day, composed as they were largely of those who had but recently been converted from heathenism. Yet it would not be amiss, if they were studied and observed more carefully in our times.
 the Lord of peace himself give to you peace (from such a source, peace would be genuine) always in every manner (note again the paronomasia
 all. This would include even those who were walking in a disorderly
manner, whom they were exhorted to admonish. We may surely ever pray for such persons. If they may but have the divine presence, it will be to them the best, the only sure, safeguard.
Vv. 17, 18. 'O à $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu$ òs $\kappa \tau \epsilon$. The salutation of me Pizul with my hand, which (ö, which thints) is a sign (token, mark) in cvery epistle, i. e. every epistle which required special identification. - oű $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i n }} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma} a \dot{\phi} \phi \omega$, so (in such a hand) $I$ write (as in $\vee v .17,18) .-\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\mathrm{a}}$, possess. adj. pron. $=\hat{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ov}$, gen. pers. pron. Ma:̇スou in appos. - $\dagger$ Xápıs $\kappa \tau \in \in$. (sc. eï $)$, May the grace of our Lord Fisus Churist be with you all. The same as the conclusion of I Thess., except that he here adds $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, cf. verse 16. Here again he would include those whom he had censured.

## FIRST EPISTLE TO THE CORINTHIANS.

For collateral information see Conybeare and Howson, Farrar, and the Bible Dictionaries.

Leading points in this epistle: After the salutation and introduction (ch. I. I-9), the apostle speaks of the factions in the church and states at length the character of his own preaching (ch. I. io -ch.4.2I) ; of the want of church discipline (ch. 5) ; of the impropriety of going to law before the heathen courts of justice, and a further warning against impurity (ch. 6); answer to the questions respecting marriage (ch. 7) ; and respecting meats offered to idols (ch. 8) ; of his own rights and conduct as an apostle (ch. 9.) ; of God's severity to the idolatrous Jews in the wilderness; the Lord's Supper not to be associated with idolatrous feasts; an additional word respecting meats offered to idols, with the statement of an important general principle (ch. IO) ; of disorders in their assemblies, pertaining partly to the conduct of women, and partly to the celebration of the Supper (ch. II) ; respecting spiritual gifts, with the passage on Christian love (chs. 12-14) ; on the resurrection of the dead (ch. 15) ; concerning the collection for the saints ; some personal messages; exhortations and salutations (ch. I 6 ).
 $\mu a r o s \theta_{\text {eov, }}$ through the will of God (not by human appointment or authority). For a similar thought cf. 2 Cor. I. I ; Gal. I. I ; Eph. r. I ; Col. r. I; I and 2 Tim. I. I. - кai $\Sigma \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \eta \eta$ s. Many suppose this to have been the amanuensis of Paul on this occasion (cf. 16.21); but this is wholly uncertain. Some suppose him to have been the one mentioned in Acts 18. 17; but this is not probable. No doubt he was some one well known to the Corinthians and highly esteemed by them as well as by Paul. -


meant an assembly of any kind, it was important to define the word as here by $\tau v \hat{v} \theta \in o \hat{v}$, to the chumrch of God; and also to designate the local-
 ä $\gamma$ oos), agrees in thought w. $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\jmath} \kappa \kappa \kappa \lambda \sigma$ la (the church, the assembly, i. e.
 fied in Christ Fesus. In what sense sanctifued? From the contents of this epistle it would appear that they were still very far from being completely holy, but that the work of divine grace was only begun in their hearts. Sanctified then means, set apart from the world, from the service of Satan, and consecratcd, devoted, to the service of God. Hence the descriptive clause $\epsilon \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $\boldsymbol{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v}$. Cf. árioıs rendered saints. As an adj. äpos is usually rendered in the N. T. holy. (The order of the clauses varies somewhat in different editions; but $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$. follow the same order as Tisch.). - к $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta}$ roîs áyious, called (of God to be) saints, i. e. persons consecrated. The call is regularly spoken of as coming from God. Cf. verse 9; Rom. S. 2S; Gal. 1. 6. - $\sigma \grave{v} v \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon$., together with all those who call on the name of our Lord Fisus Christ. Does this belong logically with the two clauses just preceding (sanctifiod in Christ Fesus, called of God to be saints, to rether with all etc.) ; or does it form a part of the address (to the church . . . in Corinth, . . . together with all etc.)? A variety of explanations may be found among the expositors. It seems most reasonable to interpret this sentence by Paul's own language in 2 Cor. I. i, to the church of God which is in Corinth together with all the saints who are in the whole of Achuia. This clause, therefore, would constitute a part of the address of the epistle. - rois è $\pi$ кка入оup'́vots $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., who call on the name of our Lord Fisus Christ, - "i. e. with an acknowledgment of him as being what his name imports; to wit, the sinner's only hope, his Redeemer, Justifier, Lord, final Judge." Hackett, note on Acts 2.3 .
 them (i. e. all who sall on the name etc.) and to us (i.e. Paul and Sosthenes). The expression indicates the strong bond of fellowship between Paul, with Sosthenes, and all the churches throughout Achaia. I prefer, with $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$., to remove the comma after rón $\varphi$ and adopt the above construction as the simplest grammatically and equally logical. So Alf., De Wette, Ell., Meyer, Kling, Edwards, et al. Cf. Vulg. in omni loco ipsorum et nostro. Luther, an allen ihren and unsern Orten. Yet the R. V. adopts the const. making aù $\bar{\omega} \nu$ кai $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ limit кupiov, their Lord and ours. So Chrys., Calvin, Godet, et al. - Xápıs $\kappa \tau \mathfrak{\varepsilon}$. Cf. Rom. I. 7, note.

Vv. 4-6. The kind and commendatory words of this paragraph are worthy of attention, and are not to be thought of as insincere or ironical.


(òì $\omega \mu u$ ) ... '̇v $\mathrm{X}_{\rho}$ - 'I $\eta \sigma$-, which was given to you in Christ Yesus (the
 $\tau i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \epsilon(\pi \lambda o u \tau i \zeta \omega)$ '̇v avicẹ, that (or in viezo of the fuct that, - introducing a more specified statement of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \hat{\eta} \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho-\kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon}$., and of the reason for thanksgiving) in everything (particularized in what follows) ye were made
 kinowledge, an jedzueder Rede und jedzeder Erkenntniss, Meyer. The gift of speech and of all knowledge (pertaining to the doctrine of Christ) appears to have been claimed especially by the Corinthians (cf. 2 Cor. 8. 7, II. 6), and is here conceded to them. - ka0is (a later Gr. word,
 ing as the testimony of Christ (the testimony concerning Christ in Paul's preaching) zeas confirmed (was made firm) in you (in your minds and hearts). This was the measure according to which they were enriched in all utterance, etc.
 of the preceding words) ye are not behind (others) in any gracious sift ( $\chi \alpha \dot{\rho} \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$, allied to $\chi$ ápıs, a gracious gift, but not referring to the spiritual
 for (patiently and with hope) the revelation of our Lord Yesus Christ (denoting the mental attitude of the early Christian churches, and the proper mental attitude of all Christians at all times). But what are we to understand here by the word $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \nu \psi \iota \nu$ ? Does it refer directly and exclusively to his final coming? I think not. Like the words ėmı申áveıa and $\pi a \rho o v \sigma i \alpha$, it may, I think, refer to his revealing himself, his appearing or coming, to the individual Christian. In keeping with this idea are the words of our Lord, in John 14. 3, spoken to his disciples shortly before his death: If $I$ go and prepare a place for yout, I will come agrain and receive you to mysclf, that where I am there ye may be also. Is it not true now also in our own experience, especially when we are in cleep affliction, that we are comforted and encouraged by the assurance that it will not be long before our Lord will reveal himself unto us, calling us home to be forever with him? Do we not also seek to comfort others by the same assurance? Cf. r Tim. 6. 14; Phil. r. 6, notes.
V. 8. ös is thought by the majority of expositors to mean $\theta$ eós (verse 4); but the most natural reference is to $\tau o \hat{v} k \nu \rho i ́ o v ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon \in . ~ S o ~$ Meyer, Kling, Winer, Ell., Godet, et al. Numerous objections have been made to this reference, but they may all be fairly answered. ôs кal $\beta \in \beta a \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{v} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{S} \kappa \tau \in \in$, zuho will also confirm you (make you firm) up to, even to, the end, i. e. until the revelation of our Lord Jesus Christ. The assurance that he will make us firm until he reveals himself to us and takes us to himself in the heavenly mansions, is a sufficient guaranty that he will keep us in safety until his final coming to judge the world;
until the $\sigma v \nu \tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a ~ \tau o \hat{u}$ aiŵvos, Matt. 13. 39 ff.; 24.3; 28. 20. - àve $\gamma$ к $\lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ to accusation) in the day of our Lord Fosus Christ, - the great day when he shall appear as judge of the world. Cf. I Thess. 3. 13. The repetition of the name, our Lord Fesus Christ, instead of the pronoun, is attended with a solemn emphasis. Cf., in verse 21, the solemn repetition $\tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{u} \ldots \tau \delta \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \partial \nu \ldots \delta \theta \epsilon o ́ s ;$ also the repetition in 2 Cor. I. 5, et al. The day when Christ will reveal himself to the individual Christian, calling him to the heavenly mansion, and the final day of this present ai $\omega \nu$, are both in the future and in the same line of vision; so that the human eye does not, cannot, take into account and measure the intervening distance.
V. 9. mioròs ó $\theta$ eós, Worthy to be believed and trusted is God. The confident expectation above spoken of is therefore reasonable. - $\delta \iota$ ' oû
 of his son (into a participation with his son) Fisus Christ our Lord; referring here, as the connection indicates, to a participation in his future and everlasting glory. Cf. Rom. S. 17, 21 ; Col. 3. 4; Phil. 3.20 ff.; 2 Thess. 2. 14 .
V. 10. таракалิิ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ípâs, Now (or but) I cxhort (or bescech) you. While $\delta \epsilon$ here is continuative, it is also slightly adversative, introducing a topic quite in contrast with the joyous assurance just expressed. The full meaning of $\pi \alpha р \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega ิ$ (with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma t s$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s)$, to cxhort, beseech, comfort, encourage, should always be kept in mind. It is much to be regretted that we have no one English word corresponding fully to it. - $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi o i$. Note the friendly address in introducing the unpleasant
 (or by) the name of our Lord Fesus Christ. This appeal, in view of what immediately follows, would have special significance here. The acknowledgment of this one name was then, and is ever, the bond of union. ¿va (introduces the purpose and the contents of the exhortation) . . . oxiorara, that ye all steak the same thing, and (that) there may not be among you dievisions, - that ye may all be united in word and in heart. The meaning of $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ here is made plain in verse $\mathbf{1 2}$. We are not to understand by it open ruptures leading to different places of worship, or, as we should now say, to the establishment of different churches, but rather

 order, see Th. Lex.; cf. Rom. 9. 22, Gal. 6. I, notes; cf. also катартiб $\mu$ iv, Eph. 4. 12, note) in the same mind and in the same judgment. Note the repetition of $\epsilon \nu$, thus making each clause more distinct ; $\nu 0 \hat{t}$, referring more directly to the mind as employed in thinking, and to the hiart as employed in feeling; $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$, referring more to the results of thinking
and feeling, juḑ̧ment, resolution, decision. Cf. עó́ $\omega$ and $\gamma เ \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$, Th. Lex. An appeal to the name and character of our Lord Jesus Christ is always the best means of securing union both in mind and in heart among all true Christians.
 the above exhortation) it was made plain to me (I zuas informed) concerning you, my brethren (this affectionate address repeated) by those of Chloe. Whether these were her children, or servants, or other members of her household, and whether her home was in Corinth or in Ephesus, or even in some other city, is now known only to those who have fertile imaginations. The Corinthians, however, would understand the reference. For the same const. cf. Rom. 16. ro, ir. Bengel suggests that this report, thus brought to Paul, was not mere tale-bearing, but a Christian duty. This is undoubtedly true ; and the results were most important. - ö $\tau \iota$
 rendered by our word strife. Reference is made to the same state of things as in $\sigma \chi^{i} \sigma \mu a \tau a$, verse 10.
 what follows). This use of $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ is found also in classic Greek; see
 of the following things), I am of Paul (i.e. a disciple of Paul) ; and I of

 No one man would say all of these things, but a single one of them, in opposition to all the others. To the last, I am of Christ, there could be no objection, only as it was uttered in a factious spirit, thus increasing the $\sigma \chi^{i} \sigma_{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ and ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \iota \delta \epsilon s$. The grammatical structure of the sentence is peculiar; but ëкабтоs denotes the individuality of the following utter-
 'A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega$ ẃs, Att. $2 d$ declens. Apollos is first mentioned in Acts 18. 24; again in 19. I, seven times in I Cor., and once in Tit. 3. I3. Why a party should be formed who said, $I$ am of Afollos, is not known. Perhaps for the simple and natural reason that he was " an eloquent man, mighty in the Scriptures," and that they much preferred to hear him preach. - K $\eta \phi \hat{\alpha}$, ist declens., fr. K $\eta \phi \hat{a} s$, the Jewish name of Peter (cf. John I. 43), and the form usually employed by Paul. This party might be composed of those whose tendencies were especially Jewish, and hence opposed to Paul as the acknowledged apostle to the Gentiles. The order of the four names is thought to be historical, - the order in which the four parties arose (Meyer).
V. I3. $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau a \iota(\mu \in \rho i ́ \zeta \omega)$ ó Xpıotós ; Is Christ (the one, sole head of the church, - the one great, perfect bond of union) divided? or, reading it as a direct statement, Christ is divided! So W-H., Lach., Stanley,

Meyer. It is difficult to decide positively between these two construc-

 ye baptized into the name of Paul? - addressed particularly to the Pauline party as a rebuke. Note the force of $\mu \dot{\prime}$ in a question, anticipating a negative answer: $\epsilon$ is $\tau \delta$ ơvoua ктє., into the name of Paul, i. e. with a recognition of him as your Lord and Saviour. Cf. $\tau \grave{\text { oे }} \mathrm{\nu} \circ \mu \alpha$, verse 2 , note. "Crux et baptismus nos Christo asserit" (Bengel).
 and W-H.), I gize thanks that I baptised no one of you cxcept Crispus and Gaius, lest some one may say (lit. in oraier that not any man may say') that your
 cf. K $\eta \phi \hat{\alpha}$, above) oikov, And I baptizad the housshold of Stéphănas also. This is introduced as a correction, on second thought. Stephănas is mentioned again in ch. 16. 15,17 : oikov, houschold, includes both children and servants, cf. Acts 7. 10; also Acts 18. 8, where the faith of the household is mentioned. - $\lambda o \iota \pi o ́ v$, besides, Lat. cetcrum. - oủk oîठa єi, I know not whether, am not azuare that, "expresses disbelief or doubt,", L. and Sc. The force of oì $\alpha$, as distinguished from $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$, I kneow, decide, judse, may generally, I think, be clearly seen. Cf. Phil. I. 25,
 Very many in Corinth were undoubtedly converted under Paul's ministry there ; and it would be a matter of much interest to know who officiated for him in the ordinance of baptism.
V. 17. In what follows (vv. 17-31) Paul justifies his course in devoting his entire strength to the preaching of the simple gospel. The line of argument does not seem to be directed specially against the parties above named, unless it be against those who professed to be of Apollos, who prided themselves perhaps on their worldly wisdom. - ov̉ $\gamma$ àp à $\pi$ t'$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \dot{v}(\dot{\alpha} \pi 0-\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega) \mu \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \in .$, For Christ did not commission me (note
 the grospel. Meyer suggests that the ordinance of baptism, as it was something outward and required no special mental endowments, may have been administered by the $\dot{i} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \in \tau a l$ of the apostle, and refers to Acts 135 . We are not, however, by any means to infer that Paul disparaged baptism as the public profession of a death and burial to the former life of sin and a resurrection to a new life of union with Christ. Paul's own example on reaching Damascus is noteworthy. After three days of fasting, even before he had eaten anything, he was baptized, and then " having taken fond received strength," Acts 9. 9, IS, 19. - oủk év oopíą 入óyou (connect closely w. $\epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i\langle\epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, and note the absolute neg. oùk), not in wisdom of specck, - not in a cultivated refinement of language or a philosophical form of address. Recollect that $\sigma \circ \phi_{i}^{\prime} a$ and $\sigma o \neq o ́ s$ were ofien
used to signify philosofhy and philosopher ; and these ideas, especially in Greece, would be naturally associated with them. - iva $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ ( $\kappa \in \nu \delta \omega$, to make empty, fr. $\kappa \in \nu$ ós, empty) $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \in$., lest the cross of Christ ("the great central point of his preaching, exhibiting man's guilt and God's love in their highest degrees and closest connection," Alf.) be made empty, or void, of no significance and no effect. This might result then, as it does sometimes now, from philosophical speculation. iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$, in order
 emphatic position. In reading the English sentence the words, the cross of Christ, should receive the emphasis.

Vv. IS, 19. A confirmation of verse $\mathbf{1 7}$, that the cross of Christ would become void if philosophy should become the theme of discourse. ó $\lambda$ óyos $\gamma$ àp ó rov $\sigma \tau a v p o v ̂ ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, , For the word that of the cross (the story of the cross) is to those zuho are perishing foolishness. They prefer philo-
 but to us who are being sazed it is God's power. The present participle in both clauses indicates that which is going on, progressing. Our salvation is now only begun, and will not be complete till we reach the end of our course. Cf. Rom. I3. II, for now is our salvation nearer than when we believed. - $\delta v ́ v a \mu ı s ~ \theta \in o v ̂: ~ c f . ~ R o m . ~ i . ~ I 6 . ~-~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma p a \pi \tau a l ~ \gamma a ́ p ~(a ~ c o n f i r-~$ mation from the Old Test., Is. 29. 14, of the thought just expressed). -
 of the wise, and will set at nangsht, zuill reject (the LXX. reads кр'v $\omega$, will cover up) the prudence of the prudent, or the intelligence of the intelligent: oviveats, comm. the fuculty of quick comprehension: $\sigma o \phi i a$, wisdom, philosophy. See L. and Sc.; cf. Col. I. 9, note.
V. 20. The prophecy just cited is fulfilled, $-\pi 0 \hat{v} ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi o v ̂, ~$ where? where? where? The implied answer is, nowhere, - they have no longer any place. Cf. I5. 55; Rom. 3.27. oodós, a zuise man, a phi-
 $\tau \eta \tau \eta \dot{s}$ ), a disputer, a joint investigator; 一 тov̂ aî̂vos тov́rov, of this age, this con, connect with all three of the preceding substantives. - ouxi (emphat. neg., anticipating an affirmative answer) é $\mu \omega \dot{\rho} a v \in \nu$ ( $\mu \omega \rho a i v \omega$, to make foolish, to convict of folly; fr. $\mu \omega$ pós, dull, stupid) ò $\theta$ हòs $\tau \grave{\nu}$ бoфíav rov̂ kór $\mu$ ov; has not God made foolish, convicted of folly, the wisdom, the philosophy, of the zworld? It had never revealed to a single soul - not to Socrates, not to Plato, not to Aristotle - the one thing most needful for the perishing, condemned simer to know, how man may be
 notes.
V. 21. '̇ॄetiò (both temporal and causal, when, after, Lat. post-
 ov̉к ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \nu \omega$ ( $\gamma_{\imath} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ) $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., for (supposing an affirmative answer to the
preceding question) seeing that in the wistom of God the world (Jews and Gentiles) through its wisiom knew not God (i. e. the world had failed by the use of its wisdom to know God), (then after this failure) God was well pleased, through the feolishness of that which was proclaimed (namely, the story of the cross, verse IS), to saze those who have fuith. ̇̇̀ vị̂ $\sigma o \phi i ́ a ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, in the wisdom of God. All which is here affirmed - the failure of human wisdom and the triumphant success of the gospel - was brought about in God's wisdom. oùk ধ̈ $\gamma \nu \omega$, knezu not, fuiled to knowi, i. e. failed to gain that definite and clear knowledge which was indispensable to the salvation of the soul. $\delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \omega p i a s ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. Cf. verse IS. Have we not in this remarkable statement an epitome of the world's religious history ?
 principal sentence. This use of $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ (introducing a principal sentence after a subordinate one) occurs also in classic Greek; see L. and Sc. каì . . . каi : parallel sentences. The first кal is not conveniently ren-
 and Greeks seek for wistom, we on the other hand ( $\delta$ 'é) procluim Christ
 not all of them; hence without the article. - Xpıotòv '̇oravpapévov ( $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ́ \omega)$ ), Christ crucificed; - not Christ as a worker of miracles, nor Christ as a philosopher, a teacher of new and most important truths; but Christ as crucificd, - Christ as dying on the cross for the sins of men. This was the one objective point to which Paul wished to direct the attention of Jews and Grecks alike, although it might be a stumblines-block to Fioùs and feolishiness to Greeks. Cf. ch. 2. 2 ; Gal. 3. 1. - okávסadov,
 was to Jews a stumbling-hlock, a scandal (cf. Gal. 5. II) ; to Gentiles foolishness, silliness. We are not in danger of over-emphasizing $\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \nu \delta \alpha-$ $\lambda o \nu$ and $\mu \omega p i a \nu$. Are there not those, many in number, to whom the story of the cross is still a scandal or a silly absurdity? - aủroîs $\delta \mathbf{E}$ тois $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 i ̂ s, \kappa \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon}$. , but to the called themselves (in contrast with those just mentioned), both Fiws and Greeks, (we proclaim) Christ, God's power and God's wisdom; i.e. to the called themselves our preaching of Christ crucified brings the conviction that he is God's power and God's wisdom, both in union. The above const. of aùroîs is in strict accordance with Greek usage. So Vulg, ipsis autem vocutis; Meyer, den Berufenen ihres Theils, fïr ihre Personen (cf. 2 Cor. I1. 14; Heb. 9. 23). On тoîs кえ $\eta \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ s e e ~$ verse 2 , note on $\kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 i ̂ s$ áyiols.
 which is foolish on the part of God (to human view, i.e. his plan of salva. tion through Christ crucified) is wiser than man (in all their plans and speculations, which never saved a single soul), and that culhich is weak on
the part of God（as men view it，with reference still to the plan of salva－ tion）is stronger than men（since they never had the power to save a soul from death）．

V．26．B入є́ $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ү⿳亠口冋阝 $\kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon}$ ．，For（introduces a fuller explanation and confirmation in vv．26－29 of the statement just made）behold your calling， brethren．$\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ，either indic．or imperat．in form，seems more natural and forcible as imperat．here．－$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，your calling ；i．e． your calling to share in the kingdom of God，and your position in the world．The word seems to have here this twofold reference．－ö $\tau \iota$ ou
 ellipsis of the former is more in accordance with Greek usage，）that not many are wise according to the fesh，not many mighty（powerfful，influen－
 i．e．in worldly relations and to human view．

Vv．27－29．（No！not the wise，the influential，those of high rank，）
 God choose out（see Lex．Th．̇̇ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ，Mid．），select for himself（ $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \omega \rho \alpha ́$, the things which are foolish，including，of course，the idea of persons）．－ iva кataioxúvn rov̀s $\sigma 0 \phi \frac{1}{s}$ ，that he might put to shame the zoise，the philosofhers，by showing them the utter worthlessness of their wisdom．
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon v \hat{\eta}$（adj．$\left.\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime},-\epsilon \in s\right)$ ，the Tveak，feeble things ；тà ioxupá，the things

 ．．．the thingss which are set at naught，counted as nothing，．．．the things that are not．Note the subjective neg．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，the things zulich in the esti－ mation of the zoorld have no existence．Observe also the climax in these three clauses．－iva ．．．катарүท̄ण，that he might make completely （кaqa－）ialle（useless，unoccupied，áprós）the thingss that are．кarapү＇є $\omega$ ： cf．Rom．3． 3 and 31 ；4．14；Gal．3．17，－a much stronger word than
 that no flesh may glory（lit．to the end that every flesh，i．e．every mortal man， may not glory，－a frequent Hebraism in N．Test．）．－èvळ́rıov rov̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ ， in the presence of God，face to face with God．

Vv．30，31．Our true relation to God，and the only just ground of
 the emphatic words at the beginning and end of the sentence：of him， as the source，the author ；in Christ Yesus，the sphere，the vital element．
 wisdom to uis from God，righteousness as well as sanctification，and redemp－ tion．The two words $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma$ v́v $\eta$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota a \sigma \mu$ ós，so closely related in
 connect logically w．＇̇ $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ，became ．．．from God（proceeding from God）．

- iva kтe., in order that (it may come to pass) eaen as it has been wurilten; in order that this ancient exhortation may become a present and living
 an abbreviated and free citation from the LXX., Jer. 9. 24. द̇ע кupi $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ refers here (as regularly in citations from the O. Test.) to God in the O. Test. sense.

Chap. II. Application of the last paragraph to Paul himself as a preacher in Corinth (Vv. 1-5). Yet he speaks wisdom among those who are perfect, a higher wisclom, apprehended only by the spiritual man (Vv. 6-I6).
 the foregoing argument, every preacher of the gospel) in coming to you, brethren, came, not with any superiority of speech (of eloguence) or of wisdom (philosophy), in announcing to you the testimony of God. où кat' úmepo$\chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, not according to, not after the manner of, superiority etc. T̀ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau u ́ p i o v$ $\tau o \hat{v} \theta \in o \hat{v}$, the testimony of God, i. e. the testimony in regard to God (objective gen.), namely, what he has done in Christ for the salvation of men (cf. $\tau \delta \quad \mu \alpha \rho \tau u ́ p ı o \nu ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ X \rho-, ~ I-6) . ~ N o t e ~ t h e ~ p u n c t u a t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s e n t e n c e, ~$ connecting ov w. what follows. W-II. prefer the reading $\mu v \sigma \tau$ nforov, the mystery of God, that which he has revealed in Christ.
V. 2. oủ Yàp êxplva eíé̂val tlèv úpîv. What does oủ qualify? In any ordinary Greek sentence, either classic or N. Test. Greek, the answer would be without hesitation: oủ qualifies ëкюıгa, and cannot from its position (also from the fact that it is où instead of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) qualify eiò'vau, or be joined with $\tau$ i. What, then, making oú qualify ěкрıva, does the sentence mean? How shall we render it to make any sense? Simply enough: For I did not decide, i. e. it zuas not my determination, I was not of a mind, to know anything among your. - єi $\mu \eta$. . . évтavpఉpévov, except Fesus Christ and him (as) crucified (and that too as crucified, though this presentation might be offensive to the taste of many among you). With a slight change of form in the sentence, we may present the idea thus: I had no other thought and determination in coming among you than to preach Christ, and that, too, the crucified Christ. Cf. I. 23 ff . So the const. is understood by Alf., Meyer, Godet, Ell., et al. "For I did not resolve to know anything among you, except" etc. Alf. "Denn ich beschloss nicht (nahm nicht die Aufgabe mir vor), etwas ausser Jesu Christo, und zwar dem Gekreuzigten, unter euch zu wissen." Meyer. "Non enim judicavi me scire aliquid inter vos" etc. Vulg. "Non enim judicavi" etc. Beng. "Denn nicht nahm ich mir vor etwas zu wissen." De Wette. We may also bear in mind the principle of meiosis, by which a weaker form of expression, or a negation, often suggests something much
stronger, or even a positive affirmation. So here, I did not decide to know, suggests and warrants the usual English rendering, I determined not to kinuzo etc. Cf. oŭ $\phi \eta \mu \mathrm{l}, I$ do not affirm, I deny. Verse I4, où ס́́ $\chi \in \tau \alpha u$, does not receive, i. e. rejects.
V. 3. "Describes the preacher, as the former verse did his theme."
 became) in zevaleness and in fear and in much trembling (in view apparently of the weighty responsibility resting upon him). A remarkable statement as coming from the great apostle to the Gentiles. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and the dat. with a verb of motion implies not only the coming into a state, but the continuance in it. Note the repetition of $\epsilon \nu$, making each noun more distinct. - $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ̂ u a ̂ s, ~ i n ~ r e l u t i o n ~ t o ~ y o u, ~ o r ~ w i t h ~ y o u ~(c f . ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ w . ~ a c c ., ~ R o m . ~$ 5. I; John I. I). Whether there is any reference here to physical infirmity is doubtful. $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \eta \nu$ is not, I think, used in the sense of $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$, verse I .

Vv. 4, 5. кal ó $\lambda$ óyos $\mu$ ou кт€. And my zuord (includes the two ideas of ratio and oratio, argument and delivery) and my proclamation (lit. the thing prochainued) (were) not in persuasive words of avisdonn (of philosophy).
 objective, in demonstration of etc.; i. e. in pointing to, in demonstrating the presence of etc.; or as subjective, in demonstration belonging to and springing from the Spirit and power (of God). The latter seems best
 may not be in (as the vital element) men's wistom, but in Goa's power. Who can estimate the difference! $\mathcal{l \nu}^{2} \kappa \tau \mathcal{\epsilon}$. indicates the divine purpose, in which that of Paul was wholly absorbed, and is closely connected with the thought of verse 4.
 other apostles) speak zuistome among those who are perfect (or full-grown). $\delta \epsilon$ serves both as a connective and as adversative. Its force is similar to that of our frequent expression, and yet. Note that $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$ is always in the N. T. used in a dignificd sense ; never in the sense, to chatter, to prattle. $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \iota$, full-grozun, complecte; in oppos. to $\nu \dot{\prime} \pi \iota o l$ (ch. 3. I. Cf. Eph.
 rulers of this world (this aon) woho are coming to naugrit. The leading truths of Christianity contain a wisdom which will make all mere human authority and philosophy idlle and useless (àpyós). Note the pres. particip., indicating something already begun and in progress.


 concealed, or hidden. The word mystery does not, as it is now often understood, signify something inexplicable or above human intelligence,
but rather something which has been kept secret and not hitherto revealed. We speak God's wisdom in a mystery, i. e. in the sphere of a mystery; "as handling, or dealing with, a mystery." Alf. Though the clause is to be comnected closely with $\lambda \alpha \lambda o \hat{\nu} \mu \in \nu$, yet it should not be disconnected in thought with what directly precedes and follows it. For the
 ( $\pi \rho 0$-opí ${ }^{\prime} \omega$, öpos, a boundury, Lat. terminus) ó $\theta \in$ òs $\kappa \tau \in \in .$, which (zuisdom, including the idea of the divine plan-and purpose in our redemption) God predetermined ages ago (lit. before the agres, or cons) avith a vicw to, or for, our glory ( $\epsilon$ is w. the acc., directing the thought into).
 rulers of this woorld (this age or cont) has known. The rulers, i. e. the fore-
 oủk ¿̀v . . . द̀ $\sigma \tau a v ́ p \omega \sigma a v$ ( 1 aor. act. $\sigma \tau \alpha u p o ́ \omega$ ), for (proof of the foregoing) if they had known it, they would not have crucified (would not have put to death, and that too an ignominious and most painful death) the Lord of glory. How little did Amnas and Caiaphas, Pilate and Herod, understand the wisdom of God, shrewd as they may have been and doubtless were in the wisdom of the world! How little did they understand what they were about! Cf. Luke 23.34; Acts 3. I7.
 hats been written; what things cye saw not and car heard not and (what) entered not on a human heart, what God prepared for those who lowe him. There is much doubt respecting the grammatical construction. Perhaps the simplest is to bear in mind $\lambda \alpha \lambda o \hat{\nu} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ above. We should then with the citation connect in thought, these are the things which we speak. But whence the citation? On this point there has been much discussion. The opinions of scholars seem to be about equally divided between the two suppositions that it is taken from a lost apocryphal book, and that it is a free citation from Is. 64.4 , and 65.17 . Alford very properly remarks, "Such minglings together of clauses from various parts are not unexampled with the Ap., especially when, as here, he is not citing as authority, but merely illustrating his argument by O. T. expressions." This point is well taken. The distinction would certainly be recognized in any modern argument. But let us not, in this inquiry respecting the origin of the citation, lose sight of the sublime truth contained in the words. How inspiring to our hopes!
 us (i. e. Paul and the other apostles; cf. verse 6, note) God revealed them throught the (or his) Spirit. סé antithetical to the statement, zuhat eye did not see etc. ; but to us etc. àmoкали́ттш, to uncover, to disclose, reveal. Cf.
 here as the medium of communication. Cf. Eph. I. 17, note; 3. 3 and 5,
note. - $\tau$ ò $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ àp (a confirmation of the statement that the revelation was
 form of $\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \in \nu{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \omega\right) \kappa \tau \epsilon$. For the Spirit searches (explores) all things, even the depths of God. $\beta \dot{a} \theta \eta$, fr. $\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta o s$, subst., cf. Rom. II. 33 ; not fr. $\beta a \theta \dot{u} s, ~ a d j ., ~$ which would be $\tau \grave{\alpha} \beta a \theta_{\epsilon} \alpha$. Cf. Apoc. 2. 24.
V. ri. $\tau i s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho(a$ confirmation of the statement just made) oî $\delta \leqslant v \tau \epsilon \in$. For who of men knowes (is cognizant of) the things of the mann (the human being) except the spirit of the man whiōh is in him? $\tau 0 \hat{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi o v$, of the mann, i. e. of some definite, individual man. How often we are at a loss, in spite of smooth words, as to the actual thoughts, plans, purposes, deep
 God also no one has known (definitely), except the Spirit of God. oùסeis, no one etc. This is not to be taken as exclusive of the Son; but of all created intelligences. For a statement of the unity in knowledge of the Father and the Son, see Luke 10. 22.
 spirit of the world (i. e. of the men of this unbelieving world, perhaps with a reference to the äp $\rho$ дovtes toû aî̀vos тoútov, vv. 6-§). Is the word "spirit" here to be understood of an actual person? Most expositors understand it in the abstract sense ; but Meyer, Alf., Kling, et al. consider it to mean the same as "the god of this world," 2 Cor. 4.4 ; roû $\delta \iota a \beta o \delta \lambda o v$, Eph. 6. Ir. This view, however, is not taken by Ell. and Godet. It is certainly very doubtful whether the writer had this in
 out from) God: aus Gott ist (ausgegangen ist auf die Gläubigen). Meyer.
 may know the things graciously given to us of God; namely, 合 $\dot{\eta} \tau o i \mu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu ~ \delta ~$
 the earnest.
 we speak also (we not only know them, but speak them), not in woords taught by human zuisdom. Note the full force of $\delta \star \delta a \kappa \tau$ ós, taugght, capable of being tauçht. Also the full meaning of $\lambda$ óros, a word, arsument, discourse. Latin, ratio et oratio. Akin to $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$. See Lex., $\lambda$ d́ros and $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$. We may render the clause thus: not in zoords which human wis-
 taught by the Spirit. The gen. in each clause is closely connected w. the
 ( $\sigma v \nu \kappa \rho i \nu \omega$, Att. $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho(\nu \omega)$, combining, comparing, spiritual things with spiritual; or examining, discorning spiritual things side by side ( $\sigma v v^{-}$) zuith spiritual. This principle would be violated by seeking to communicate spiritual truths in words as they are taught by human wisdom. Let the student take some of the leading words of the N. T., as mi $i \sigma \tau \iota \delta \chi$ ápıs,
 pare their spiritual meaning, as used in the N. T., with their classical meaning as found in philosophical writings. I think he will be struck with the force and propriety of the principle here announced by the apostle.

Among the various interpretations of this clause, the above is generally preferred. Many, however, from Chrys. down to the present, understand $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o i s ~ a s ~ m a s c ., ~ a n d ~ \sigma \nu \nu \kappa p i v o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a s ~ m e a n i n g ~ i n t e r p r e t i n g, ~ e x p l a i n-~$ ing; thus, interpretinr, or explaining, sfiritual truthe to spiritual fersons. This view is adopted by Alf. (later editions), Stanley, Godet, et al. ; but not by Edwards or Ell. See Lex. Th., $\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \rho i \nu \omega$.
 mand does not recive the thingrs of the Sfirit of God. $\psi v \chi$ thós is rendered natural, unspiritual, psychical, animal (Alf.). I think, however, the idea is conveyed clearly and correctly here, in connection w. ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, by either of the common words unconverted or unvegenerate. $\psi v \chi$ úsós is the opposite of $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$, and means one whose higher nature, the $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$, has not been pervaded and enlightened by the Holy Spirit. It is not
 rejects. - $\mu \omega \rho i a$ yàp . . è ėviv, for (the logical reason why he rejects them) to him they are feolishness. Cf. I. IS - кai ou Suvaral $\gamma$ vōat ( $\gamma$ เү $\dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega)$ ), and he is not able (so long as he remains $\psi u \chi u$ uós) to know (them). He is not in a suitable condition to recognize them. - ört (introduces the cause of his not receiving and of his inability) $\pi v \in v \mu a \tau \leftarrow \kappa \omega$ ávaкpiverau, becouse they are spiritually (i. e. by the spirit enlightened and pervaded by the Holy Spirit) judyed, or examined. Such a person has not the requisite faculties in operation, by which he can judge of the things of the Spirit of God. Does not this explain the phenomenon, so often witnessed now, of the apparent blindness to the truths and claims of the gospel in so many persons who are otherwise, on all other subjects, remarkably intelligent?
 resenerate man, whose $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ is pervaded by the Holy Spirit) judses, or examines, all things, i. e. all spiritual things, $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тov̂ $\pi \nu \in \mathfrak{i} \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o \hat{u} \theta \in o \hat{u}$, or perhaps in a wider sense, as some understand it, "everything which presents itself to his judgment." Meyer. - av̉ròs סè . . . ávakpivetau, but he himself is julsed, examined (and properly understood) by no one,
 stands in his real, inner, character immeasurably above the $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa \partial े s \not{ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho \omega$ mos, the unvegenerate man. The motives of his life are an enigma, beyond any possible solution. This is as fully true now as ever. To the mere worldling the career of such a man as Carey or Judson is a stupendous folly, and utterly incomprehensible.
V. 16. A confirmation of the last half of verse 15. No unregencrate man understands the mind of the Lord. We who are spiritual ( $\pi \nu \in \cup \mu a \tau t-$ $\kappa_{0}$ l $^{\text {) possess this mind, - the mind of Christ. Therefore, no unregen- }}$ erate man understands the mind which we possess. tis $\gamma$ àp è $\gamma \nu \omega$ voûv кирiov, ôs $\sigma v \mu \beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota(\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha}\langle\omega)$ av̉тóv; (The idea is put in the form of a citation from the O. T.). For who has known the mind of the Lord (has so far understood his mind) that he will instruct him? The ready and spontaneous answer is, no man. Note the relat. ofs, so that he. See Lex. Th. ös, 7. The citation is from Is. 40. 13, nearly in the words of the LXX. $\nu o \hat{v}$, the mind, thoutghts, plans. $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, will instruct, teach; so used
 $\mu \in \nu$, but we (emphat.) have the mind of Christ; and thus are raised above the ordinary human judgment ; are able to examine and judge all spiritual things.

Chap. III. Application of the preceding principles to the church in Corinth (vv. I-4). The mutual relations, the work, and the reward of each one who had preached the gospel among them (vv. 5-15). A solemn warning to the church (vv. 16-23).
 said) $I$, etc. In coming among you I did not find you capable of receiving and understanding the $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau v \hat{v} \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o \hat{u} \theta \in o \hat{v}$. Note again the kindly address, $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi o i ́ . ~-~ o u ̉ k ~ \grave{\eta} \delta v v \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ ( $\delta \dot{\prime} v a \mu \alpha t$, w. double augment) $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \mathrm{k} \kappa \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{E}$, was not able to speak to you as to persons who were spiritual (who were enlightened by the Holy Spirit). - ád入’ ís $\sigma a p k i v o l s ~ \kappa \tau є \in ., ~$ but (I was only able to speak to you) as to persons who weve carnal, as to babes in Christ. $\sigma$ d́pкılos, made of flesh, fleshly, carnal (cf. 2 Cor. 3.3); баркнкós, pertaining to the flesh, sensual, carnal. (L. and Sc. regard them as nearly synonymous ; cf. Thayer.) Note the important word $\dot{\omega} s$, as, as if. He does not say they were actually in the fullest sense carnal. He could hardly in that case address them as $\dot{d} \dot{\delta} \in \lambda \phi \dot{o}^{\prime}$. $\dot{\omega}$ s often denotes that which is merely apparent ; cf. 4. I, 9, as if to persons who were carnal, as if to babes in Christ, i. e. to those who had acquired no spiritual maturity. Though they were as babes, he recognizes the fact that they were in Christ; and this clause corrects any possible misunderstanding of © s $\sigma$ рккivots.
 ( $\pi$ oti $\grave{\zeta} \omega$ ), ov $\beta$ р $\omega \mu$ a, I gave yout milk to drink, (I did) not (give you) something to be eaten, solid food. The word meat, as now usually understood, means flesh of some kind, and hence is not a good rendering of $\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$. Note the zeugma in this sentence : énúтıбa Is save to drink, governs both nouns, but is suited in meaning only to $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$. Winer, § 66. 2, e. -



 influenced by desires and appetites belonging to the flesh. Cf. Rom. 7. I4, note. - öтоv үàp . . èpıs, oúx ктé., For zuhere (there is) among yout jealousy and strife, are you not carnal etc.? ömov is a frequent word in N . Test. Greek, and has in every other passage the signification where, in what place: many, however, understand it here as causal, whicreas, Lat. quando. But is it necessary to depart from the ordinary meaning here ? I think not. The apostle says, where there is etc., there is proof that you are still carnal. The jealousy and strife were not universal, but only local ; existing perhaps in many places and relations, still not pervading their entire organization and life. The sentence is equally logical if we adhere thus to the ordinary meaning of $\overline{\circ \pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma o v}$. So Alf., "öтou, not $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\ell}$, but putting the assumption in a local form." - кarà äv $\begin{aligned} & \text { p } \omega \pi т \circ v \text {, }\end{aligned}$ after the manner of a human being (cf. Rom. 3.5), - as an anregenerate man ; essentially the same idea as кат̀̀ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha$, Rom. 8.4. "It was natural for the Jews to see in man (Nָּ), the carthly, an implication of what was defective, imperfect ; indeed, the exact antithesis to God and whatever was godlike." Kling.
 Poull, and another (says), $I$ (am) of Afollos, are ye not men? Cf. note on

 and another, not correl. w. '่̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \mu^{\prime} \dot{v} \nu$. Only two parties are here named, - that of Paul and that of Apollos, - as these were no doubt the most influential and the most prominent in the mind of Paul ; besides, they are mentioned as "a sample of the sectarian spirit prevalent." Alf. $\tau i$ oîv é $\sigma \tau i v \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., What then (a question suggested by the existing party spirit) is Apollos? and what is Paul? The reading $\tau i$; what? st. $\tau$ 's; who? directs attention more pointedly to their humble position and character. The answer is direct and distinct. - $\delta\left(a ́ k o v o l ~ \delta \iota^{\prime} \hat{\omega} v ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon \in .\right.$, Serzants (nothing more) throughz zuhom (as the instrument or means, $\delta i a$, , not as the source or origin) yocame to have faith. If we can retain the radical connection between $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \dot{v} \omega$, and if the force of the aor., denoting entrance into a state or condition (Good., $\S 200$, Note 5, (b), H-A. 841), can be represented in English, it will be more exact and true to the original. סıákovot is not well rendered here by the word ministers, as this word has now acquired a technical sense much more limited than
 even as the Lord gave (this frith) to each one. Cf. Rom. 12. 3. Expositors differ on the question whether $\delta$ rúpoos here means God ( $\delta$ © $\theta$ ós, cf. Rom. 12. 3) or the Lord Jesus Christ; nor is it at all important to settle
this question. The statement of Paul is simply this, that their faith was not of human, but of divine origin.

Vv. 6, 7. The true position and work of Paul and Apollos shown by a
 I planted (Paul was the first to preach the gospel in Corinth; he was followed by Apollos), Apollos watered, but God caused the (continued) growth. Note the force of the impf. in the last clause, and of the aor. in the two preceding: ÉTótเ $\sigma \in \nu$ here in a secondary sense; the primary meaning in verse 2. What was planted and watered and made to grow is plain from the connection, - the faith of the church, $\delta \iota^{\prime} \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon$, verse 5. - $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (here followed by the indic. to denote the actual
 thing (alone and independently), nor he who waters, but he who causes
 the connection. Cf. ch. 7. 19; 15.28; Col. 3. 11).
 and he who waters are one (neut. one thing). Although neither is anything alone and disunited (verse 7), yet together and united they are one in service, one in heart; and this is all opposed to the divisions and factions
 fr. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \omega) \kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, but each (laborer in the one great work) shall receive his own reward according to his own toil. (Note the emphatic repetition of $\% \delta$ ov.). United in work, and nothing if not united; yet each shall receive his own individual reward, according to his own toil. How important and encouraging to Christian laborers, in whatever field, for all
 have preached the gospel among you) are God's fellow-workers. This may mean fellow-workers of God, belonging to him, under him (so Bengel, Flatt, Olsh., et al.) ; or fellow-workers with God (so Alf., Meyer, Kling, Edwards, Ell., Godet, and the majority). Cf. for this latter const. Rom. 16. 3, 9, 21 ; Philem. 24; and many other passages where ouvep ós occurs. This clause, introduced by $\gamma$ da, is usually understood as giving a reason for the oneness of all Christian workers. May it not also suggest a reason, and a very good one, why each will receive his own re-
 person) are God's tilled field ( $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \rho \nu$, fr. $\gamma \in ́ \alpha, \gamma \hat{\eta}$, carth, land, and Ëprov, work, - the two compounded, a farm, a tilled field; the word husbandry in this sense is little used now, and would not be generally understood aright), God's building. On this tilled feeld and this butilding God's fellow-laborers are employed. Under these two distinct metaphors the church in Corinth is presented.
V. ro. The first metaphor, in verse 9 ( $\gamma \in \omega^{\prime} \rho \gamma / \circ \nu$ ), is the basis of the thought in vv. 6-8. The thought in the second metaphor (oiкоסoни́) is
now taken up and carried through verse 15 . - Karà $\tau \grave{\nu} v$ Xápıv
So日eíáv $\mu \mathrm{ol}$, According to God's grace which was given to me. Note the
 master-builder, a skilful architect, I laid (placed) a foundution. What this
 סouci, and another (indefinite, any one who comes after me) builds
 look, how (i.e. in what manner and with what sort of material) he butilds upon (it).
 duces the reason for the exhortation, let each one give heed etc.) another foundation no one is able to lay (yet he may build upon it something worthless, verse 12). - тapà $\tau \grave{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ кє́ $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \in \mathrm{vov}$, except (beside, diffiring from; see Lex. Th. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, , III. 2) that which is laid ( $\kappa \epsilon i \mu a l$, as pass. of $\tau(\beta \eta \mu$, L. and Sc.). - ős '̇ $\sigma \tau L v$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ s$ Xptorós, which (foundation) is Fisus Christ. Observe he does not say simply which is the Christ, the Messiak, but fesus Christ, i.e. the Messiah, who had already appeared in the person of Jesus Christ.
 dution (the one, only foundation, different materials) gold, silver, costly stones, (all of these are precious materials, or) cwood, hay, stubble, (cheap or altogether worthless and inflammable materials.) We may suppose a Christian church resting on the true foundation, Jesus Christ; and on this foundation precious materials, the true and genuine doctrines of Christianity, exemplified in actual Christian living; or, on the other hand, worthless materials, unsound doctrines, and questionable, or worse than questionable living. All of these things may be witnessed now as fully as they were witnessed in the Corinthian church, or in other churches, in the days of the apostles. The figurative language is certainly intelligible and very striking.
 tence, following the protasis in verse 12), each man's work (the work of each) auill become manifest, open to sight ( $\phi$ aivoual). - $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma$ रà (introduces the confirmation of the preceding) $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho a \quad \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$, for the day will make it (the work of each man) plain, clear. ì $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} \rho a$ has been understood and explained in a variety of ways. Most expositors now understand it to mean the great day, the final day (cf. 2 Pet. 3. 12). It may be said that the final day was too far distant to make plain the work of that day. But is this true? Will not the final day make plain the work of each one from the beginning to the end of time? Rev. 20. $11-15$ - -órt $\dot{\epsilon} v$
 the certainty of the future event) in fire (the element in which the day will be revealed. Cf. 2 Thess. I. §). Some understand $\tau \delta{ }_{\mathrm{\epsilon}}^{\mathrm{\epsilon}} \mathrm{f}$ 人ov as the
subj. of àтока入úлтєтal; but that seems less natural. кaì éкcúoтov тò
 the fire itself will test, try, prove. $\tau \delta \epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o \nu$ is thus viewed as the obj. of $\delta о \kappa \iota \mu \alpha ́ \tau \in \iota$, and $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o ́$ as intensive w. $\pi \hat{v} \rho$; or, $\tau \grave{\epsilon}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\rho} \rho \gamma \sigma \nu$ may be the subj. of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, and aú $\dot{\sigma} \dot{\delta}$ the obj. of $\delta о \kappa$-. The sense remains the same.

Vv. 14, I 5. єi้ тıvos тò épyov $\mu \in \nu \in \hat{\imath}$ ктé. If the work of anty mant, which he has built upon (the foundation), shall remain (not consumed by the fire),
 ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-\kappa \alpha i \omega)$, $\eta \eta \mu \iota \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~(\zeta \eta \mu เ o ́ \omega)$. If the work of any one shall be burned down ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$-intens. shall be completely burned), he will be made to
 (he in his own person) will be sated (will attain the salvation through Christ, since he is on the true foundation. Cf. vv. IO, II), yet so as through fire. The full meaning of the emphatic word oír presented in these English words. The punctuation of Alf., but so, as throught fire, makes the thought clearer. For oüt $\omega$ s, $\omega$ s, usually viewed as correlative, cf. ch. 4.1 ; 9. 26 ; Eph. 5. 33. In each of these instances, however, a verb is expressed w. oütios, thus making its force much plainer. Here, the meaning seems to be, yet thuts, i. e. in securing this salvation (he will be) as if (rushing) through a fire. Vulg. sic tamen quasi per ignem. Luther, so doch, als durchs Feuer. Meyer, so jedoch wie durch Fener hindurch. The figure is that of a builder. He has a good founclation, and is engaged in erecting a worthless superstructure, which takes fire. He barely escapes with his life, and that by rushing through the fire. This figure applies specially to the various religious teachers in the Corinthian church. This passage has been used in confirmation of the doctrine of purgatorial fire. Few of us will find this doctrine here. It overlooks the force of $\dot{\omega} s$, as if, as it were. The apostle does not say he avill be saved by fire, or throught fire; but, as if throush (i. e. rushing through) a fire.

Vv. 16, 17. A new thought, introduced under a new figure. - Oúk o: $\delta a \tau \epsilon$, Do ye not know, are ye not aware. This direct, personal address is frequent in this epistle ; elsewhere in the epistles of Paul, only twice, Rom. 6. 16; II. 2. - ötı vaòs $\theta$ eoû $\mathfrak{\epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon} \kappa \tau \in$., that ye are a temple of God and (that) the Spirit of God dwells in you? Alf., Meyer, Stanley, Ell., et al. render, the temple of God, with reference to the Jewish conception of one temple. Either rendering is grammatical, and the difference in thought does not seem to me important. Perhaps it would be still better to translate vads $\theta \in o \hat{u}$, God's temfle. This thought must have been most solemn and striking to the Corinthians. Is it any less true of Christians now ? - $\epsilon$ li tis tòv (article expressed here) vaòv . . . $\phi \theta \in i ́ \rho \in \iota$, $\phi \theta \varepsilon p \in i ̂$ (fut.) тov̂тov ó $\theta$ ećs. (Note the striking xıaбuós, diaçonal arrangemont, of this period.) If any man destroys (in any way damages or mars)
the temple of God, this man will God distroy. (We cannot well in English preserve the $\chi$ ta $\mu$ ós of the Greek.) This sentence is thought to express a well-known fact respecting the temple at Jerusalem; but the spiritual application of it is made apparent in what follows. - $\dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ àp vaòs...
 ple of God is holy (being the dwelling-place of God), of which character (i. e. ä y ot) are ye. So Alf., E11., Meyer, Kling, Hofmann, De Wette, Godet, et al. Many others make oítives refer to vaós, which (icmifli) are $y$ e. The plur. oiltıes agrees w. the following $\dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon i$ is. Winer, p. 166.

Vv. is-zo. A warning to those who laid some claim to superior wis-
 tinue to decitec) himself. This is something by no means difficult or uncommon; and not less unfortunate than to deceive others. - $\epsilon \ell \tau$ Is Soxsî oo申òs kivval $\kappa \tau \in \in$. ., If any mizn seims (either to others or to himself. The latter suits the connection best) to be zuise among you in this (passins) world (or, in this passing age, this aon), let him become a fool (let him renounce all his pretensions to worldly wisdom, and consent to become a fool in the eyes of the world), in order that he may become wise (truly wise, in that wisdom which is divine). Cf. Col. 2. 2, 3; also John 9. 39.- $\mathfrak{\eta}$
 the wisilom of this world (in the present order of things) is foolishness with God. And this last statement is confirmed by a quotation from the O. T., Job 5. 13, containing only part of a period: ó $\delta \rho a \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s(\delta \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \mu a l)$ até., He that takes (seizes, grasps) the wise in their own craftiness (unscrupulous conduct, innacery united with cunnings). The expression implies man's weakness and folly in the sight of God. The warning here reminds one of a prominent feature in the teaching of Socrates and of Lord Bacon, embodied in the words "the conceit of wisdom without the reality." Note the distinction above mate between $\delta$ кós $\mu$ os, the world with respect to the existing order of things, and $\delta$ ai $\omega v$, the world as something passing away. - kail $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota v$. Another citation from the 0 . Test., Ps. 94. II, in the exact words of the LXX., except that $\sigma 0 \phi \hat{\omega} v$ is substituted for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \omega \nu$ (Heb. אָָד ) ; thus, without changing the leading thought, making it more pointed and expressive in this connection. - кúplos $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \in t$ ктє́. The Lord (Jehovah) knozus (definitely, positively) the reasonings (of men, even) of the wise, that they (the reasonngs) are empty (having nothing in them. See Lex. $\mu$ áralos).

Vv. 21, 23. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (here followed by a finite mood) $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s$ кavxá $\alpha \theta \omega$ (kavðáoual) $\dot{v} v \dot{\alpha} v \theta$-, wherefore let no one glory in men (as the sphere of glorying), the opposite of $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\nu} \kappa v \rho^{\prime}(\varphi$, r. 3r. Paul has in mind particularly the different parties in the church, which gloried in their favorite preach-
 things are vours. "For such boasting is a degradution to those who are
heirs of all things, and for whom all, whether ministers or events or the world itself, are working together." Alf. Verse 22 specifies some of the things suggested by $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$. Note that after saying Paul, Apollos,
 category, and then repeats $\pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{u} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$. Note the punctuation of Tisch., making three distinct classes in the entire category: wheither Paul or Apollos or Cephas, or the world or life or death, or things present or thingss future; persons, circumstances, times. In what sense can it be said that all these things belong to the Christian ? I think the answer is, that they all work together for his good. Cf. Rom. 8. 28. - ' $\nu \in \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \tau$, ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu$ $i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$; perf. act. particip. $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \cdot \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega \dot{s}$, or $\dot{\epsilon} \nu-\epsilon \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} s,-\hat{\omega} \sigma a$, ós; neut. pl.
 are Christ's and Churist is Gold's. - $\delta \epsilon \in$, Gut. So Alf., Ell., Poor, Meyer, and Luther aber ; and, R. V. "The idea of the subordination of Christ under God is necessarily involved in the strict monotheism of the N . Test. and in the relation of Christ as Son to the Father." Meyer. Cf. ch. II. 3. And yet this relation was understood by the Jews as implying equality with the Father. Jno 5. IS. For a full discusssion of this subject, consult the well-known works on Biblical Theology. But why does Paul add this last clause, as it does not strengthen the exhortation not to glory in men? Evidently to leave no excuse to that party in the church which boasted with a factious spirit, è $\gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}, I$ amt of Christ. Ye are Christ's and Christ is God's; what could present more forcibly the unity of all believers?

Chap. IV. The right point of view from which to regard Christian teachers (vv. 1-5). The references to Apollos and himself intended to divest them of all party spirit and pride. Then follows a graphic picture of the condition in this present life of the apostles themselves, - a picture calculated to put to shame all their worldly pride (vv. 6-I3). The spirit in which he has written what precedes, and his object. His purpose in sending to them Timothy. He will soon himself come, either with a rod or in love, as their condition may require (vv. 14-2r).
V. I. Oüt mann (any one) regard (estimate, account) us (Paul and Apollos). It is usual here, as in 3. 15, to view oưros . . . ©s as correlat. Yet the ordinary meaning of oütcos, and its emphatic position, lead me very decidedly to take a different view, as above presented. So Meyer, who renders oÿtws, Unter diesen Gesichtspunct, and refers to what precedes, 3. 21, ff. Luther, Dafiur halte uns jedermann. So also Poor, note in Lange. - $\dot{s}$ ĩn 1 éras Xplotov̂, as servants of Christ. The word ministers conveys
now a wholly different idea to most minds. סoûגos, a bond-servant; $\delta$ iá-
 tendant, servant. The last word seems to denote a servant of lower
 God's mysteries (those things which have been concealed from the world in ages past, but are now made known through us). Between the head of the household and the stewards was the son; hence while Paul and Apollos were stizuzrds of the mysteries of God, they were at the same time servants of Christ.

Vv. 2, 3. $\hat{\omega} \mathrm{S} \approx$, Here, i. e. in this office of steward. So it is usually understood. Alf. hore, i.e. on earth; but this seems irrelevant in the
 it is required. - iva . . . єن́pe9̂n (ev́piona) that (the requirement is made in order that) a man be found faithful, trustworthy (be found corresponds
 But to me it is an olject of the least possible importunce that etc. eis 'ं $\lambda \alpha^{-}$ रutov (shrinking away) into a very little, into (the) least. -iva . . . davaкрıิิ (dंva-kpiva), that (in order thati) I may be judsed, or closely examined, by you, i.e. so as to ascertain whether I am faithful or not. - if vino àv0pwiivns $\eta_{\mu}^{\mu} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{s}$, or ly a human day, i. e. a court day, by a human tribunat. The expression scems to be used in contrast with the thought
 i. e. in this respect, to ascertain whether I am deemed faithful. I am not the right person to sit in judgment for this purpose. Cf. vv. 4, 5 .
V. 4. The antithesis to the last clause appears in the end of this verse $\delta \delta \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}$ àvakpivav $\mu \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$. The two intervening clauses are paren-
 the meaning of $\epsilon \mu a v \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu o i \delta \alpha$ ), for $I$ am conscious of nothing, i. e. of no delinquency in my official relations to you. Cf. vv. I, 2. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oúk '̇v
 the fact that I am not conscious of any delinquency, for the reason that my own consciousness is not the ground on which I am declared right-
 (i. e. Christ, as in verse 5) ; since his judgment is unerring, while my own judgment of myself is far from infallible.
V. 5. $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (used here again w. a finite mood. Cf. 3. 21) $\mu \eta े$. . . крivere, zuherefore do not judge (pres. imperat.) anything liffore a suitable time, prematurely. The exhortation has reference particularly to their discussions respecting Paul, Apollos, and Cephas. The next clause points out when the suitable time will come and who will fur-
 until the Lord shall have come. Any human judgment before this would be premature. Whether this clause refers to his final coming
at the end of the world, or to his coming to each of them individually, makes practically no difference so far as the exhortation is concerned. I understand it in the latter sense. Cf. Phil. I. 6, note. I have no doubt whatever that the members of the Corinthian Church learned long ago to estimate correctly their various religious teachers. - ôs kai фwti$\sigma \varepsilon \iota(\phi \omega \tau i \zeta \omega) \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$, zwho (or since he; see Lex. Th., ös, 7) will both bring to light the hidden things of darkness, and zuill make plain the counsels of the hearts, which are now often misunderstood and misrepresented. They will all be plain then. - кai rótє ó ëmauvos кré., and then zuill the praise, the fitting cpproval, come to each one from God (the unerring source).
 these things (the things from 3. 5, Meyer, Kling, De Wette, Hodge, et al.), brethren, I have in a figure transferred to myself and Apollos on your account. $\mu \in \tau \alpha$, Lat. trans, expresses the idea of a transfer; and $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ that of a figure. eis, with reference to, directing attention into. -iva... $\mu \alpha \theta_{\eta} \tau \epsilon(\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega)$, that in $u s$ (as an example; "having our true office and standing set before you." Alf.) you may learn. - тò (belongs to the en-
 (the entire clause as acc., obj. of $\mu \dot{d} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon)$. More freely rendered, that in us you may learn (the lesson) not (to go) beyond what has been zuritten. " Written " where? Various explanations have been given. The most probable and the one usually adopted is: beyond what is written in the Old Testament Scriptures; the general lesson, that all honor belongs to God; that glorying in men is all foolish and wrong. It would be well if these words could generally be adopted now as a motto in the study and interpretation of the Bible, especially of the N. Test., not to go beyond zoluat is written. How much dangerous and even fatal error would thus
 be puffed up one for the one against the other; thus acting individually, without regard to union and in a partisan spirit. Note here ivaw. the pres. indic. $\phi v \sigma \iota \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, st. subjunc. $\phi v \sigma t \omega \bar{\sigma} \theta \epsilon$, a rare const.
V. 7. Tis үáp $\sigma \in$ Stakpivet; For (introducing a reason why such puffing up, such partisanship, should be avoided) who makes thee to differ, distingouishes thee, separates (òa-) thee to act as a judge (kpivel) (in this matter) ? $-\tau i$ Sè . . . ènaßes; and zulat hast thou which thou didst not receive? - a direct, personal question, intended as a rebuke to the con
 thou didst also reccize ( $i t$ ), as well as others, but not above them, thus placing thee on a level with others, and in the attitude of a recipient. - $\tau i$
 not having received it? as if it were not a gift of divine grace, an occasion for humility and thankfulness, not for a proud, boastful, self-confident, and partisan spirit.
 $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. , Already' ye have bech filled, sated; already ye have become rich; afart from us ye have become kings. The language is that of intense irony, and is intended as a severe rebuke. The clauses in the leading words form a climax, 一sated . . . rich . . . kings. Note also the emphatic $\eta_{\delta} \eta \eta$. . $\dot{\eta} \delta \eta$. . . $\chi \omega \rho i s{ }_{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$. The reference is to their position and condition in the Messianic kingdom; as if they were already filled, were already rich, were already kings; having reached the highest attainments, and nothing further were needed. The verbs $\pi \lambda$ out' $\omega$ and $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \in v^{\prime} \omega$ in the aor. denote entrance into the state signified. Good. § 200, note 4 (b) ;
 and I woold indeed that ye had become kinuss; had already reached that
 pres. or aor. to denote a wish contrary to the existing fact; in the N. Test. w. the pres. or aor. indic. in the same sense. -iva . . . $\sigma v_{\mu} \beta a-$ $\sigma \lambda_{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}$ (aor, subjunc.), in order that we also with you might have hecome kings; that we, your leaders and teachers, might already have reached the same stage in our Christian progress. The irony of this passage must have been a severe rebuke to the arrogance of the Corinthians.
 marked contrast with your exalted state) methinks (it seems to me, Lat. videor miki) God has set forth us the apostles last (i. e. in the lowest position), as if condemned to death, becruse (connect w. סoк $\hat{\text { ) }}$ ) we have hecome a spectacle (a gasing-stock, cf. Heb. 10. 33) to the world, both to angsls and to men ; the last two datives are commonly viewed as in appos. w. т $\bar{\varphi} \kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \%$, presenting the thought more vividly. The question whether Paul means to include the wicked with the good angels, seems irrelevant.
V. ro. The irony is continued in the following contrast. - $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \hat{\epsilon} \mathrm{s} \mu \omega \rho \mathrm{o}$ Sià Xplotóv, we are foolish (i. e. in the eyes of the world) ear account of Christ. Cf. I. IS, 25- - ن́psîs $\delta$ è фpóvıuor èv Xpıotẹ, but ye are intelligent, practically zuise, in Christ. The irony is plena aculĕ̈s (Calvin), full of sting are strong (mighty) : ye are of high repute (men of distinction), but we are
 we . . ., but ye . . . ; ye . . ., but we.

Vv. il-I3. Confirmation of what he had just said respecting himself and the other apostles. With this passage cf. 2 Cor. II. 23 ff - axpl
 both hunger etc. - $\gamma$ pulvtevopuev (or $\gamma v \mu \nu \eta \tau \epsilon i ́ o \mu \in \nu$, Mever), zve are lightly (insufficiently) clothed. See Lex. $\gamma$ yulós. The word certainly cannot mean, in the strict sense, we are muked, but destitute of sufficient clothing;
with the $\chi เ \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$, the under garment only; without the outer garment, the iцátoov. Cf. Lat. mudus in the frequent sense wvilhout the togra. - кода$\phi \stackrel{S}{ }{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \theta a$, we are smitten with the fist, or with the knuckleles; wir werlen mit Füusten greschlugren. Meyer. Cf. Matt. 26. 67. - áबтarov̂pev, wer are unsettled, wanderers, without any permanent home. - каi котьิิ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$ (ко-
 labor), woorking zoith our own hands, - something which educated and professional men seldom do, and less frequently then than now ; something which would be regarded as "beneath a gentleman." - $\lambda o t \delta o \rho o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu-$ ol єủdoyoûpev, being reproached (railed at), we bless (we spetk well of; thus, instead of seeking like men of the world to vindicate ourselves, we carry out the spirit of our Lord, and return words of kindness. Cf. Matt. 5. 44 ; Luke 6. 27, 28). - $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ ol ávєхо́ $\mu \in \theta a$, beinıs persecuted, we endure (it), we bear $u p$. - $\delta v \sigma \phi \eta \mu \circ$ и́ $\mu \in v o r ~ \pi a p a к а \lambda о \hat{\mu} \mu v$, being defamed (slandered), we steak words of comfort and of cheer. Note always the full meaning of парака入 ${ }^{\prime} \omega$, to comfort, cheer up, encourrage, exhort. - $\dot{\omega}$ s $\pi \epsilon \mathrm{p}-$
 ming up), we became (wee were madc; in entering on a Christian life) as it were refuse (filthy substunces throunn away in clansings) of the world, an offscouring of all things until noov. This is a picture to be contemplated! first, from the earthly side, - the human view; then, from the heavenly side, - the divine view of their character, work, and destiny.

VVh 14-21. See Analysis at the head of the chapter.
 tation of this verse: Ist, May the particip. pres. denote a purpose like the fut.? and 2 d , What is the exact force of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \in \epsilon^{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$ ? The first question is usually answered in the affirmative. So R. V., to shame you . . . to admonis/2; yet Alf., Meyer, Ell., Kling, et al. retain here the force of the pres. Second, the usual meaning given to $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \rho \in \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ here is shaming, or to shame (Oủk $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \in \in \pi \pi \omega \nu$, not shaming etc.) Yet it does really appear from the intense irony above that he intended to make them ashamed of their conduct. The notion of shame, though sometimes perhaps suggested by the context, is not necessarily connected w. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$, to turn within. This turning within may lead to shame, or to hesitation, discouragement, confusion of mind. In this latter sense it may be understood here: thus, not turning your thoughts within (so as to lead to confusion and discouragement) ; not discouraging you, do I write these things. Vulg., Non ut confiundam vos, haec scribo. Wiclif, I write not these thing is, that I confounde you. In a similar way Ruickert et al. understand the word here and in the other passages where Paul uses it. - a $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{s}$. . . . vovetcôv, but (on the contrary, instead of shaming, or of discouraging) admonishing (yout), establishing your minds ( $\nu o u \theta \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, fr. עoûs, mind, and $\theta$ erós, placed, fr. тiӨnui; to place, to set), as my beloved children.
 mation of $\dot{\omega} s \tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu a \operatorname{\mu ov} \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \eta \tau \dot{\alpha})$ if you may have ten thousand tutors in Christ, yet (you havie) not many' fathers. On the $\pi a, \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ 's see Lex. Th.; also Dic. of Antiq. The reference is to the later teachers who came
 reason why he could speak of himself as their father (in Christ Fesus through the gospel I hegrat you. - пapakàف oûv $\kappa \tau \in \in$., Wherefore (since I am your spiritual father) I beseech you, become imitators of me. How could Paul say this? The connection, both what precedes and what follows, makes the meaning plain. Paul would have them imitate him in his devotion to Christ and in his own imitation of Christ. Cf. If. I; Phil. 3. 17, note; I Thess. I. 6; 2. 14.
V. 17. Sià тov̂тo aủrò ( $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$. omit aùtò) ぞ $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$ ктé., On this terry account (that you might become imitators of me) I sent to you Timothy. It appears from Acts 19. 22 that Timothy had been sent by way of Macedonia (from Ephesus, the place where this epistle was written); and from ch. 16. Io it appears that he was not expected to arrive in Corinth
 child (or a child of minte) beloved and faithfull in the Lord. It is thought from the use of $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \alpha$ just above that Timothy was converted under the preaching of Paul in Lystra (Acts 14. 6, 7; 16. 1). Cf. I Tim. 1. 2, I8;
 who will remind you of my'zuyys, those in Christ Fesus ('I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ omitted by $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$. et al.). The Corinthians appear in part to have forgotten these ways. - кä̀ेs . . . $\delta \delta \delta a ́ \sigma k \omega$, evern so, just as, everywhere in every church $I$ teach. Note the emphatic specification, $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v}, \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$, also the force of $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \omega$ in the pres. tense, am in the habit of teaching, contimually teach.
 But as if I were not coming to you, some have been puffed up. They perhaps thought Paul would not have the courage to come, and this supposition might be strengthened by his sending Timothy. Hence he rebukes
 I will come to you shortly (or, in a familiar Eng. idiom, bringing out the force of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma o \mu a u$ more fully, But come to you I zuill, shortly $)$. How long he intended to remain in Ephesus he tells them in ch. 16. S. - teàv ó кúplos $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$, if the Lord will, Deo zolente, - the invariable condition in the mind of Paul. - каі $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \sigma$ оцaı ov $\tau \grave{v} \nu \lambda o ́ y o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau \grave{v} v \delta \dot{v} v a \mu \nu v$, and I will know' (definitely) not the ruord of these who are puffed up (for this I care nothing), but their power (their efficiency in the work of the gospel). With Paul, as is the case with every true and successful preacher of the gospel, the word and the power were united. Cf. ch. 2. 4; 2 Cor. 6.7.

Vv. 20, 21 . oủ $\gamma$ àp ${ }^{\text {èv }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi ~ к \tau e ́ . , ~ F u r ~ ( i n t r o d u c i n g ~ t h e ~ r e a s o n ~ f o r ~ h i s ~}$ intended course of conduct) not in word (is) the kingdom of God, but in
 by following the Greek arrangement. in $\beta \alpha \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a ~ \tau o \hat{v} \theta \in o \hat{v}$ is used in the same sense as in the gospels; the same as $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i^{\prime} \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oủpav $\omega \bar{\nu}$ in Matt. - тi $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$; What will ye? The choice between two things is now distinctly set before you. - $\hat{\epsilon} v \dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \beta \delta \omega{ }^{\ell} \hat{\ell} \lambda \omega \omega \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. This is usually viewed as a distinct question : shall I (must I, Alf.) come to you wuith a rod. For this use of the subjunc. É $\lambda \theta \omega$ see Win. § $4 \mathrm{I}, 4, \mathrm{~b}$. "The prep. gives the idea of the element in which, much as $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$ : not only with
 love and a spirit of meekness? Meyer, Ell., et al. understand in $\pi \nu \in \dot{u} \mu a \tau \iota$ a reference to the Holy Spirit.

Chap. V. Respecting a case of incest in the church (vv. I-S). Qualification and explanation of what he had previously written respecting association with gross sinners (vv. 9-13).
V. I. Introduced without connective (asyndeton). So also 4. I and
 commonly, everywhere) fornication is reported (is heard of) among you. The meaning of $\partial \lambda \omega s$, woholly, is not certain here. It may be spoken of a fact and rendered, actually (so Alf., Ell., R. V., et al.), or of space and rendered, commonly, generally, everyzuhere, in universum (so Meyer, De Wette, Hodge, Godet, et al.). - кaì тoıav́rך . . . ท̄тıs oíס̀̇ $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, and such fornication as exists (or, as is prevalent) not even among the Gentiles.
 yout) has (in marriage) his father's wife (his stepmother). This was forbidden by law even among the Greeks and Romans, and hence seemed the more atrocious in a Christian church. See Lev. IS. 7 and 8, where it was forbidden by the Mosaic law.
V. 2. Punctuated as a question by Tisch., W-H., et al. - кal ípeîs $\kappa \tau \in \in$., And (notwithstanding all this) have ye been pulfied up (cf. ch. 4. 6, 18), and did ye not rather mourn (become sorrowful)? -iva áp日̂̀ (aipm) . . . $\pi \rho$ ágas; in order that the one who has done this deed might be taken azvay from among you? Or, read as a direct sentence, And yet (rai = кaítor, Lex. Th. 2. e) ye have been puffed up etc. I see little ground of preference between these two constructions.
 $\sigma$ ápevov (катєpरáSouat), For I indeed being absent in body, but present in spirit (referring to his own spirit), have already judgred as if present (in body) the one zoho has so done this (deed), (or I have determined in regard to the one who has so done this (deed); $\tau \delta \nu$. . . кaтєpरaбd́uevov may be viewed as the direct object of кєєкьєк, or as the object of парабойvaı
(verse 5) repeated in $\tau \grave{\nu}$ тoooûqov; oürcus seems to indicate that there had been something particularly atrocious in this case.

Vv. 4, 5. Four different constructions of this sentence have been proposed. I prefer the following, as indicated by the punctuation : (I have determined) in the name of the Lord fesus (when you and my spirit have been gathered tosether with the power of our Lord Fesus) to deliver such a one to Satan etc. By this const. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ò òó $\mu a \tau \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \kappa v p i o v ~ ' I \eta \sigma o \hat{u}$ is con-
 with what precedes. So Alf., Ell., Kling, Hodge, Meyer, De Wette,

 struation of the flesh, in order that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Fisus (cf. I. S, note). For a similar implication of apostolic authority, cf. I Tim. I. 20; also the narratives respecting Ananias and Sapphira (Acts 5. I, ff.) and Elymas (Acts 13. 8, ff.). Note that he says $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ бapкós, of the flesh (the offending element), not $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega$ 'िuatos. Perhaps this entire passage, in its reference to Satan, may not improperly be compared with Luke 22. 31, where our Lord says, Simon, Simon, behold, Satun desired (earnestly asked) to have you, that he might sift you as wheat. The passage before us suggests the idea that physical maladies are sometimes inflicted directly by Satan, and that this power is allowed to him as a punishment for sin. Note, however, the object, iva $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a \alpha \sigma \omega \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. So also in I Tim. I. 20 , $\imath \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v \theta \omega \bar{\omega} \iota \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$.

Vv. 6, 7. O'̉ ка入òv тò каúx $\eta \mu a \dot{\nu}_{i}^{\mu} \hat{\nu} v$, (In view of all this) Your glorying (ground of gloryings) is not gaod.-oủk oîßatє . . . Yupoî (pres. indic. fr. Sunow); Do you not know (are you not aware) that a little leaven leavens (imparts its own froterties to) the entire mass? The reference, under the figure of leaven, is to the fornicator, who still remained among them unrebuked. - е̇ккаЭápaтє ( $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$-ка日aip $\omega$ ) кт $\dot{\epsilon}$., Cleanse out the old leaven. Note the force of the aor. imperat., cleanse out at once, as a single and completed act. The metaphor purge out, as the word is now commonly understood, does not necessarily belong to the verb. -iva गुтє véov фúpapa, that ye may be a new mass, new in distinction from the old. The Christian was a new creature ; Christianity a new life. The old, corrupt, licentious manner of life was to be wholly cast aside. I have rendered $\phi \dot{v} \rho a \mu a$ mass, rather than lump, as the latter word now usually suggests
 without leaven, - i. e. the old leaven which pervaded your lives and char-
 Xpırтós, And truly (see Thayer, $\gamma$ á $\rho$, II. ıо; L. and Sc.. каl $\gamma$ áp) our passozer zuas sacrificed (even) Christ; confirmation of the statement that as Christians they were without leaven. The days of unleavened bread began with the passover sacrifice.
 Wherefore let us keep the feast (not the actual Jewish passover, but the continued passover feast of the Christian, in introducing which Christ was sacrificed as the paschal lamb) not in old leaven (in the old state in which they were before they became Christians), and not in leaven of malice (moral badness, vice) and zuickedness (knavery), of which there still

 of (such as belongs to) sincerity and truth: $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{a} S \dot{v} \mu o t s$, in unleavenedness, Alf. ; in the unleavened elements, Ell.; ăprots is not to be supplied w. $\dot{\alpha} \zeta_{\dot{c}} \dot{v}-$ $\mu o t s$, Meyer ; $\epsilon \nu$ w. the dat., the sphere in which, or the element in which, anything is or is done.

Vv. 9-13. Correction of a misapprehension respecting a former command; and a more definite statement,
 to you, in the epistle. Does this refer to a former epistle now lost (the opinion of Ambrose, Calvin, Beza, Estius, Bengel, De Wette, Meyer, Kling, Alf., Ell., Hodge, Godet, et al.) ; or to the previous paragraph, especially verses 2 and 6 in this chap. (so Chrys., Theod., Theophyl., Erasm., et al.)? It will be seen that the prevailing opinion at present is, that it refers to a former epistle now lost. - $\mu \eta$ ovvava $\mu i \gamma v u \sigma \vartheta a \sim$ (pres.
 to keep company zuith, not to have intercourse zuith, cf. 2 Thess. 3. 14).
 at all (meaning, when I wrote) the fornicators of this world. The ellipsis of $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \omega$, or of some similar word after ò at the begimning of a sentence, is not unfrequent. Perhaps we may give here, as the full construction
 (having written not to associate with) the fornicators etc. - $\hat{\eta}$ тois $\pi \lambda \in c v$ ÉkTals kail äpmagıv, or with the covetous and rapacious (connected by kal, one article with both nouns, because they are so closely allied in character). - $\dagger$ єi $\delta \omega \lambda \lambda \lambda$ árpans, or with idolaters (closely allied to the preced-
 (ò $\phi \epsilon \hat{i}^{\lambda} \omega$ ) äpa $\kappa \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon}$., since you would be obliged in that case (ăpa) to go out of the world.
 tual meaning was) $I$ turote (or have written) to you not to associnte, - if any one called a brother be a fornicator, or a covetous person, or an idoluter, or a reviler, or a drunkard, or a rapacious person (an extortioner), 一with such a person not cven to eat. The last clause, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta i \epsilon \iota \nu$, repeats in an emphatic form the idea $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ovvavauizvvöal. To eat at the same table signified in those days a degree of intimacy and fellowship which
is not thought of among us. Cf. the words of the Pharisees addressed to the disciples of Jesus: "Why eateth your master with publicans and sinners?" Matt. 9. 11; cf. Mark 2. 16; Luke 15. 2; also, in particular, Gal. 2. 12. Any reference here to the love feasts ( $\dot{a} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \alpha_{1}$ ), or to the Lord's Supper, seems to me not to have been in the mind of the apostle. Nor do these words necessarily imply a formal excommunication from the church, as we learn from 2 Thess. 3. 14, 15 .

Vv. 12, 13. The reason why he should be understood to have referred, in what he before wrote, to members of the church. - Ti yáp $\mu \circ$ ou тov̀s ${ }_{\xi} \xi^{\omega} \omega$ kpivetv; lit. For what (is it) to me to judge those who are without? i. e. For what have I to aio with judging etc. qoùs $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi \omega$, those without, i. e. those
 phat. and in antithesis to $\mu 0$ i) judge those who are within? This being your own practice, you may the more readily believe this was my inten-
 ó $\theta$ eos kpıvê, But those zuho are zuithout zuill God judge. We ourselves have nothing to do with judging them. This clause is sometimes punctuated as part of the preceding question and with the reading коivel. Cf. R. V. This, however, does not seem to be generally preferred. - $\xi_{\xi} \alpha{ }^{\alpha}-$
 from among yourselves. A citation from Deut. 24.7. Note the emphatic $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùt $\hat{\nu} \nu$, also the force of the aor. imperat. $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered} \dot{\alpha} \rho a \tau \epsilon$, remove (at once and let it be a finished act).

Chap. VI. They were not to go to law with one another before the heathen courts (rv. r-6); better to suffer wrong than to engage in conflicts while waiting for justice in the kingdom of the Messiah, from which all who do wrong and all who are impure will be excluded (vv. 7-II) ; the misunderstanding of Christian freedom corrected, and a warning against fornication (vv. 12-20).

Vv. I, 2. Tolpầ tis . . . кpiveodai $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, Does any one of you, hazing an action (a matter of dispute) against his neighbor (against the other party, his opfonent), dare to enter into a lazusuit before the unrighteous and not before the saints? init w. gen., before, in the presence of. This does not imply that the churches had courts of law, but suggests the settlement of disputes before an arbitration composed of Christians. Such courts of arbitration are known to have existed among the Jews. - भि oúk oíXatє ötь $\kappa \tau \in \in .$, Or do you not know that the saints will juldse the world? Only in case of their ignorance on this point - an incredible supposition could they be justified in their present custom of going to law before the unrighteous. - An affirmative answer to the question is safely assumed;

vetal, pres. tense, to denote the certainty of the future event, Win.,
 ing to be judgred before. "The judges are conceived of as one vast assembly, in the midst of which the adjudication proceeds," Kling. For the thought partially presented that the saints shall be associated with Christ in judging the world, cf. Matt. 19. 2S; Luke 22.30. The statement here in its full meaning, and also that in verse 3 , seems to stand alone in the
 arc) least (of the least importance)? If ye are hereafter to occupy a position so incomparably higher, are ye unworthy to settle these trivial matters ?
 shall judge angcls? - a fact still more striking, and an office still more glorious. Does this mean the good angels or the bad, or both? On this point commentators differ widely in opirion. The words in themselves do not settle the question, and we have no need of going beyond what is written. The expression is intended simply to show the future exaltation of those who are in Christ, and we lose sight of its impressive force by raising such side questions as the above. - $\mu \eta$ ítıүє $\beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$; (closely connected with the preceding, and forming part of the same question,) to say nothing of things that pertain to this life? not to mention etc. Latin, ne dicam: see L. and Sc. $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s$; Thayer $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \iota \gamma \epsilon$. The rendering, how much more etc. is an entire departure from the Greek const., but conveys the general idea. The affairs pertaining to our present life food and drink and raiment, and in general all kindred questions and pursuits - are not worthy of mention in connection with that higher position which we shall soon occupy. Meyer and Lachmann place the interrogation point after $\kappa \rho \iota \nu o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$, and a period after $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \gamma \in \beta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$, translating thus, Do you not know that we shall judse angels? Be silent then about affiuirs perlaining to this life! - geschweige denn Privathändel! Others connect $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau t \gamma \in \beta \iota \omega \tau$ - with what follows. My own preference is

 If therefore ye have judgments (cases at lazw) pertaining to this life (the
 set at naught (counted as nothing) in the church (i.e. the heathen), these do ye cause to sit (as judses)? This interpretation seems to me to suit the connection best. Some, however, understand the sentence as a direct statement of a fact ; others take $\kappa \alpha \theta: \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ as imperative, and as pointedly ironical.
 To your shame (or to move you to shame) I speak. Yet I think we may understand $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \supset \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ here as $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu$ in ch. iv. 14, - turving your
thoughts within, leading to reflection, I speak. This might occasion a feeling of shame, and it might also lead to good resolutions. Cf. ch.4. 14; also Titus 2. 8, Notes. - oűtws may refer to what precedes, So then (in the manner implied in verse 4) does there not exist among you any wise man, etc. (so Meyer, sic igitur) ; or it may be connected with what follows, lit. So is there not among you any wise man, i. e. Is there so comfletely a lack of all wise men among you, etc. (so Alf. and the most). The rendering in the R. V., Is it so that there cannot be (found) etc., seems
 who shall be able to decide (to act as arbitrator) in the midst with respect to his own brother, or for his owin brother (referring probably to the one who brings the accusation). It is usual to consider $\tau 0 \hat{u} \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \hat{v}$ as dependent
 depend on סьaкрival, a verb denoting an operation of the mind, and thus be rendered as above? With this const. àvà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \nu$ has the force of an adv. w. סıakpival, to decide in the midst (of the contending parties). Note the force of the aor. infin., to decide and have the matter settled without continued litigation.
 goes to lazu zuith a brother. Note the difference between крivetal (verse I


 lutely, without the correlative $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$ ) ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega \omega$, a strong affirmation ; lit. Already

 loss (a detriment) to you that you have lawsuits zuith yourselves (zwith one another). Note in the N. Test. the peculiar uses of éaviồ for the Att. $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\eta}_{u} \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, or $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$; i. e. for the reflexive of the ist, 2 dl , or 3 d pers., or for the reciprocal pronoun. $-\delta_{\text {tafl }}(=\delta i a ̀ \tau l$, on account of what, whyy) ouxi (note the strengthened form of the neg.)
 suffer yourselzes to be wronged? Why do you not rather suffer yourselves to be defrauded? Both verbs in the mid. voice.

Vv. 8, 9. à àd $\dot{\mathfrak{u}} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon is} k \tau \epsilon \in .$, But (instead of this) you (emphat.) do zurong and defraud, and that (your) brethren. Meyer punctuates this sentence as a continuation of the question in verse 7 ; but this is not generally adopted. - ท̀ oûk oíßare $\kappa \tau \in \in$., Or do you not know (is it credible that you do not know:) that unrighteous persons will not inherit God's kinscdom? Cf. Gal. 5.21. - $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda a v a ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon$, Bc not deceived, be not led into error (do not suffer this to be continued; pres. imperat.). $\pi \lambda a \nu a \omega$, to lead astray, to lead into error ; $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \alpha \dot{\omega}$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \pi a \tau \dot{a} \omega$, to cheat, outzit, deceizee. - $\pi$ ópvor, fornicators, the generic word. $\mu \mathrm{olxof}$, adulterers, those who are untrue
to marriage obligations; cf. Heb. 13. 4. $\mu \mathrm{a}$ aкoi, effeminate persons, usually understood to mean the victims of male lusts ; $\pi \alpha \theta$ ıкoí, Latin futhici; yet Meyer understands it in the general sense of effeminate persons who indulge to excess in luxurious living. dंpoevskoîtal; cf. Rom. I. 27, note.

Vv. Io, II. Note the change, oütє... oűtє... ov̉... ov̉... ov̉X, nor . . . nor . . not . . . not . . . not, the last three being thus made more distinct. - kaì тav̂tá tuves $\hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, and these things you, some (of you), were.
 selves, "you zenshed them ( $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ) off," Alf. I am by no means sure, as is commonly thought, that this refers to baptism. Without any such reference, the sense of the passage is clear and striking. Meyer suggests in regard to the mid. that it expresses the self-determination previ-
 but ye were declared rightious. Note the repetition $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$, but ...but . . but, expressing the strong contrast to their former condition. - غंv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ỏvópatı ктé., in the name of the Lord Fesus Christ and in the spirit of our God (the sphere, and the only sphere, in which the sinner can be made and declared righteous). We have here a distinct recognition of faith in Christ and of the work of the Holy Spirit in conversion. The name of the Lord Fesus Christ; cf. ch. 12. 3. Note that Paul here says to the Corinthians, ye were sanctified, i. e. consecrated; although they were still, as appears from the entire epistle, so full of faults and so constantly falling aside from the right path. $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{o} \nu \delta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. is by many connected logically with the three preceding verbs; but Rückert and Meyer connect this clause only with the last verb. Certainly this connection is the most intimate.

Vv. 12-20. Correction of a most serious error respecting Christian liberty.

Vv. 12, I3. Mávia $\mu \mathrm{ol}{ }_{\xi} \xi_{\xi \in \sigma \tau * v, ~ A l l ~ t h i n g s ~ a r e ~ l a z u f i l l ~ f o r ~ m e ~(a r e ~ p e r-~}^{\text {a }}$ mitted to me). It appears that the doctrine of Christian liberty was expressed in this form, and abused by some in the Corinthian church; that, as all restrictions respecting things eaten were removed, so in like manner it was assumed that all restrictions upon sensual indulgences were also removed. It was of the highest importance that such an error should be corrected; and so the apostle adds, ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\pi$ ávia $\sigma v \mu \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon t$, but not all things are profitable (note où before $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ ). He then repeats the general statement, and adds another important qualification, ád $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủk $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$
 ity) ن́mó $\tau$ vos, but $\mathbf{I}$ will not be divested of autherity (that which properly belongs to me), zeill not be ruled over, by any one or by anything (Meyer); as I should be if I gave myself up to the indulgence of the senses, thus
 The things eaten, articles of food, (are) for the stomach, and the stomach forthe things eaten. Such in our present life is the manifest arrangement of
 . . . кarapүŋ́णєt, but God will make useless (àpyós) both this (the stomach) and these (the articles of food). This will take place in the transformation of these natural bodies ( $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha \psi \psi \chi \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ ) to the future spiritual bodies ( $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha \pi \nu \in \nu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́)$; cf. ch. 15. 44. I prefer the rendering of $\dot{\eta}$ коь入ia above given as more accurate and in better taste. See Lex. Th. 号 ă $\nu \omega$
 meats, as the word is now ordinarily understood; cf. ch. 3. 2. - тò 8è $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu a$ ov่ $\tau \hat{\mathrm{y}}$ mopveíq $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., But the body is not for fornication; on the contrary, (it is) for the Lord, and the Lord for the body. This fact is equally. apparent with the one just mentioned. Thus the apostle presents the matter to their own good judgment. They could not fail to see the truth and propriety of his statements.
 And God not only raised the Lord, but zuill also raise us up through his own power. This explains and confirms $\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \dot{\partial} s \ldots \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \in \epsilon$, in verse 13 ; кal . . . кal, not only, . . . but also ; aùvô̂, referring to ò $\theta$ धós. The careful student will notice how seldom the reflexive form is used in recent editions of the N. Test. - oủk oí $\delta a \tau \epsilon$ ö $\tau \iota \ldots$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i v$; (cf. $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \ldots \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 - a direct personal question, which could be answered only in the affirmative, preparing the way for the next question. - ảpas (âp $\rho$ ) oîv tà $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, taking away, therefore, the members of Christ, shall I make them members of a harlot? This question would present their licentious conduct in a new and startling light to their minds, and is followed by the
 means. Cf. Rom. 3. 4, note.
 I have just said) do you not know that he who is joined to (see Lex. Th. $\kappa \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\omega}()$, or who joins himself to, the harlot is one body? This is confirmed by a familiar quotation from the O . Test. in the language of the LXX., Gen. 2. 24, E'covtal Yáp, $\phi \eta \sigma i v$, oi $\delta$ vo eis $\sigma$ ápka $\mu i a v$, For the two, he affirms, shall be (united) into one flesh. The words are spoken of lawful marriage, but are equally true of illegitimate intercourse. $\phi \eta \sigma i v, ~ h e, ~ i . e . ~$ God, affirms; for though the words are from the mouth of Adam, they would be understood as coming from God. Cf. Gal. 3. IG, où $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon!$;
 great the contrast!) he who is joined to the Lord is one spirit, - one with the Lord, i.e. Christ. Cf. John 17.21; also the parable, John 15. I-7; Gal. 2. $20 ; 3.27$.
V. I8. фcúyєтє Tŋ̀v mopvelav (note the asyndeton), Flee fornication, a direct command, strengthened by the consideration following. - $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$
 whatsoever a man shall have done, is without (exterior to) the body. "Fornication is the alienating that body which is the Lord's, and muking it a harrlot's body; it is sin against a man's own body, in its very nature," Alf. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha$, a sin committed, a sinful act; $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha$, sin in the abstract. -
 body, or commits a sin (which enters) into his owen body. Is there not a plain reference to the almost certain contraction of venereal disease, which enters into the entire body and abides there, being often communicated in some form or other to children and children's children? No other sin - drunkenness, for example, or any other form of debauchery enters so completely and permanently into the body itself as this. There was probably more need of this exhortation or command, which the apostle here so emphatically gives, in the voluptuous city of Corinth than in any other place which the apostle had visited. He had not yet been in Rome, and it does not appear that the church there had as yet developed any such tendencies as were now so marked in the Corinthian church.
 what I have said, another consideration) do you not know that your body (that of each one of you) is a temple of the indzuelling Holy Spirit, or a temple of the Holy Spirit (which is) in you, which (Holy Spirit) you have from God, and (that) you are not your own? W-H. and R. V. place the interrogation point after $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, but Meyer, Alf., Kling, et al. punctuate as above. Meyer and Alf. render vaós as definite, the temple; made definite hy the limiting gen. Cf. 3. 16, note. Some prefer to render vaís sanctuary; but this word expresses the more general idea of $i \in \rho \delta \nu$, something sacred or consecrated, in distinction from vaós, a temple, or an inner temple. The consideration presented in this verse is the most solemn of all, and
 $\tau \mu \hat{\mathrm{s}}$, For (confirming the last statement) you weve bousht with a price ( $\tau$ ı $\mu \mathrm{\eta} s$, gen. of value). Cf. Matt. 26. 28 ; Rom. 3.24 ff.; Eph. 1. 7 ; I Pet. I. 18, 19; Rev. 5. 9; you were bought, i. e. from the curse of the law, Gal. 3. 13; from the condition, "children of anger," Eph. 2. 3; from the condemnation of a violated law, Rom. 3. 19-2r. - After these
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega \mu \mu a \tau \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} v$, Nozv, therefore, glorify God in your body. We have not the precise equivalent of $\delta \dot{\eta}$. It is often intensive, and denotes both time (nowi) and inference (therefore). Is it not true now that a Christian by a life of temperance and virtue can glorify God in hus body, showing how the principles of the Christian religion elevate him above the grovelling masses of men? Does not the world recognize this fact?

Chap. VII. Respecting marriage and divorce (vv. 1-17) ; the outward relations of life not changed by Christianity (vv. 17-24) ; respecting virgins, celibacy, and the conduct of a father towards his marriageable daughter (vv. 25-3§) ; advice to widows (vv. 39, 40).
 cerning the things of wilkich you wrote, - referring no doubt to a letter of inquiry which the Corinthians had written to Paul. - кalòv . . . $\mu \mathrm{i}$ ämtectau, it is grood for a mann (an ummarried man) not to touch a woman (the reference of course being to the union in marriage); i.e. there is nothing dishonorable in remaining unmarried. kadóv here in the earlier sense of the word, honorable, Lat. honestus. So in Luke 7. 15; Rom. 12. 17; 2 Cor. S. 21 ; I Pet. 2. 12. Taking this verse with the following one, I find no encouragement to the ascetic ideas and practices of later times. " The idea that the assertion applies to abstinence from intercourse in the alrendy married is altogether a mistake," Alf. This idea contradicts verse 4. - $\delta \mathrm{La}$ ठè $\tau$ às $\pi$ opveias, but on account of the fornications, i. e. on account of the temptation to fall into them, and for the surest protection
 hure (pres. imperat.) his own wific, and let cach woman have her own husband, - the exhortation is thus distinct for each sex. It has sometimes been said that Paul contradicts the primeval law in Gen. 2. i 8 . On the contrary, he here reasserts the same law, with the principle on which it is founded. Note also how clearly this forbids polygamy.

Vv. 3, 4. тn̂ $\gamma$ vvauk $\delta$ ávin $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., Let the husband render to the wife her due, and in like manner the wife also to the hushand. The rendering in the O. V., due benerolence, is suggested by a different Greek reading, not now adopted in any critical edition. Note ävөpatos, $\delta$ or $\dot{\eta}, ~ a ~ h u m a n ~$ being, man or woman (usually masc.), Lat. homo ; àvíp, $\dot{\delta}$, a man or
 not authority over her ozun body, but the husband (sc. ė $\xi v o \sigma a a^{\prime} \xi \in$ ). I think
 Luke 22.25) and of $\bar{\xi} \xi o v \sigma i a$, and the word power the idea of $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu i s$. -
 authority over his own body, but the wiffe. Meyer suggests that the 3d and 4 th verses may have been written in answer to some inquiries of the
 ity, - an elegans paradoxon, Bengel.
V. 5. $\mu \eta \dot{\eta}^{\alpha}$ ảootєрєîtє $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ oves. The rendering, defraud not, etc., is not very accurate, as the idea of fraud does not necessarily belong to $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho^{\epsilon} \omega$. I prefer the form adopted by Luther, Entsiehe sich nicht eins dime andicen, Withdraze not one from the other. The reference to the
 kalpóv, except it may be by consent (ėk, as a result of) for a season. Note how specific are the conditions, and then the object in view. - iva $\sigma \mathrm{xo}^{-}$ $\lambda a ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{n} \pi \rho o \sigma \in v x \mathfrak{n} . . . \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, in order that you may have leisure for, or may devote yourselves to (see Lex. $\sigma$ ooná ${ }^{\prime} \omega$ ) prayer, and may again be together. The reference is no doubt, as is implied in the aor. $\sigma \chi o \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, to special occasions for prayer, - "seasons of urgent supplication," Alf.
 precedes), in order that Satan may not tempt you (to commit adultery) on account of jour incontincncy (your lack of self-control). àкpaбia in N. Test. only here and in Matt. 23. 25 ; akin to àkparís, $\epsilon$ 's, without strength ( $\alpha$ priv. and кра́тоs, strength), - a later form of àк $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon i a$, the oppos. of '̇ $\gamma \kappa \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \iota a$, temperance, self-control.
 and more particularly, I think, to verse 5) I say by zuay of allowance (to you) or as a permission (to you), not as a command (or injunction). The rendering, by permission, as if it meant by permission (of the Lord to say $i t$ ), is not accurate. The verse is often cited, with this incorrect idea of the meaning, as a proof of, or at least a reference to, Paul's inspiration in writing his epistles. - $\theta \in \AA \lambda \omega \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\text {. }}$. (closely connected with the preceding clause, not as a command), Yet I zoould that all men ( $\dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \dot{\mu} \pi o u s$, humann beings, men and women) be, or might be, as I myself also (am),
 Meyer, De Wette, Kling, et al., - not here referring, I think, to the fact

 God; one in this manner, and another in that, - expressing the diversity of $\chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$. I think $\chi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \mu$, as distinguished from $\delta \bar{\omega} \rho o \nu, \delta \omega \rho \eta \mu \alpha$,
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \theta \in o \hat{v}$. What a diversity of gifts do we find in the Christian church of to-day, and how profitably they may all be employed in the one great work! Compare the remarkable passage in this epistle, ch. 12. vv. I2 ff.
 ceeds to some more definite instructions. But I say to the unnmarried ( $\tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \gamma \alpha ́ \mu o \iota s, ~ l i k e ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \gamma є \gamma a \mu \eta \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota \nu$, verse 10 , and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v s$, verse 7 , includes the idea of both sexes), and (especially) to zuidorus (generic
 (honorable, cf. verse 1) for them if they remain (unmarried) as I also $(a m)$. The ellipsis to be supplied here admits of no doubt. The question has been much discussed whether Paul had ever been married, and no one is any the wiser as a result. Note that the apostle here says ка入óv, not kрєìtov as in verse 9. It is honorable for them; he does not
 fr. $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \omega$, aor. ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$, later $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \sigma \alpha\right)$, But if they lack self-control (have not continency; oủk connect closely with the verb), let them marry. -
 infin., to be in the married state) than to be inflamed (with lust'), - not implying a choice between two evils, but a choice of that state which is not sinful (verses 28,36 ) in preference to one that is sinful (Matt. 5. 28).
 ried (including both sexes, and having in mind those who were members of the church) I give charge, not I but the Lord (Matt. 5. 32; 19. 9), that a wife be not separated (or as mid. separate not herself) from a husband
 a入入 $\alpha \sigma \sigma \omega)$, but if sie shall have been even (or actually, kai) separated, let her remain unmarried, or let her be reconcilid to her husband; and (sc. map-
 to put azuay, fr. á $\phi_{i} \eta \mu t$ ). The thought is that of desertion on the part of the wife, and an actual sending away on the part of the husband. Both are here forbidden; except for the cause which Paul did not deem it necessary to state, which would be readily understood ( $\pi \alpha \rho \in \kappa \pi \grave{s}$ д $\lambda$ órou mopveías, mentioned by Matt., but not by Mark and Luke). The principle here stated is substantially the same as that in Matt. $5.32 ; 19.9$.
 understood of those who were married to unbelievers ; in distinction from тоîs $\gamma \in \gamma$ аu $\eta \kappa \circ \delta \sigma \iota \nu$, verse io, both parties being believers) say $I$ (emphat. posit.), not the Lord, i. e. no precept had been given by the Lord
 and she is content to dwell with him. Note the two preps. $\sigma v \nu-\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$, lit. is content tosether to dwell with him. This implies unanimity on the part of
 her azvay (or let him not procced to put her azuay, pres. imperat.). - кal
 and he is content to dwoll with her, let her not proceed to put awvay her husband. I prefer to render $\gamma v \nu \eta$, in verse 13 , as in verse 12 ; also $\dot{\alpha} \phi เ \epsilon ่ \tau \omega$, in both verses, sheuld be rendered alike to be true to the original. But how could a wife proceed to put away a husband? It appears that, according to the Greek and Roman laws, the wife as well as the husband had the right to secure a divorce. See Smith's Dic. of Gr. and Rom. Antiqq., artt. Divortium and $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \dot{i} \psi \epsilon \omega s \dot{\delta}^{i} \kappa \eta$. It is also quite supposable that she might consult the church, and in concert with them take steps to bring about this end. Such a course, under the condition annexed, the apostle forbids. How important to the stability and welfare of society was the advice which Paul here gives !
V. 14. Introducing the reason for the above precepts, in vv. 12,13 .
 sunctifide in the wife, and the unbelieving zoife is sanctifed in the brother. In what sense sanctified? Certainly not in the sense of being born again, made holy, sanctified in heart; since that would contradict the idea änıJтos. The simplest explanation is found in the const. $\epsilon \nu \mathrm{w}$. the dat., from which the verb cannot be logically separated: thus, sanctified in the wife, sanclified in the brother; i. e. in this relation, in this sphere, sunctified; implying what we all believe, that the relationship is a sacred one, differing from every mere human contract or partnership, and having the divine sanction. Thus it may be said even of the unbeliever, that he is consecrated to a sacred union, one of divine appointment. This is what the words assert and this is all which they assert. This use of the words $\ddot{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ oos and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{ } \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \omega$ is a frequent and familiar Old Test. conception, where the word so often means simply, consecruted to a sacred use. This conception of the marriage relation belonged to Christianity, where either party was Christian, and formed a marked contrast to the loose
 since (if that is not so) then are your children unclean (in the Old Test. sense, prefane, not consecrated), but now (as the fact actually stands) they are sanctified or holy (in the same sense as the unbelieving father or mother). The relationship of the parents is sacred, has the divine sanction ; the fruits of the union are consequently sacred ( $\left.{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \iota \alpha\right)$. Very much has been written on this verse, which is entirely irrelevant and foreign to the meaning.
 (the one who is without Christiun fuith, 一ă $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 s$, fr. a priv. and $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$, faith) withdrawes, separates, himself, let him continue separated (pres. imperat.).-
 brother or the sister is not bound as a servant, is not made a bond-servant for life ( $\delta 000 \lambda o s$ ), in such circumstances (in solchen Fällen, Luther). In connection with this statement of the apostle, the important question has arisen and been much discussed whether a person thus separated is at liberty to marry again. Apparently, the majority answer this question in the affirmative. If all obligation is absolved if the marriage bond is effectually broken, the person thus left alone is practically in the condition contemplated in Gen. 2. 18: It is not good that the man should be alone. Meyer says, " It may be inferred that, as in Paul's view mixed marriages (the marriage of a believer with an unbeliever) did not come under Christ's prohibition of divorce, so neither would he have applied the prohibition of re-marriage, in Matt. 5. 32, to the case of such unions." Macknight remarks: "The Apostle had declared (verse II) that the married party who maliciously deserted the other was not at liberty to
marry during the other's life. He here declares that the party who was willing to continue the marriage, but who was deserted, notwithstanding a reconciliation had been attempted, was at liberty to marry. And his decision is just; because there is no reason why the innocent party, through the fault of the guilty, should be exposed to the danger of committing adultery." Dr. Gill remarks, "Desertion in such a case, and attended with such circumstances, is a breach of the marriage contract and a dissolution of the marriage bond, and the deserted party may lawfully marry again." Dr. Hodge presents the same view, and remarks: "This is the interpretation which Protestants have almost invariably given to this verse. It is a passage of great importance, because it is the foundation of the Protestant doctrine that wilful desertion is a legitimate ground of divorce." A contributed article in The Examiner some years ago on this subject closes with this very important statement: "Human legislation on this subject is not unfrequently both foolish and wicked. It is in direct violation of God's word. Whatever defeats the great end of marriage, and nothing clse, is a valid cause of divorce. And this is true of wilful desertion and adultery - just as true of one as of the other." Of the most recent commentators, Bishop Ellicott and Principal Edwards take the same view. The latter speaks thus: "In favor of the view that the Apostle permits the deserted Christian to contract a second marriage are the following considerations: (1) No other explanation does justice to the words is not enslazed. . . . (2) Equity seems to require that at least a person that has not the power of continence should not be precluded from marrying in a case of final desertion. . . . (3) If the desertion is absolute and final, the marriage is de facto dissolved. But why is it permitted to a widower to contract a second marriage, if not because death annuls a marriage de facto? By parity of reasoning may we not argue that final desertion, as it brings the union to an end actually, leaves the deserted believer free to marry another."

Consult in this connection the excellent note of Bishop Ellicott; see also Rothe, Theol. Ethik, §§ IoSi, Vol. 5, p. 30 (ed. 2) ; Martensen, Chr. Ethics, Part III. § 19, p. 38 (Trans.) ; Wordsworth in loco.
It may be said that the above interpretation contradicts the teaching of our Lord in Matt. 5. 32. I reply that the teaching of our Lord applies to a totally different act,- that of putting azvay one's wife, - and to a very different state of society, and should not be applied to that which he had not in mind. The forcible, wicked, putting away or desertion of one's partner in life, such as not unfrequently occurs, is in marked contrast with the condition of one who, in spite of all reasonable efforts to prevent a separation, is hopelessly deserted. The $\delta$ ă $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o s$ in verse ${ }_{5} 5$ may apply equally to the unfaithful one in Corinth or in a nominally Christian land; and the brother or the sister thus deserted, in any land or
in any age, is not under bondage (où $\delta \in \delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \tau \alpha t)$. The principle is a general one, and applies only to the deserted party.

In regard to this entire question in its application to the Christian world of the present day, and especially in America, the truth lies between the extremes. On the one hand, that view of marriage which treats it as a mere civil contract, which may be rightfully dissolved at the pleasure of either party, is opposed to Scripture and ruinous to the best interests of society. On the other hand, the view which has prevailed in the Roman Catholic church, and to some extent among Protestants, forbidding divorce for wilful desertion and a re-marriage, overlooks the very constitution of man and oftentimes the necessities of dependent families, and would inevitably lead to that loose private morality which is known to prevail so extensively in Roman Catholic countries. It should never be forgotten that marriage is the divinely appointed safeguard against temptation and scandal (cf. vv. 2, 9), and that the all-wise Creator declared in the very beginning of human history, "It is not good that the man should be alone." This divine statement contains a principle involved in the very constitution of man, not less general and permanent than the institution of the Sabbath.
To the above statements it should, however, in fairness be added that several eminent American scholars have taken a different view of the meaning and application of this passage. Among these may be mentioned President Hovey, President Woolsey (in The Nezv Ensclander,
 vou (or $\hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s, ~ u s$ ) in peace, i. e. to live in peace; not to keep up a constant wrangle by unreasonable efforts to prevent a separation.
 know (on this point), or How dost thou know, $O$ wife, whether thou wilt save thy husband? - closely connected with the preceding clause. God has called us to live in peace ; and this peace should not be disturbed by the continued and abortive effort to live harmoniously with the unbeliever in the vain hope of his conversion. This view of the meaning and logical connection is now generally adopted. Instead of being an argument for continuing together, as many have heretofore understood it, the view of expositors now prevalent is that it is an argument for a peaceful separation. The remainder of the verse is in the same line of thought. - $\bar{\eta} \pi$ oỉas, ష̈vєp, $\epsilon \mathrm{i}$ ктé., or how dost thou know, O hushiund, whether thou wuilt
 Only ( $\epsilon i \mu \eta$, if not, except, only, Lat. nisi) as the Lord has imparted (has given a part) to cack one, as God has calldd cach one, so let him walk, - an exhortation to use discretion, and not to give unnecessary occasion to the unbeliever for a separation. - кai ov́t all the churches $I$ orduin, - referring to the preceding sentence. It is
noteworthy how careful our Lord and the apostles were to discourage wranglings of every kind, and to encourage quiet and peaceable lives in all godliness and honesty.
 $\sigma \pi a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ ( $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \sigma \pi a ́ \omega)$, Was any one called being circanncised? let him not become uncircumcised, lit. let him not draw ufon himself (a foreskin). Strange as it may scem, this appears to have been often attempted by a surgical operation. The disgrace of being a Jew, which would appear in their gymnasia and baths, is thought to have led to this. See Dict. of
 $\tau \epsilon \mu v \epsilon \in \theta \omega$, Has anty one bien called in uncircumcision? Let him not be circumcised. àкроßибтía, forestizn ; derivat. uncertain, perh. another form of áкротобөia. The reason for this, which is to be regarded rather as an exhortation than as a command, is found in verse 19 , which contains a
 is nothing, and uncircumcision is nothins, but a Kceping (or the kceping; тripnots may be made definite by the limiting gen.) of God's commandmonts (sc. that is everything, - $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \tau a$ é $\sigma$ тiv; for the suggested ellipsis cf. ch. 3. 7, note). With the statement here cf. Rom. 2. 25 ; Gal. 5. 6.
 he was called, in this let him remain. Few persons who read this sentence in the English translation would understand the word calling in any other sense than rocation in life, occufation. This sense suits the connection; and I am by no means so certain as the expositors for the most part seem to be that $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ is not used in this sense. Luther renders it, Ein jeglicher bleibe in dim Beruf, darimener bernfen ist; Martin, Que chasun demeure dans la condition où il était quand il a été afpclé. Doth of these signify calling in life, occupation, or condition. It is, however, by the expositors generally explained as meaning the dizine calling or invitation; thus, Let each one in the (sphere of the) diaine callints, in which (or with
 бou $\mu \in \lambda \in \epsilon \in \omega$, Wast thou called being a bondsereant? let it not concern thec, or gize thee concern. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ kal Eúvaбat $\kappa \tau \in \in$., but if thou art able also to become free; if two conditions in life are at your option, - servitude and
 (in preference) use (frcedom); w. रpî $\sigma a t, s c . \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \in v \theta \in \rho i a$. With this interpretation the sense of the verse is, If thou wast called being a bondservant, don't be troubled at your condition in life; but if thou art able (not only to continue as a bondservant but) also to become free, then make use of the opportunity to become free. So Erasm., Luther, Calvin, Ieza, Ilodge, et al. Many, however, supply with $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ the idea $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon i ́ x$, servitude, - continue as you are, a bondservant, even if frecdom is offered to you! So Alf., Ell., De Wette, Meyer, et al.
 than servitude,) For the bondservant called in the Lord is the Lord's freedmann ; (yet if still a bondservant in the worldly condition, be comforted by the reflection,) likewise the freeman (who is) called is a bondservant of Chist. The object of the verse, while encouraging a love of freedom, is to inspire the feeling of contentment. The difference between the two positions in this earthly life is not to the Christian of the highest im-
 If the body is a bondservant, yet the mind is frce. Soph., Fragm. 677, Dind., cited by Meyer. The difference between $\grave{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho o s$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \rho o s$ is well expressed by the two words freedman and freeman.
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu{ }^{\rho} \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v$, Do not become bonid-servants of mern. The first clause of the verse is presented as a strong reason for the exhortation in the last clause. Ye are by virtue of the purchase and of the price paid for you the bond-servants of Christ ; enter voluntarily into no other bondage. - éкa-
 he zuas called, brethren, in this remain with God. Here we have the general exhortation to contentment, above given, repeated; with the important addition $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha \in} \hat{\varphi}$, in the emphatic place in the sentence. I underderstand $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, with $\theta \epsilon \hat{\psi}$, in the ordinary sense of $\pi a \rho \alpha$ w. the dat., by the side of, near, close to: as we often say in our religious conversation, " near to God." Surely, the reflection that God is at our side, that we are close to Him, is the very strongest motive to contentment, to a quiet and peaceable life. In this frame of mind, the 23 d Psalm must have been composed, - " The Lord is my shepherd," etc.
 map日évav, Nozu concerning virgins (or unmarried persons). Commentators are not agreed as to the meaning of this word here. It is difficult and not necessary to decide positively; yet what follows seems to indicate that the unmarried of both sexes are meant here by $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$. Cf.
 $\kappa \tau$ e., I have not a commandment of the Lord, but I sive (my ) judsment (a
 mercy shown me by the Lord (so far as) to he zuorthy of confidence: $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o$ s, trusted, woorthy to be trusted, worthy of confidence; used here in the ordi-
 therefore (introducing the statement of his opinion, $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \mu \nu)$, that this is sood (or honorable) on account of the present distress (the existing constraint, i. e. owing to the peculiar and trying situation of the church in Corinth at that time). - öт九 . . . єival (explanatory of rov̂тo), that it is good for a mann ( $\dot{\nu} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$, comm. gender) to continue as he is; lit. to be thuss; as above suggested, unnnarried; or perhaps in a more general sense, to con-
timue as he is，whether married or unmarried．＂This better suits the con－ text．＂Poor．eival，pres．infin．，to continue to be．Note carefully that this advice is given on account of the present distress；not by any means（as Paul＇s entire argument has often been misused）for an encouragement， or exhortation，to celibacy under other circumstances and in general．
 been，perf．pass．）bound to a wife？do not seek a separation（lit．a loosing．）． This further statement of Paul＇s $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \nu$ is important in connection with verse 26 ，to guard against any possible misunderstanding．－$\lambda \in ́ \lambda v \sigma a \iota ~ a ̀ m o ̀ ~$ yuvauos；Art thou（or hast thou been）loosed from a wife？This is prop－ erly spoken of those who have been married；such is the exact meaning of $\lambda$ é $\lambda v \sigma a l$ ，cf．$\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，but it may include in principle those who have never
 understood in connection with $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \tau a \nu \dot{a} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta \nu$ ，verse 26 ．
 have marvicd．Winer，§ 42,3 ．b，page 307 ）：sai denotes the choice be－ tween the two conditions of remaining single and of marrying．Cf．кai be－
 or thon didst not sin（in marrying）．The close connection of this sentence with the preceding－$\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha a k \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. －certainly allows a second marriage to one who is＂loosed from a wife．＂The only question is，when in the scripture sense one is actually thus＂loosed．＂Bloomfield suggests that there may have been among the Corinthians persons like those spoken of


 article）marry，she has not sinned（or as above，did not sin，in so doing）． － $9 \lambda$ í山兀几 $\delta$ è ．．．oi tooov̂rol，but such fersons（i．e．those who are mar－ ried）will have tribulation（or affliction）in the fish；i．e．in worldly rela－ tions；referring to the far greater anxiety and trouble respecting food， clothing，etc．，experienced in times of disaster and persecution by those
 （conative），but $I$（in offering the above advice）am seeking to spare you （i．e．to spare you worldly trouble）．
Vr．29－3r．Introducing a consolation，which Christians in times of trouble and distress may always，in every age，receive．－то仑ิто $\delta \varepsilon ́ \phi \eta \mu$ ．．．$\sigma v v \in \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu \hat{e}^{\prime} v o s \operatorname{\epsilon ̇\sigma \tau iv}$（ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ），But this（pointing to what fol－ lows）I afferm，brethren，the time（ $\delta$ кaıpós；not the generic word $\delta$ र $\rho$ óvos， but specific，the particular time，the definite time，－of trial and danger and suffering：the leading thought in this connection）is shortened，is wery bricf．That $\delta$ кatpós means＂the time till the second，or final，coming of Christ，＂＂the time up to the $\pi \alpha \rho o u \sigma i ́ a, " ~ a s ~ A l f ., ~ M e y e r, ~ e t ~ a l . ~ e x p l a i n ~ i t, ~$ I cannot think；I cannot for a moment suppose that Paul was so much
mistaken. He himself in 2 Thess. ch. 2 , indicates a very different expectation. I understand it to mean the time up to the event mentioned in John 14. 3, when Christ will come and call each believer home to himself. So Calvin, Estius, and many others. Cf. ch. 4.5, note. - rò
 wives may be as if not having (them), and those who weep as if not weeping etc. This const. of $\tau \delta \lambda o \iota \pi \delta \dot{\nu}$, placed before ìva for emphasis (prolepsis), is now generally preferred ; yet some place a colon after doimóv and connect it w. éariv. - the time is short henceforth; that etc. The leading thought of the verse is, all the conditions in life are so very transitory that we should most carefully avoid giving them undue importance. - oi áyopágovtes $\dot{\omega}$ s $\mu \eta$ катє́xovtes (with this compare the thought in 2 Cor. 6. 10), and those who buy, as if not possessing (that which they buy). - kai
 (i. e. ail which they possess and enjoy in the world) as if not using it fully (cf. Lex. Th. кaтaxpáoual) ; may perhaps mean, as if not using it with any certainty, as if not having any secure use of it. See L. and Sc., kazá in compos. IV: xpáoual w. the acc., only here in N. Test.; not in classic Greek, and seldom in later Greek : yet $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-\chi \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha \iota \iota$ is found w. the acc. in classic usage; and the proximity of this word may have led to the use of
 (introducing the reason for the foregoing; viz. the transitoriness of all earthly things) the fashion (not fushion in the ordinary sense of the word, but the present shape or condition of things) of this zoorld is passing by, is transitory. On the difference between ai $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ and $\kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \mathrm{s}$, see ch. 3 . 18 and 19 , notes.
 from (worldly) care, or anxiety. Cf. Matt. 6. 25, $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu a ̂ \tau \epsilon$, be not anxious. Note that Paul here again states the reason for his advice to remain single, as in verse 26, on account of the present distress. His advice should never be considered independently of the circumstances,

 married has a care for the things of the Lord, how he may please etc. Other cares and anxieties do not thrust themselves upon him, as upon one who has a family to provide for. Cf. verse 28 , note on $\theta \lambda i \psi \iota \nu \tau \hat{\jmath}$
 things of the zuorld, how he may please his wife, i. e. in times of distress, such as existed then in Corinth. Certainly, in the ordinary conditions of society, this does not hold good. The great majority of our most active Christian workers at the present day, both in this country and in heathen lands, are married. Paul also knew many such; for example, Aquila and Priscilla.
 (the same truth which holds good of the man who is married and of him who is unmarried, holds good of the married woman and of the virgin) there is a difference between etc. With the punctuation and reading of Tisch., Alf., et al., the exact const. seems to be, And both the wife has taken her sefarate part, or lot, and the virgin. The remainder of the verse describes what these separate lots are. The rendering, And there is a difference also, would suggest the Greek, кal $\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \delta \grave{̀} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. - $\dot{\eta}$ ä $\gamma \alpha-$ $\mu \mathrm{Os}$ (adj. of two endings) $\mu \epsilon \mathrm{p} \mu \nu \mathrm{a}$ à $\tau \mathrm{\alpha} \kappa \tau \epsilon^{\prime}$., She who is unmarricd has a care for (or is careful for) the things of the Lord, that she may be hely both in body and in spirit: but she who has married has a care for the things of the world, how she may please her husband. The punctuation of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$.,

 ing rendering of vv. 33,34 ; but he who has married has a care for the things of the zuorld, how he may plense his zuife, and is divided, or distracted, i. e. between religious and domestic duties. Both the woman who is unmarried (who is without a husband) and the virgin cares for the things of the Lord, that she may be holy etc. It is very difficult to decide upon the exact reading and punctuation; but the general statement is not doubtful.
V. 35. Paul again takes pains to qualify and explain the character of his advice on this very important sulject. - тov̂to $\delta$ è . . . $\lambda$ é $\gamma \omega$, And this (referring to what is said in wv. 26-34) I say for (with a viewo to) your own profit, lit. the prefit of you yourselves. - ov̉ (sc. $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$ ) iva . . . غ̇mi$\beta a ́ \lambda \omega(2$ aor. subjunc. act. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t-\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ), not that I may cast a noose upon you, -a figure borrowed from the practice of casting a noose upon game in
 . . . á $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega s$ ( a priv. $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{l}, \sigma \pi \alpha^{\prime} \omega$, to drazu), but for that ruhich is becoming (that which is decent, orderly) and (for) constant watitins on (or for a sitting beside) the Lord zithout distraction. These words are perhaps best illustrated in Luke io, 39, 40, in the narrative of Martha and Mary.
 he is acting in an unbecoming manner touards his unmarried danshter (his virgin) ; i. e. by withholding his consent to her marriage, thus perhaps ex-
 able age ( $i \pi \epsilon \ell \rho$, beyond, past; à $\kappa \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, the culminating foint, the point when one reaches the period of full manhood or womanhood), or if she be of full age. Alf., Poor. I prefer this to the rendering, if she be past the flower of herr ase. Plato, Repub. places the àku' of woman at twenty. -


do what he zuill, what he wishes, let him act according to his best judgment ( $\left.\nu \circ \mu i{ }^{\prime} \xi_{\epsilon}\right)$. The authority in this matter, according to law, vested with the father. - ov̉X ápaprávet, $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon i \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v$, He does not $\sin$ (in so doing), let them (the daughter and her lover) marry. The construction in this verse is somewhat difficult, and some points in it have often been misunderstood.
 heart (having no inward misgivings). - $\mu \grave{~}{ }^{\text {Ex }} \mathrm{X} \omega \nu$ ảváyкךv, not having any necessity, like the man just mentioned in verse 36 , $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \in \tau i s$. . . vo-
 $\kappa \tau \mathcal{\varepsilon}$., but has full authority (not conditioned by any outward circumstances) concerning that which he has zuilled, or wished (note the exact force of the ending - $\mu a)$. - каі̀ тоиิто кє́крикєv (кр $/ \nu \omega) \kappa \tau \dot{\tau}$., and has $d$ cided this matter in his ozen heart (bear in mind that the legal authority was vested in the father), to keep his oun unmarried daughter. - ка入 $\bar{\omega}$ s
 able), will do well, will do what is honorable. This would be in opposition to the prevailing views of the time, but agrees with verse 34 . The reading $\tau o \hat{v} \tau \eta \rho \in i v$, in order to keep, is not adopted by Tisch. or W-H.
V. 38. ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (followed by the indic. here), IWherefore, - a conclusion fr. vv. 36,37 - - кai o $\gamma$ aui'jwv ктé, both he who gives in marriuge his owon zumarried durghter does weell, or does what is honorable; and he who does not give (her) in marriage avill do better, or will do zuhat is more honorable (in view of the existing state of things in Corinth). His conduct is more generous and honorable, in that he remains responsible for her support, instead of relieving himself of what might be regarded as a financial burden and casting it upon another. Note $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu i \xi \omega$, to give in marriage ; $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \epsilon$, to marry.

Vv. 39, 40. Respecting a second marriage ; perhaps in answer to a


 ко九 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ) ò àv $\rho$, but if her husband have fullen aslecp (often, as here,
 Hóvov èv кupíu, she is free to be married to whom she will, only in the Lord. A second marriage, then, after the death of the first husband, is conceded to be lawful and proper under one condition. What is that condition ? Does it mean only to a professing Christian? Alf., Meyer, and many others answer this question in the affirmative. But a professing Christian in the established churches of Europe, - Germany, France, England, etc., - where every man, if not a public criminal, is a member of the church, means something very different from a professing Christian in most of the churches of this country. The majority of commen-
tators, however, - Chrys., Calvin, Beza, Ewald, Edwards, et al., understand in the Lord to mean in the spirit of a Christian. She is free to act in so far as her marriage does not interfere with the Christian life. The expression $\mu$ óvov èv кupí $\varphi$ is to be connected chosely with $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon u \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} p \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, she is free in this matter, only she must act as a Christian woman, must enter into no matrimonial alliance which will be an obstacle in the way of Christian duty. Cf. èv кирị́, Eph. 5. 22, 24; 6. I;
 oüt $\omega \mathrm{s} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon} \mathrm{ivn}$ ( $\mu$ év $\omega$ ), But she is happier (cf. verse 34), if she remain thus
 my judjrment, my decided opinion. Note that the apostle lays no claim
 I also (as well as the other teachers in Corinth) think that I have the Spirit of God; and hence am able to pronounce a correct judgment. ঠок $\overline{,}$, think, seem, mihi vidior. Cf. ch. 4. 9, note. Less confident than $\nu 0 \mu i \zeta \omega$, vv. 26, 36 .

Chap. VIII. On the eating of meats offered to idols. This is perfectly proper for those who have the right views of idols (vv. r-6). But for the sake of those who are weak in the faith, if they are made to stumble, it is a duty to refrain (vv. 7-I3).
 concerning the things sacrificed to idols. Only portions of the victims thus sacrificed were actually burned upon the altars; other portions were consumed by the priests; and others still were sold in the markets. Feasts were made, sometimes in the idol-temples (verse 10), sometimes in private houses (ch. 10. 27, ff.), on the portions not actually burned on the altars. - oï $\delta \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. . E'Xo $\varnothing \epsilon v$, we know, (we feel assured) that we all have knowludge (definite knoweledge); $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ would naturally include Paul and those to whom he was writing. The sentence seems to contain a degree of irony, pointing to the conceit of knowledge without the reality; and what follows is in keeping with this. Some, however, understand $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \in s$ to include all Christians. So Chrys., De Wette, Alf, et al. - $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \omega \hat{\sigma}$ เs фuotoi (note the asyndeton. This clause begins, according to the pointing of Tisch., W-H., Alf., Meyer, et al., a parenthetical statement, which extends to verse 4. The R. V. places a colon after "idols;" thus be-
 oikoסopei, Kinowledgre fuffs uft, but (Christian) love builds up. Knowledge, science, in itself alone, puffs uth. Has this ceased to be true in our day ? The so-called scientist, without Christian love, is well described in verse 2. The two words $\phi u \sigma \omega \hat{\imath}$ and oikoסouєî form a marked contrast, - фvatoî (L. and Sc. фuбtóouau and фvatáw) to puff up, to blow, to short; oiko $-\mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, to build a substantial edifice.
 have known something, to have acquired a definite knowledge of something as a finished action (perf. tense), not yet has he known (or does he know) as he oulght to know; his knowledge is still far from complete. These sentences seem to be thrown in as a caution against conceit, and to exalt Christian love; ${ }_{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega$ may be rendered as gnomic (in the pres.
 $\sigma \kappa \omega) \kappa \tau \mathcal{E}$., but if any one loves God (the thought here occurs to the mind, - this one has some knowledge of real value, such as he ought to have; but the writer suddenly changes the form of expression and introduces a much more rapturous thought), this one has been known (or is knownn) of
 but now having known God, nay rather having been known of God, the latter clause indicating something higher and more desirable; also 2 Tim.
 knows them as his children, knows that they have filial love,-the dंyám $\eta$ here spoken of.
 verse I) $\kappa \tau \varepsilon .$, , Concerning therefore the eating of things sacrificed to idols,
 $\kappa \tau \in \in$., we know (we feel assured) that there is no idol, or that no idol is (anything.) in the zoorld, and that there is no God but one. This
 Meyer, Kling. The const. an idol is nothing, making oùס́év a predicate substantive, st. attributive adj., conveys the same idea and has been preferred by many ; $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$, but, except, a frequent N. Test. use.

Vv. 5, 6. Explanation and confirmation of oùठ̀̀v $\epsilon i ้ \delta \omega \lambda o \nu \ldots \epsilon i \mu \eta\rangle$ єîs in verse 4 . - kal $\gamma$ àp єïтєр (each word has its usual force ; kaí connecting the sentence, $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha} \rho$ introducing the reason for the foregoing statement, $\epsilon i$ conditional, $\pi \epsilon \in \rho$ intensive, -not easily rendered with exactness
 called, zuhether in heaven or upon earth (such as are mentioned in the
 actually there are gods many, and lords many; i. e. beings, good and bad, superior to man in power and intelligence. Thus in Deut. 10. 17, we find the same thought: For the Lord your God, he is God of sods, and Lord of lords. Cf. also Ps. I36. 2, 3. Such is the interpretation of Alf., Ell., Meyer, Hodge, Poor, et al. Many others, however, understand the sentence thus: For coven though there are gods so-called whether in heaven or upon earth; as there are gods (so-called; i. e. imaginary gods) many and lords many. The first interpretation adheres most closely to the Greek.
 the Father (not only the father of our Lord Jesus Christ, but our Father,
our heavenly Father). - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\zeta}^{\xi}$ ov̂ . . . cis av̉róv, from whom (as the source, the creator) are all things and wede into (or for) him; $\dot{\xi} \xi$, the source; eis. the chd in view, the goorl, 一 the beginning and the end. Cf. $\epsilon \kappa \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ eis тiбтLv, Rom. I. 17, note. - кal eis кúpıos (begins a const. parallel to eis
 accepted truths in every Christian church, and needed only to be stated in order to remind the Corinthians of the utter nothingness of all idols. - $\delta i^{\prime}$ ô̂ . . . $\delta \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ avirov, through whom are all things, and we threught hime, - carrying out the conception of Christ as the one through whom the Father created all things (cf. John 1. 3; Col. 1. 16; Heb. I. 2), and through whom as our mediator we approach the Father and are spiritually created anew. Cf. 2 Cor. 5. 17; Eph. 2. 10. The conclusion from all this is, that the eating of meats offered to idols is in itself not wrong, if the right view of idols is steadily kept in mind; but only under this condition. Hence it was of great importance to state what follows in verse 7. No doubt, it was with this idea in mind that the council in Jerusalem passed the resolution mentioned in Acts 15.20 . That Paul did not, however, consider this resolution in all its particulars permanently binding appears in this connection and is a noteworthy fact.

 ктє., but some (even of those who have professed to be Christians) from their acquaintance until now zuith the idel, or fiom their being actustomed until now to the ildol, at (the flesh) as something sacrifuced to an idel, i. e.
 modveral, and their conscionce being weakk, or since it is weak, is difilitd, is delazuched. All this would be true of those who had been converted from heathenism, but not of the Jewish converts. It was of the utmost importance to guard against this recognition of the idol.
 mend us to Goit, or will not affoct our relations to God (so Alf., E11., Meyer, Kling, et al. understand the force of $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ) ; weither if we cat are we the better, nor if we refrain from cating are we the werse. The order of these conditional sentences is usually reversed. So W-H., Alf., Meyer, R. V., et al. The thought is, there is no moral quality either in eating or in refraining from food. That is a fact conceded, but must ever be considered in close connection with the warning in verse $9 .-\beta \lambda$ -

 akin to ${ }_{\xi} \xi \in \sigma \tau l$, it is permitted. We have in this verse a principle of great importance and of frequent application. Though the eating of meats sacrificed to idols is not a practical question with us, yet there are many other questions of a similar character (at least involving the same gen-
eral principle), and we should ever bear in mind the same caution, - not to use our own liberty in such manner as to lead others into sin or expose them to temptation.

Vv. Io, ir. The same principle illustrated and confirmed. - éàv үáp $\tau \iota s \delta_{n} \sigma^{\prime}$. . . катакє́ $\mu \in v o v$, For if any one (referring particularly to the one whose conscience is weak) see thee, the one having definite knowledse, reclining (at a table) in an idol's temple; thus partaking in the timple of the meat which had been sacrificed to an idol. It seems surprising that a Christian man would go so far as this. Such an example would certainly be likely to prove a $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \mu \mu \alpha$ to many. eìo $\omega \lambda \in i o \nu$, or єijoriov, found only in later Greek; used perhaps to avoid applying so sacred a word as $\nu \alpha \alpha^{s}$ or $i \in \rho o ́ v$ to an idol's temple. - oúx (the stronger form of the neg., anticipating emphatically an affirmative answer) $\dot{\eta} \sigma v v-$
 his conscience, since he is werak, or if he is weath (R. V.), be emboldened (or be built up and made firm) to eat (pres. infin., denoting something continued or repeated, to eat habitually) the things which have been sacrificed to idols; $\operatorname{\epsilon is} \tau \boldsymbol{\partial}$. . . $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ íciv, (to enter) into the eating habitually etc. -
 ruined, is led on the way to ruin, in (" as the element in which," Alf.)
 $\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ), he, 一the brother for whom (on account of whom) Christ died. A most touching suggestion! Cf. again Rom. I4. I5, note. The theological question may arise here, Can the brother for whom Christ died be ruined so as to be finally lost? In answer to this question note again the force of the pres. tense. Although when on the road to complete ruin he may, and will, as many of us believe, be rescued by divine grace, yet how much he may be harmed, how much may be taken from the joys of Heaven, who can tell ? How much meaning there may be in ch. 3. 15, no one can now fully comprehend.
 zuhile sinning against the brethren and smiting their conscience which is weak, or when it is weak, ye sin arainst Christ, - the most touching consideration of all. Note the metaphor in qúntovtes, smiting, strikings: denoting the barbarous cruelty of the act. - $\delta$ เórep, Wherefore, in view of all these considerations, introducing the noble and fixed resolution of

 thing eaten causes my brother to stumble, I certainly zuill not eat meat ever in the futture. Note the force of the double neg. ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$, Good. § 257 ; H-A. ro32. Bp $\omega$ ua, food in general ; кр $\epsilon^{\alpha}$, meat, flesh. It is not necessary to assign to $\epsilon i s \tau \delta \nu$ aî$\omega \alpha$ here any other than its ordinary meaning.

The emphasis of the whole statement is noteworthy. With the thought cf. Rom. 14. 20, 21. - iva $\mu \eta$. . . $\sigma \kappa a v \delta a \lambda i \sigma \omega$, that I may not cause my brother to stumble (as I should run the risk of doing, if I should knowingly eat the things sacrificed to idols). Note the emphatic repetition of $\tau \delta \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\delta} \nu \mu 0 v$ : also the difference between $\sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \lambda l \zeta^{\prime} \epsilon$, pres. tense, a continued or repeated action, and $\sigma \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \lambda i \sigma \omega$, aor. subjunc., a simple occurrence of the action, even in a single instance.

Chap. IX. Paul illustrates his spirit of self-denial by referring to his rights as an apostle, and to the fact that he did not avail himself of them, but for the sake of Christ and for the salvation of men adapted himself to the most varying situations (vv. I-22). The example of those who run in the race-course and of the athlete to be imitated. Their reward and that of the Christian contrasted (vv. 23-27).
 suggesting an affirmative answer to all these questions), Am I not free (cf. verse 19)? -i.e. independent of men, - so that the resolution in ch. S. I3 was wholly voluntary. Am I not an apostle? i. e. not only free, but possessing authority. - oủxi . . . éópaka (Att. éwpaka, fr. ópáw); Have I not seen Fesus our Lord? said in proof of his divine appointment as an apostle. Any reference to his having seen Christ in the flesh, as has been inferred from 2 Cor. 5. 16, would here be irrelevant. He had seen the glorified Jesus and received a commission from him on the way to Damascus (Acts, chapters 9. 22. 26 ; I Cor. 15. S: cf. also Acts IS. 9; 2 Cor. 12. I ff.). - ou tò Eैpyov rov ктє́., Are not ye my work in the Lord? -a further and substantial proof of his apostleship. $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \kappa v \rho i \notin \quad$ (emphat. posit.) connect in thought with the entire question, and not simply with


Vv. 2, 3. єi àd入oıs oủk єịi áróotodos (note again the neg. oùk in a condition, - joined closely with the verb), $\kappa \tau \in \in$., If to others I am not an apostle, yet at least to you I am. His apostleship had been questioned by an influential party in Corinth. - $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ (introduces the proof of the fore-
 (my divine commission) are ye in the Lord. in $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i s$, the impression of a seal, the outzuard sign which warrants and secures anything. Cf. Rom. 4. II; 2 Tim. 2. 19. Often in Rev. - $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa v \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \varphi$ as in verse I. Connect with the whole clause $\hat{\eta} \sigma \phi o a \gamma i s$ the asyndeton, - an emphatic statement added closely to the preceding ; the emphatic words at the beginning and end of the sentence). This (referring to what precedes, - the fact that you are the seal of my apostleship) is my defence to those who examine me, who call my apostleship in
question and put me on trial．I think the reference of aür $\eta$ to what pre－ cedes is plainer when the sentence is translated in the above order ；so IB．U．，Poor，et al．Many，however，understand aür to point to what follows，－so Chrys．，Vulg．，Luther，O．V．，et al．，－but the logical con－ nection seems far less intelligible．aïr $\eta$ is＂predicate，not subject，＂Alf．， Edwards；aür $\eta$ is＂subject，not predicate，＂Kling，De Wette．It may be viewed either way grammatically．

V．4．In the same line of thought with verse I．Verses 2 and 3 form a slight digression，giving the proof of his apostleship．－$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$（in a ques－ tion suggesting a negative answer，and not usually rendered into English）
 fr．$\pi i \nu \omega)$ ；Are we without a right to eat and drink？or Is it true that we have not a right etc．；or in still another form，We are not without a right to eat and drink，are we？In each form the answer No！is suggested （oùk 光 $\chi \omega$ ，I have not，ant destitute of，am zwithout）．It is doubtful whether in using the plural here Paul has in mind others besides himself．фaүeiv кal $\pi \in i v$ ，to eat and drink，i．e．at the expense of the churches；not refer． ring here to the Jewish restrictions respecting food，nor to meats sacrificed to idols．

V．5．$\mu \grave{̀}$ oủk＂＇Хоцєv（as in verse 4）．．．$\pi \in \rho\llcorner a ́ \gamma \in เ v$, Are zue zuithout a right to lead about（i．e．with us on our missionary journeys，at the expense of the churches，－this，and not the question of a right to marry，is the leading thought，as suggested by the connection）a sister as zuife？His right to have a wife，though not the leading thought，is implied．The Roman Catholic interpretation，that ruvaikc may here mean a serving－ woman，is contrary to the ordinary usage of the word，and suggests some－ thing which would be nothing short of a scandal．It is also opposed to
 of the aposilles also．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda} \frac{1}{}$ here in the wider sense，as Barnabas is included in the argument．This does not necessarily imply that all the apostles excepting Paul and Barnabas were actually married，but only that they had this right，and that many of them had availed themselves of it．－ kal oi $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi o l$ tov kupiou，and the brethren of the Lord．I can have no doubt that this is to be taken in its simplest and plainest meaning，一 the sons of Joseph and Mary，who were born after our Lord．The best known of these was James，pastor of the church in Jerusalem．Gal．1．19； 2．9，12；Acts 12.17 ；15．13；21．18．－kal K $\eta$ ¢âs，and Cephas？Cf． ch．I．I2，note．On the general question，brethern of the Lord，see Bible Dict．，art．Brother．
 not a right to refrain from woorking ？方 as in ch．6．2，9．Or（if you deny the truth of my reasoning，does it really follow that）we oni＇y Barnabus
and I are without a right etc. Note the negatives oùk w. the indic., $\mu$ n w. the infin. For an account of Barnabas and of his relations to Paul see Bible Dict. It appears that he had adopted Paul's custom of self-support, and that this fact was known to the Corinthians.
V. 7. Three examples from common occupations, showing that the laborer might expect a support from that in which he was engaged. tis $\sigma \tau p a \tau \epsilon v \in$ eral . . . $\pi$ oté (emphat. posit.) ; Who serves as a soldier at his
 flants a vincyard and does not eat the fruit of it? Note here the acc. т̀̀ картóv w. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \epsilon \epsilon$, pres. tense, denoting the beginning and continuance of an action. It does not imply that he completes the action, i. e. that he eats all the fruit. In the next clause note a change of construction, $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ w. the gen., presenting the same general thought. No particular stress

 of a flock (or herd) and does not eat of the milk of the flock? moumaive denotes the entire care, not merely the feeding; and $\pi o^{\circ} \mu \nu \eta$ signifies either a flock of sheep or of goats, or the two in one flock, or a herd of cattle. The const. ėk тoû $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau o s .$. oùk $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta l \epsilon t$ may be suggested by the fact that other articles of food are made of the milk and eaten; also by the sale of a portion of these articles the herdsman or shepherd obtains his entire living. All the facts referred to in this verse would be familiar to the Corinthians and very suggestive.
Vv. 8, 9. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ (suggesting a negative answer) . . . $\lambda a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$, Do I speak these things according to human judsment? - भे (as in verse 6) kai o vó $\mu \mathrm{os}$. . . ov̇ $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \mathrm{t}$; or (if I say these things according to human judgment of what is right, is it true that the law is silent on this point ?) the law also does it not say these things ? An affirmative answer is suggested by the neg. où. On the difference between $\lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ and $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ in $N$. Test. see Rom. 3. 19, note. The distinction seems by no means so marked as
 the law also says these things,) For in the law of Moses it is writtin. There is a degree of solemnity in the form of statement (Meyer). -
 an ox while treading out the grain, - Deut.' 25 . 4, in the language of the LXX., except $\kappa \eta \mu \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon t s$, st. $\phi i \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon t s$. Notice the form of the prohibition,
 for the oxen that God cares? Bow $\nu$, gen. of cause ; $\theta \in \hat{\omega}$, dat. w. the impers. verb. Note again the force of the neg. $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ in a question. God does not utter this command because of his care for the oxen, does he? Answer suggested, No! not on that account only or chiefly.
V. ıo. À $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \mu a ̂ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma e t ; ~ o r ~(a s ~ w e ~ m a y ~ s u p p o s e) ~ d o e s ~ h e ~ s a y ~ t h i s ~$ altogether on our account? - $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \gamma a ̀ p ~(c o n f i r m a t o r y) ~ ' ̇ \gamma p a ́ \phi \eta ~(\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega), ~$

On our account certainly it was zuritten．The bearing of all this on the argument of the apostle is plain．The word $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s$ has particular reference to Christian preachers（so Chrys．，Theoph．，Neand．，Meyer，Alf．，Ell．， et al．）．－öть ódeìict ．．．ápotplâv，because，or in viewu of the fract that，he wiho plows ought to plow in hope．＇ $\bar{\pi} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \pi^{\delta} t$ ，lit．upon hope，as the basis of his action．In Rom． 8.20 we find the form＇̇ $\phi$＇$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta t$（Tisch．）， $\bar{\epsilon} \phi$＇$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta t$
 thresh）in hope of having a share．See Lex．$\mu \in \tau \in \in \chi \omega$ ．The reference and application to the Christian laborer is very plain．Is this principle less true now than it was then？

 spiritual（the vital truths of Christianity），is it a great matter if we shall reap your carral possessions？$\epsilon i \mathrm{w}$ ．the indic．，the supposition of an actual fact；$\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \bar{s}$, zue，i．e．Paul and those who were laboring with him．Note the emphatic juxtaposition，$\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，$\eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．The argument of this verse is a majori ad minus，and must have led the Corinthian Christians
 Note the contrast $\pi \nu \in v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ and $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \iota \kappa \alpha$, ，things of the spirit，things of the flesh（i．e．food and what we call＂the necessaries of life＂）．

V．I2．Confirmation of the principle from the example of others．－
 a share in the authority over you，do not wee still more？Note the emphat． posit．of $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s, w e$ ，i．e．Paul and those who labored with him；äd $\lambda o t$ ， others，referring apparently to those who had entered the field after the departure of Paul，not necessarily meaning the false teachers；$\dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ ，
 （when we were with you）use this authority．－$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} . . . \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \gamma \rho \mu \in \nu$ iva $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta}$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. ，but we bear all thingss that we may not cause（lit．give）any hindrance （any obstruction）to the gospel of Christ．$\pi \alpha^{2} \nu \tau a$ ，all thinggs，such as labor， privations，hardships；$\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega \omega$ occurs but four times in the N．Test．For the various meanings in class．Gr．see L．and Sc．
Vv．13，14．Further confirmation of his right to a support；from Jewish customs，and also from a precept of the Lord Jesus．－oúk oldate，
 ．．．ér日iovour ；that those who work at the sacred things（i．e．the priests who discharge their various duties in the temple）eat（of）the things of the sacred place，i．e．the temple？－oi тஸ̣̂ Өvoıao $\sigma v \mu \mu \mathrm{p}$ i＇govial；（and that）those who attend at（lit．sit beside）the altar take a share zuith the altar？Note the force of the mid．$\sigma v \mu \mu \in \rho!\zeta o \nu \tau \alpha l$, take to themselves a share，a portion．－oút $\omega$ s kal ó кúpıos $\delta$ เétaģev（ $\delta \iota a-\tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega)$ ．．．乌ףิv（弓̆a＇a）．So also the Lord ordained，arranged，for those who preach
the gospel that they should live (lit. to live) by the gospel (or of the gospel). ó кúpoos, the Lord, i. e. Christ. Cf. Matt. Io. Io; Luke Io. 7, S. Éк denotes the source, the means by which.
V. I 5. From this point to the end of the chapter, Paul speaks of himself, - of his self-denial and devotion to the one great work of preaching
 one of these things, i. e. the things enumerated above; all that would come under the head of $\epsilon \xi o v \sigma l a$. Note the emphatic negation, ov̀ . . . ov̀ $\delta \in \nu i ;$ also the perf. кє́ $\chi$ р $\eta u a l$, I have not usid (up to the present time). - oùk Ë $\gamma p a \psi a$ dè rav̂ra, And I aurite not, or I have not wurilten, these things;
 that it may become thus (as I have above written; namely, that the preachers and teachers of the gospel should receive a support from the churches) in my case, lit. in me. Cf. $\dot{\epsilon} v \mathrm{w}$. dat., Matt. 17. 12; Luke
 die rather than-. At this point, the punctuation of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{H}$., who place a dash after $\eta^{\eta}$, thus indicating a sudden break in the sentence, seems the
 man shall make vood! This is uttered in the form of a direct sentence (oviסeis $\kappa \in \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon t$ ), and with deep emotion. In reading the entire verse, a pause should be made after the word ${ }^{\prime}$, than. The Vulg., O. V., B. U., R. V., and most of the other versions, are from the reading $\tau i s k \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$, Text. Rec. Alford reads $\tau$ is $\kappa \in \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma$. Tisch., W-H., Tregel, with all the oldest MSS., have oú $\delta \in i s \kappa \in \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \in \iota$. It must be acknowledged that the reading of the Greek and the construction are not entirely certain. The general thought, however, remains with each of the various constructions substantially the same; namely, I prefer to perish with hunger and want rather than to depart from this my custom of self-support, and thus lose my present ground of glorying. Note the N . Test. use of кavxáoual, to glory, to rejoice; and of kaúर $\eta u a$, ground of glorying and rijoicing.

Vv. 16, 17. The reason why he held so firmly to this кav́रŋua. - éàv
 continue to preach) the gospel, I have not (lit. there does not exist to me) a ground of slorying (in the faithful discharge of this duty, I find no ground

 preach the gospel. This clause repeats in still stronger language the idea in the preceding; and the argument is made still plainer in what follows.
 a business matter of my own choosing) $I$ do this (then, in that case) $I$
 if (I do this) not of mine own will, I have been intrusted with a stewardship ( I am in the position of a stewurd). I can find in this no ground of
glorying. I can discharge the duties of a steward well, and meet with approval; but nothing more.
V. IS. Tis oîv pou toviv ó $\mu$ oooss; What then is my recuard? The
 Oŋ́ण $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., (It is) that, in preaching the gospel, I may make the gospel with-
 right in the gospel. He could not consent to place himself in any other relations to the Corinthian church (cf. verse 15) ; and to him the consciousness that he was giving to them the gospel and winning souls to Christ without a recompense from them was a sufficient reward, and a ground of glorying. Various constructions and interpretations have been put upon this verse; but I think the above follows the Greek closely and presents the argument consistently. Note the grammatical points : $\tau$ is,


Vv. 19, 20. In what follows he describes more particularly the character of his work, with the motive which influenced him. - 'E $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ єú $\theta$ єpos $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho$ $\omega v \kappa \tau \epsilon .$, For (a confirmation of the last clause, that he did not use in full his right, his $\epsilon \xi$ ovsla, in the work of preaching the gospel) being free, or thought I was free, from all (men), I made myself a bond-servant to all. iva . . . $\kappa \in \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ (aor. suljunc. fr. $\kappa \in \rho \delta a i v \omega$ ), that $I$ misht gain (i. e. to Christ) the more, the greater mumber (of them, the persons included in $\pi a ̂ \sigma \tau \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu)$. I cannot understand it to mean, as some do, more than any of the other apostles. Not only does the Greek fail to convey that idea, but it seems inconsistent with the spirit and teaching of Paul. Cf. Rom. 12, 10 . - Here follow specifications under the general state-
 to the Yewos as a feru that I might gain flews. This sentence has respect to the nationality ; the next, to the religious status. In what particulars Paul became as a Jew to the Jews, he does not here tell us. We learn something on this point in Acts 16. 3; 21. 26. - тois vinò vó $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ wis ímò vórov, ктé., to those who are under the laze as under the lazv, thoug ${ }^{\prime}$ not being myself under the lazu, that I might gain those who are under the lazu. What Paul meant by the declaration that he was not under the law. appears in his epistles to the Galatians and to the Romans. See especially Gal. 2. 19 ff. For the omission of the art. w. עóuov, see Winer, § 19, p. I23. Of course, the Mosaic law with all its attendant ceremonies is here meant.
V. 21. Further specifications. - Toîs ávó $\mu \eta_{\eta} \omega \nu$ ävo $l_{\text {luz ( }}$ (i. e. to the Gentiles, who were without the Mosaic law, as weithout the lazv (i. e. not following the Jewish observances of feasts, fasts, etc.), though not (lit. not being) without the laze of God (i. e. not without the spiritual, or moral, law of God, which is universal and eternal in its re-
quirements), but in the law of Christ. Our Lord himself pointed out and sanctioned that which is eternal and spiritual in the law: Matt. 22. 3740 ; Mark 12. 28-3I; Luke 10. 25-27. Cf. Rom. 13.9, 10; Gal. 5. 14 ; 6. 2. The genitives $\theta$ eov̂ and Xpıбтov̂ may be viewed as objective with the adjs. ăvouos and $\begin{gathered}\text { èvouos; thus, not being without the luzv in relation to }\end{gathered}$ God, but in the law in relation to Christ. This verse is usually rendered, To those who are without law, as without law etc., omitting the article throughout the verse; but I much prefer to express the article, not oniy to make the rendering of this verse correspond to that of the preceding, but especially on account of the idea. The Corinthians, and in fact all the churches to which Paul wrote, were under the Roman Empire, from which the common law of modern nations is chiefly transmitted; and it cannot in truth, in the ordinary sense of the expression, be said that they were "without law." Yet they were, at least the Gentile portion of the church, "without the Mosaic law," as $\nu \delta$ óms is usually understood in
 Martin, sous la loi de Christ, with the article. -iva кєp $\delta a ́ v \omega$ tov̀s advó $\mu \mathrm{ovs}$, that I might gain those who are without the lawv. The subjunc. $\kappa \in \rho \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu \omega$, here and in the entire connection, rendered might etc., like the optat., because dependent on a historic tense ( $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \gamma \in \nu$ ó $\mu \eta \nu$ ). Note how much less frequently the optat. is used in N. Test. than in Attic Greek. The Attic form of the ist aor. subjunc., $\kappa \in \rho \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, certainly seems surprising, while $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \dot{\eta}^{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ occurs so many times in close connection. W-H. read $\kappa \in \rho \delta a \nu \hat{\omega}$, fut. indic. after $\ell_{\nu \alpha}$, as in verse $18, \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$; and this is probably the true original intention of the writer, - the fut. indicating more distinctly than the aor. subjunc. an expectation. Bear in mind that the most ancient MSS. are all uncial, hence without the written accents. This word would be KEP $\triangle A N \Omega$, without accent, and this may be either fut. indic. or Attic aor. subjunc. The Text. Rec. has here $\kappa \in \rho \delta \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, but this is not adopted by any recent critical editor.
 "The weak" were those who were yet weak in faith, not strong in Christian character. Cf. ch. S. 7 ff. ; Rom. 14. I ; 15. I ; I Thess. 5. I4; Acts 20.35. I cannot think with Alf. that it refers to "those who had not strength to believe and receive the gospel." - I became weak, i. e. I entered into sympathy with them in every possible way. Many a Christian pastor has learned in his own experiences the meaning of this. -iva . . . кєp $\delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, that I might gain the weak, i. e. that I might win them over to strength of Christian character, to take a decided stand for Christ. - $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma เ \nu ~ \gamma є ́ \gamma o v a ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a, ~ i v a ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega s ~ \tau เ \nu a ̀ s ~ \sigma \omega ́ \sigma \omega ~$ $(\pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\jmath} \omega)$. Note the emphatic repetition, $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \ldots \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \ldots \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega s$. Ti, all men I have become all things, that by all means I may saze some; $\sigma \omega \tau \omega$ either fut. indic. or aor. subjunc. in form; in meaning does not
differ materially from $\kappa \in \rho \delta \eta \sigma \sigma$. But what could Paul mean by such a statement as this, - all thing's to all men? Did he with easy conscience, like many in modern times, sacrifice, or bend, Christian principle whenever it seemed to him convenient or from a worldly point of view expedient? Not at all. The Corinthians knew him too well to understand him in this way. They knew full well that he never from any worldly motive would swerve a hair's breadth from the strictest principles of duty. They would have no difficulty in understanding his statement, - that ne sought to adapt himself to all the various circumstances and conditions of human life, to enter into the closest sympathy with all human hearts, for the sake of touching them and winning them to the truth, as did our Lord himself in his life on earth.
 And I do all thingrs on account of the srospel (all the things enumerated above, impelled by one motive), that I may become a fellow-fartaker of it (a partaker with others of the blessings promised in the gospel, -
 cause, the end in view, - epexegetical of $\delta i \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \delta$ e $\dot{\dot{v}} \alpha \gamma \gamma$-, the moving cause. Note that the apostle speaks of himself as being a $\sigma \nu \nu \kappa o t \nu \omega \nu$ ós, a follow-partaker. The Christian race and the Christian contest are next presented as differing in one most important feature, suggested apparently by the word ouvкoıvovós, from the races and athletic contests with which the Corinthians were undoubtedly familiar in the Isthmian games, celebrated only a few miles away from Corinth. - ouv
 $\tau \in S \in \epsilon \in \in$., Do ye not know that those who run in a race-conrse (in a stadium -all indeed runt, but one receives the prize? $\pi$ ávтєs $\mu \in \grave{\nu}$. . . єîs
 $\beta_{\eta \tau \epsilon}$, Thuss (like one of those in the race-course) do ye run (pres. imperat., continue to run), that ye may surely receive, that ye may grasp firmly (the prize) ; $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to take, receize; катa(intens.) $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$, to take, or receive, firmly. Note also the force of the aor. катала́ß $\begin{gathered}\text { т } \epsilon \text {, }\end{gathered}$ that ye may grasp firmly (as an accomplished fact).
V. 25. A further comparison in the same line. - $\pi$ âs $\delta \frac{\text { è }}{}$ ó áywvţó

 And every man who engages in an athletic contest is in all thinges temperate, they indeed (plur. referring to the collective idea in $\pi$ ass) that they may receive a corruptible crozon; but zee, an incorruptible; $\sigma \tau$ '́qavov, a crown, zureath, garland. In the Olympic games, the garland was of wild olive, cut from a tree in the sacred grove at Olympia. Palm leaves were at the same time placed in the hands of the victors.

Hence the expression "to carry off the palm." In the Isthmian games, the garlands were at one time of pine, afterwards of ivy, and stiil later, again of pine. All of these materials were "corruptible," but the crown which the Christian will receive at the end of his racecourse will be "incorruptible." Again, note another most important difference . in these earthly contests only one, the victor, reccives the crown; but all who run the Christian race-course will receive at last a crown; yes, and that crown will be incorruptible, "the crown of glory that fadeth not away." I Pet. 5. 4. Let us take courage : the end is not far off.
V. 26. The thought in these closing verses of the chapter is in keeping with that above, - his uniform self-denial. - غُ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ (emphat. posit.) toivvv (only here in Paul's epistles; once in Luke; once in James; once in Heb.) oüт runner in the race-course). The emphatic force of oürcs is liable to be overlooked in the English rendering so. The German also (Luther) is better, though less emphatic, I think, than the Greek. The Vulg. sic makes the thought clear. Still it is less emphatic than the Greek. Cf. ch. 3. ${ }^{15}$, note, where the proper force of oürcs is, as here, important for a correct apprehension of the meaning of the sentence. -
 much less frequent in N. Test. than in Attic, and hence all the more emphatic. I therefore thus run, as (one who runs) actually with no uncertainty; non in incertum, Vulg.; scio qued fetam et quomodo, Beng.; jpoेs бкотó̀ тıva $\beta \lambda \in ́ \pi \omega \nu$, oùk єi̋ḳ̂ каl $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$, Chrys.; cf. Phil. 3. 14, кат⿳亠
 ing. This corresponds to $\delta \dot{d} \gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \zeta_{j} \mu \in \nu o s$ above. Boxing was one of the most prominent of the athletic contests. Those who have visited Rome will perhaps remember the antique statues of boxers in the art museums. - $\dot{\omega}$ s oủk áépa $\delta \hat{\rho} \rho \rho \omega$, as not beatinus air (mere empty air, instead of an actual antagonist). $\delta \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ is an expressive word, becting so as to knock off the skin, flaying; see Thayer, L. and Sc.; used in Paul's epistles only here and in 2 Cor. 1I. 20; elsewhere in N. Test. only in the Gospels and Acts.
 severely' (see L. and Sc.), I bruise my liody (ich bläue mir den Leil, Meyer; je mortifie mon corps, Martin), and bring it into bondage (into the condition of a bond-servant, $\delta o \hat{u} \lambda o s$, mache zum Scluven, Meyer). It is easy to see how an abuse of this declaration may have led to the ascetic absurdities of subsequent times in the Christian church. The sentence means simply that he sought to bring the physical appetites and passions all under the control of reason and conscience; and the argument of the chapter shows that he was willing to deny himself, in many
ways, of things which were perfectly lawful, things which were his right ( $\dot{\xi} \xi o v)^{\prime}(\alpha)$, for the better and surer accomplishment of this great end. The same general thought is expressed above in $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ ' $\gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon u^{-}-$ єтal, though perhaps with less emphasis. In connection with what Paul here says of the body, it is well to bear in mind what the same writer has said in another connection: ch. 6. 15, Do ye not know that your bodies are members of Christ? Verse 19, Do ye not know that your body is a temple of the Holy Spirit within you? - two different views, each very intelligible in its own connection, and by no means contradictory. -
 made proclamation (having been a herald') to others, I myself become disapfroved, rejected. The figure of the race-course is thus carried through to the end of the sentence ; and the apostle presents himself under the twofold figure of one running in the race-course, and of the herald who proclaimed the laws of the contest and summoned the rumers to enter the race. кпрv́gas, having acted as a herald, akin to кîpuछ, a herald. This meaning of $\kappa \eta \rho \rho^{\prime} \sigma_{\sigma} \omega$ should never be lost sight of in the N. Test. àooskuos, disupproved, i. e. unzuorthy of the prize. The doctrine of the perseverance of the saints is nowhere in the N. Test. presented in such a way as to lead to self-indulgence, or to remissness in Christian duty; but everywhere the necessity of vigilance, of self-denial, and of the most strenuous effort, is urged upon the disciple of Christ, as the evidence, and the only evidence, that he is actually a true disciple, and will at last receive an incorruptible crown.

Chap. X. The importance of the principles laid down in the preceding chapter illustrated by a striking passage in Jewish history (vv. I-II). An exhortation and an encouragement following this illustration (vv. I2, I3). A warning against the danger of mingling idolatrous ideas and practices with the Lord's Supper (vv. 14-22). Some practical instructions of the highest importance at that time respecting the eating of meats sacrificed to idols (vv. 23-ch. II. I). This is in close connection with the argument in ch. 8 .
V. i. Ou̇ $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ $\gamma$ à $\rho \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ á $\gamma v o \in i v$, For ( $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ introduces the illustration) I am not willing that you continue ignorant. The thought was in part no doubt a new one to them ; and the expression is used elsewhere, as here, to introduce something important. Cf. Rom. I. 13; 11. 25, notes. -
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$. . . $\delta \leqslant \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov ( $\delta<\epsilon \in \rho \chi o \mu a t$ ), that our futhers were all under the cloul and all passed through the sea. Cf. Ex. 13. 2I ff.; also ch. 14. Note
únó w. the acc., extending under; never w. the dat. in N. Test. Article used because a definite and well-known object is spoken of. The expression our futhers was literally true of the Jewish members of the church; but it was also true of the Gentile converts, inasmuch as the ancient Israelites were the people through whom the earliest oracles of God had been transmitted to the Gentile world, and hence might be viewed as spiritual fathers, in the same manner in which Abraham is viewed as the father of the faithful in all subsequent ages. Cf. Rom. 3.2; 4. II ff. ; II. I7 ff.
V. 2. кail $\pi$ ávтєs . . $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \emptyset \eta \sigma a v \kappa \tau \mathcal{E}$. (note the emphatic repetition of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \in s$ in vv. $1,2,3,4$ ), and were all baptized into Moses in the clond and in the sea. The reading $\dot{\epsilon} \beta a \pi \tau i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o$, mid. voice, receized buptism as a voluntary act, is preferred by many (W-H., Alf., Meyer, et al.). Cf.
 into Moses, i. e. recognizing and acknowledging him as their leader. "Entered by the act of such immersion into a solemn covenant with God, and became his church under the law, as given by Moses, God's servant, - just as we Christians by our baptism are bound in a solemn covenant with God, and enter his church under the gospel as brought in by Christ, God's eternal Son. See Heb. 3. 5, 6." Alf. - év тñ vépé̀n кal ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{y} \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma^{\circ} \sigma \eta$. "They passed under both, as the baptized passes under water," Alf. " The cloud is, in a measure, taken together with the water (not symbolically of the Spirit), as the element into which they entered. and wherein they became, as it were, submerged, in order thence to
 3. ni, al., indicating the element in which, by immersion and emergence, the baptism was effected," Meyer. "Cloud and sea, both together as type of the baptismal water, must be thought of as alike (gleichartig) according to their nature." Meyer. "Et nubes proprium humorem portat," Beng. "The type appropriated the subjects to Moses as his; the antitype appropriates them to Christ as his redeemed ones," Meyer.

Vv. 3, 4. As the ordinance of baptism had been prefigured in the history of the Israelites, so also that of the Lord's Supper. - kal mávтєs . . .'€фayov ('̇ $\sigma \theta i \omega)$, and all ate the same spiritual food. This was the manna, miraculously provided for them. Cf. Ex. I6. I3 ff.; Psalm
 same spiritual drink. Ex. 17. I-6; Num. 20. 2-11. - èmıvov (imperf. of $\pi i v \omega)$ yàp . . io Xpıotós, for they continued to drink from (out frome) a spirilual rock accomfonying (them), and the rock (this spiritual rock that accompanied them) zuas Christ (or, I am inclined to render of Xpıotós here the Christ, as in so many passages in the Gospels and Acts in the R. V.), - spiritual drink from a spiritual rock, and the rock was the Christ,
the Messiah. Much has been written and much more may be written on this passage without making the simple statement any clearer. The events attending the removal of the children of Israel from Egypt to the promised land were supernatural and miraculous, and hence ordinary human experience furnishes no analogy to them. We can only take the statements as they stand in the sacred word. In itself the sentence is clear and without ambiguity. It should not be forgotten that Paul here speaks of the rock as "spiritual."

Vv. 5, 6. da $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủk . . . єv̉dók $\eta \sigma \in \nu$ ó $\theta$ eós, But not with (lit. in) the greater nutmber of them was God well pleased. "A tragical litotes." Meyer. Only Caieb and Joshua were permitted to reach the promised land;
 they were strewed (scattered) in the wilderness (in the desert). Num.
 rirvouaı), And these thingss ( $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$, subj., as in verse il) became (plur. verb, agreeing w. the pred. $\tau$ v́no九) examples (figures, Vorbilder, Meyer) for us. тúmos, a print, impression, pattern; akin to rúmтa. Cf. Rom. 5. 14. These were examples, not for imitation, but for warning, - examples of God's dealing with the disobedient, as is made plain in the following words. - tis (the end in view) rò $\mu \dot{\eta}$ єivat $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ (subj. after the infin., as often in the N. Test.) $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, , that we should not be longing for ( persons lusting after) evil things, - a general expression, anything whatsoever that is
 supposing us to be like them) lusted.


 idoluters, as some of them (became). Paul here refers to the idolatry implied in partaking of the feasts in heathen temples; ch. 5. II. This becomes plain from the following citation; some of them, but not all. Cf.
 has been zeritten. Ex. 32. 6, in the words of the LXX. - ékábiocv . . . фayєiv ('̇ $\sigma \theta i \omega)$ kai $\pi \in i ̂ v(\pi i \nu \omega)$ k $\tau \in \in$., The people sat down to eat and drink (at the idol-feast of the golden calf) and rose up to play (to dance and engage in other sports). This especially, participating in idolfeasts, was the danger to which the Corinthians were exposed. - $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon}$
 commit fornication, as some of them committed (cf. Num. 25. I ff.), and fell in one day twenty-three thousand. According to Num. 25.9, twenty-four thousand perished. Was this discrepancy an error of memory on the part of Paul, or was there a discrepancy in the earliest MSS. ? The latter seems to me more probable. At all events, whether we read 23,000 or 24,000 the illustration, the lesson here taught, remains the same, equally striking.
 timue to try＇；$\epsilon_{\kappa}$ ，exceedingrly）the Lord．The connection，the references to $O$ ．Test．history，would lead us to understand $\tau \delta \nu$ кúptov here as meaning God，Jehovah．The thought is，Let us not venture to task the divine patience by continuing in any voluntary and known sins．This passage does not contradict James I．I3，God cannot be tempted with cail things，i．e．they have no power to reach him and divert him from what is
 $\lambda \nu v \tau 0$（àmó $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu \mathrm{l}$ ），as some of them tried（hime）and perishid（continued to perish，imperf．）by the serpents．Num．21． 5 ff ．The reading $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X}$ Pıotóv，
 каì àтผ́入ovто（aor．）ктє́．，And do not murmutr（pres．do not continue to murmur，or be not in the habit of murmuring＇），as（кa日áтєр，кат⿱㇒日，ä，$\pi \epsilon \in$ ， according as，exactly as）some of thom murmured and perishod by the de－ stroyer．This is sometimes thought to refer to Num．ch．14，but more commonly to Num．16． 4 I ff．The occasion for the first two exhortations following $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ is not doultful．Whether there was anything in the Corinthian church，more than in the churches generally，suggesting the last two is not so certain．
 by zuay of carample（as warnings；cf．túnou，verse 6），and were recorded （were writtin）for an admonition to us（or for our admonition）．Is not this true of all authentic history，in all ages and nations，when rightly studied？ Note $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \beta a \nu \in \nu$, imperf．，denoting the events in their successive occur－
 （кaravoda $\omega$ ，into（the midst of）whom the ends of the ages have come．Cf．
 The last period in the world＇s history，from the coming of Christ to the
 モ́ $\sigma$ ával $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \tau \omega \mu \eta े \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta(\pi i \pi \tau \omega)$ ，Wherefore（in view of the above warnings）let him who seems to stand（woho thinks that he stands，i．e．is firm in the Christian life），size heed（habitually，pres．imperat．）lest he foll． No man can ever in this life be so sure of his adoption that he can afford to cease using the utmost vigilance．The question whether the falling here spoken of means the falling into some temporary sin，or the falling from a state of grace，is differently answered by different persons．The latter view is taken by Calvin，Bengel，Kling，Meyer，et al．

V．I3．After the warning，this verse presents the true and highest en－ couragement to steadfastness and perseverance．－$\pi \epsilon\llcorner p a \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ . ~ . ~ \epsilon \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$
 except such as belongs to a human being，i．e．as the connection indicates， except such as man can bear（viribus hutmanis accommodatus，Meyer）．－ $\pi \iota \sigma$ ròs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \dot{o} \theta_{\text {éss，}}$ ，and（this thought is in the same line with the preceding， and hence óé may be rendered and；so B．U．；Martin，ct Dicu est fuldile：
this seems to me logically preferable, yet most translators and expositors view it as slightly adversative, and render it but) God is fuithful, worthy to
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., (this gives the reason for the foregoing,) who will not (or since he will not) suffer you to be tempted (tried) beyond what ye are able (beyond your ability to bour it). Note this frequent use of ös in a causal sense. Cf. Lat. qui.
 (the testing, tryingr) the way of escape also, - both together. Here God is represented as testing or trying his children; above, verse 9, they are represented as trying him, and he as allowing himself to be tried. Buth
 that ye may be able to bear it (to bear up under $i t$ ). $\tau 0 \hat{w}$ w. the infin. denoting purpose, cf. Win., § 44. 4, p. 324.
 cf. S. I3), Wherefore (Deshalb cben, Meyer), - a conclusion from the preceding paragraph. - ajyaintoi $\mu$ ov, my beloved. Note this affectionate address; not a mere formal, conventional word, but an expression of the
 service), flee (pres. imperat. flee continually) azuay from the service of idols. Here we find the principal conclusion from the above warning examples.
 this verse makes two independent sentences. The const. of Meyer makes the last clause the logical object of $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Thus, As if to wise men I say, do ye judge what I affirm. ©s, as if, assuming it as a fact; фpoviuous, intellisent, those who are capable of judging; кр'vate (aor. imperat.) $\dot{\mu} \mu$ îs (emphat.), do ye judgse (once for all, as a finished act); $\phi \eta \mu$ !, I affirm; $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, I speak, I say, cf. Rom. 3. 8; ö $\phi \eta \mu$ t points to what follows.
V. 16. тò आoтท́piov (note the asyndeton) т $\hat{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}$ єủdoyias. The cuth of blessing ; i. e. the cup which is consecrated with prayer and thanksgiving at the partaking of the Supper; and it may also mean the cup connected with a blessing, which brings a blessing. The gen. may cover both ideas. Olsh., Kling, et al. - $\delta$ € $\dot{\lambda} \lambda 0 \gamma 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon v$, zwhich we bless, which we consecrate by prayer, - a clause epexegetical of the preceding. The same verb is used of the blessing pronounced on the loaves and fishes; and also on the loaf at the partaking of the Last Supper. The cup is here mentioned first, although second in order at the institution of the Supper, because he wishes to dwell longer on the subject of the loaf as connected with his leading theme, - the meat sacrificed to idols. - ovxi (emphat. neg., an-
 communtion of, or participation in (R. V.), a partaking of (B. U.), the participation of (Alf.), the blood of Christ. As this is the only place where the word "communion" is used in the N. Test. with reference to the Lord's Supper, it is important to note carefully the connection and the
sense in which it is used; not a communion with one another, but a communion of, or partaking of, the blood of Christ; and so further on, a communtion of, or fartakings of, the iodjy of Christ. I regard it as very unfortunate, and the fruitful source of numberless discords and jealousies, that the ordinance should ever be viewed as an expression of fellowship with one another. But what does the language, a communion of, or partaking of, the blood of Christ and the body of Christ, really mean? We know the view of the Romanists, and also of those who believe in the doctrine of "the real presence." The majority of Protestants in this country, however, regard the bread and the wine as symbols or emblems of the body and the blood of Christ ; so that, in partaking of them, the body and the blood of Christ are presented vividly to our minds. This view, equally with the literal interpretation, is in keeping with the exhortation of our Lord at the institution of the ordinance: тov̂to moteite eis тìv $\dot{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, LLuke 22. 19; I Cor. 11. 24, 25, - be in the hatit of doing this, or continue to do this (pres. imperat.), to bring me to mind; eis denoting the object in riew, the intention; $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, the possessive adj. pron. with the furce of the gen. of a pers. pron., as often, - with a vicw to the remembrance of me, Lat. in meam commemorationem; in w. the acc.,
 bread) which we brauk, is it not a partaking of the body of Christ? Against the literal interpretation of the words bedy and blood, the fact should be borne in mind that at the institution of the Supper our Lord was still in his human body; and I cannot see how his disciples could have understood the loaf and the cup in any other way than as symbols or emblems of his real body and blood.
V. 17. ठ̋ть єîs äpros, êv $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \mu$ ктє., 一 an emphatic statement of the unity of believers, as is shown in the ordinance of the Supper. (The idea of unity is quite distinct from that of intercommunion, and should by no means be confounded with it.) Because (or in view of the fact that) there is one loaf, we the many are one body. I much prefer this grammatical structure of the sentence. So Calvin, Beza, Bengel, Hodge, Meyer,
 loaf; - the reason for the affirmation just made.
V. i8. Another illustration of unity to confirm the idea that the partaking of meat sacrificed to idols is practically idolatry itself. - $\beta \lambda$ énєтє тòv 'Iopaŋ̀入 кaт̀̀ $\sigma$ ápka. Behold Israel according to the flesh; i. e. the lineal descendants of Israel. In Rom. ch. 9, Paul uses the word Israel in a very different sense. - oủ (anticipating an affirmative answer) oi $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ iovtes $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., Are not those who cat the sacrifices partakers of, or fartakers aith, the altar? (have they not communion with the altar? R. V.) A portion of the sacrifice was burned upon the altar; another portion was eaten by those in attendance or sold in the markets. By parallel reason-
ing，those wno eat the sacrifices offered to idols become partakers of，or with，the idol－altar ；i．e．practically idolaters．
Vr．19，20．$\tau i$ oûv $\phi \eta \mu i$ ；What then do $I$ affirm？What conclusion
 affirm）That a thing sacrificed to an idol is（actually）anything？i．e．any－
 $\tau\llcorner$＇̇otiv ；or（do I affirm）that an idol is anything？i．e．anything having life；＂Lat．aliquid，the opposite of the non ens，＂（Meyer）．－$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＂ö $\tau \iota$ à 0úovoเv $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. A negative answer is plainly suggested to the questions． （No！）But（I affirm）that what they sacrifice，to demons and not to God do they sacrifice．The subject of $\theta$ vouger is readily understood，－the heathen who offer sacrifices．The word $\delta a \iota \mu$ óvia is used to denote，not imaginary divinities，but actual evil spirits，devils．So regularly，both in the Old and New Testaments．Cf．in the LXX．Deut．32．17；Ps． 105 （or 106）．37； Ps．95．5；also numerous passages in the N．Test．－ov̉ $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ．．．$\gamma \mathbf{i}-$ $\boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ，and I am not willing that you become partakers zuith demons （or that you have communion zuith demons）．Meyer understands ör $\iota$ be－ fore this clause，making it dependent，like the preceding，on $\phi \eta \mu$ í，一 and （that）I am not willing etc．$\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ before $\delta \alpha u \mu \nu i \omega \nu$ generic article．
 $\nu \operatorname{va\sigma } \theta \epsilon \ldots$ ．．$\pi i v \varepsilon \iota \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon$ ．，Yout are not able（in a right spirit；it is morally impossible）to drink the Lord＇s cup and the cup of demons．moтńpoov кир＇ov would be readily understood as the cup used at the celebration of the Supper；кup！ov，art．omitted，as often with a proper name；$\pi$ orípoov in both clauses，and so $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \in \xi^{\prime} \eta$ s in the next sentence，made definite by the limiting gen．Win．§ 19．2，b．，－＂the cup of demons，＂i．e．the cup used in connection with the idol－feasts．－ov̉ $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \mu \in \tau \in ́ x \in \iota \nu \kappa \tau \in \mathfrak{e}$ ；y you are not able to partake of（to have a share int）the Lord＇s table and the table of demons．－そ̀ rapa̧ŋ $\eta$ dov̂uev ròv kúpıov；Or（supposing we persist in this iniquitous practice）do we provoke to jealousy the Lord？i．e．Christ， who instituted the Supper，and in memory of whom it is celebrated．－
 not stronger than he，are we？Can we afford to insult and defy him？ Abductio ad absurdum．Chrys．

Vv．23，24．Пávтa ${ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ is introduced here，as in 6．12，abruptly， without connective particle，All things are luauful．This statement－an abuse of the principle of Christian liberty－was probably current at this time in Corinth．Paul introduces it to show the necessary qualifications in connection with the subject which he is now discussing；and so he adds．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ò̀ $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi \tilde{\rho} \rho \in \iota$, but not all thingss are profitable（cf．6．12）： and repeating the statement，he adds，à $\lambda \lambda$＇où $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ oiko $\delta o \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ ，but not all thinggs build up（edify），一most suggestive additions to the current
maxim. They must certainly have led to serious reflection. Note the use of oiкобонєî. Cf. 8. I; I Thess. 5. II ; also oiкодонй, Rom. 14. 19. $\mu \eta \delta \in$ 's тò éavtov̂ $\ddagger \eta \tau \in i(\tau \omega$, Let no man seek (be in the habit of secking, pres. imperat.) his own interest (lit. that which belongs to himself). Although in the matter under consideration individuals might without personal harm enjoy the utmost liberty, yet the good of others, not simply of one's self, should always be considered. - à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ tò тố $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \in ́ p o v$,
 is readily suggested. So sometimes in classic Greek $\tau \grave{\partial}$ тồ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \dot{\rho} \rho o u$, lit. that of the other, in distinction from one's self.

Vv. 25. 26. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ тò év $\mu \alpha \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega \underset{\omega}{\pi \omega \lambda о v ́ \mu \epsilon v o v, ~ E v e r y t h i n g ~ t h a t ~ i s ~ s o l d ~}$ (offered for salc) in a meat-market. $\mu \alpha \alpha_{k} \in \lambda о \nu$ or $\mu \alpha ́ \kappa \in \lambda o \nu, ~ a ~ r a r e ~ w o r d, ~$ only here in N. Test. Cf. Lat. macellum. The rendering shambles is without meaning to most readers. If I were to meet a neighbor and say, Have you been in the shambles to-day? he would probably wonder what I meant. The question, Have you been in the market, or the meat-market?
 eat (he in the habit of cating), making no inquiry (whether it has been sacrificed to an idol) on account of conscience. - $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\text { èv }}$ ávakpivovets, in nothing, as to nothing, examining, questioning. Neg. $\mu$ ', in a prohibition. This degree of religious liberty might safely be conceded. Whether $\delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon i \delta \partial \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ means on account of one's own conscience or that of another, is not determined by the Greek expression; yet the connection suggests the former, - on account of one's own conscience. In verses 2S, 29 the conscience of another is made plain. The reason for the liberty thus allowed is presented in the form of a citation from the O. Test., Ps. 24. (or 23.) I, in the words of the LXX., except that Paul inserts $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. - tov kuplou kтé., For to the Lord belongs the earth and its fulness. In these two verses we have the statement of a general and abiding principle of conduct. It is plain from what the apostle here says that he did not consider the resolutions passed by the council at Jerusalem to be permanently binding on this one point. Acts $15.28,29$. See note in Hackett's Commentary on Acts, edited by Dr. Hovey. Cf. also ch. 8 of this epistle, and I Tim. 4. 4 .

VV. 27, 28 єí $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ เs ка $\lambda \in \hat{\imath} \kappa \tau \in$. The apostle here presents a practical case, with the rule of conduct. If any one of the unbeliezers (those who are not Christians) calls, ineites you, and you are willing to go. The idea of an invitation to a feast is readily suggested. - $\pi \hat{a} v$ тò $\operatorname{\pi apa\tau i} \theta \dot{\theta} \mu \in v o v$ ขjuiv ĖनDieve ктé., everything that is placed at your side (that is set before you) cat, making no inquiry on account of conscience. Cf. verse 25. But this general principle is followed by a most important limitation. - éd $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
 in sacrifice. Such a case at that time in a heathen city might easily occur.
 account of that ferson, the one who disclosed the fuct, and of conscience. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in i v o v$ points to ris. This person - one of the invited guests - would most likely be some Christian man who had been converted from heathenism, and who had conscientious scruples about eating meat that had been sacrificed to idols. The unusual word iefófutov, st. єiō $\omega \lambda$ ió $\theta u \tau o \nu$, is probably chosen here as a more honorable term spoken at the table of the host who was an idolater.

Vv. 29, 30. An important explanation of $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \sigma v \nu \in i \delta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ in verse 28 . -
 that of the other (the same as $\tau l_{s}$ above). The statement is very explicit,
 lilerty judfed by another conscience (another than my own)? This states the reason why he says so explicitly $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ vô̂ $\mathfrak{e} \in \in \dot{f} \rho o u$, - the conscience of the other, of the one who disclosed the fact that the meat had been sacrificed to an idol. Two points of the highest practical importance for all time are presented in this verse, - the liberty, the absolute independence, of every man's conscience, so far as relates to mere human authority; but at the same time the importance of a suitable regard for another man's conscience, so far at least as not to lead him into sin. - $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ é $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ (emphat.)
 thought, the independence of every man's conscience), If I woith thankfollness partuke (sc. of food and drink), wohy am I ill spoken of regarding that for which I give thanks? The thought commends itself to the good sense of every man. Cf. I Tim. 4. 3 ff.; Rom. 14.6. $\chi$ ápıть in this connection corresponds w. $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, et al.

Vv. 31-33, ch. II. I. The same principles stated in their widest
 do any'thing, do all things with a view to God's glory. Cf. ch. 6. 20; E; h.
 $\kappa \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega$, to strike agrainst) . . . $\gamma^{i v \in \sigma \theta \epsilon} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, Become void of offence (lit. not striking against; unanstössig, Meyer; cf. Phil. I. ıо, note; Give no ocaasion of stumbling, R. V., B. U.) both toward Fizus and toward Greeks
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega})$. . . ápévкळ, even as I also please (seek to please) all men in all thingss. ¿́ $\rho \in \epsilon \sigma \kappa \omega$ must certainly be taken here in the conative sense; and the " all things " must be understond of those things, like the leading subject of this chapter, which did not involve any moral principle. In the preaching of the cross he was bold and outspoken, even when he knew that he was giving offence. Cf. ch. I. 23. - $\mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \ddagger \eta \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. (this explains more fully the meaning of the preceding), not seeking my own proft, but that of the many, that they may be saved, - the great end, kept constantly in view.

imitutors of me, each as I also am (an imitator) of Christ. There is no lack of modesty in this exhortation, as he distinctly defines in how far they were to imitate him. Christ was his ideal of perfection, and they were to imitate him only in so far as he imitated Christ. Cf. Phil. 2. 4 ff.; Rom. 15.3; Eph. 5.2 ; Matt. 20. 28. Note carefully the force of $\gamma^{i} \nu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, here and in ch. 10. 32, become ye etc., indicating something to be aimed at, something not yet attained.

Chap. XI. Suitable decorum in respect to dress in the public assemblies (vv. 2-16). On their conduct at their agapae, and particularly at the celebration of the Supper. The institution and proper method of celebrating this ordinance (vv. I7-3.t).
V. 2. 'Etalvê $\delta \grave{\text { è }}$ úpâs, Now I praise you, - introduces a new topic with a word of commendation. - öть . . . $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каї ка日̀̀s . . . катє́$X \in \tau \epsilon$, becruse in all things ye remember me, and, even as I delieved (them) to you, ye hold fist the traditions (the things delivered, i. e. the instructions respecting Christian doctrines, ordinances, and duties). катє́ $\chi$ ( to hold fast, to hold firmly. Cf. ch. 15.2; I Thess. 5. 21; Heb. 3. 6, 14; 10. 23. In a different sense in Rom. 1. 18; 2 Thess. 2. 6, 7, and other passages.
V. 3. The statement of a general principle, from which application is
 know. Cf. ch. IO. I; Col. 2. I, notes. - ötı mavtòs ávSpòs $\kappa \tau \in \in$., that the
 of evory' man the head is Christ. As he was writing to a Christian church he would be understond to mean the head of every Christian man. (if.
 zooman is the man, or it may be rendered (the) head of (the) zuife is the husband. The last rendering agrees with that of Eph. 5.23 (R. V., B. U.), and conveys, I think, the idea more accurately. (The double signification of àvク́p and $\gamma v \nu \eta$, man or husband, zuoman or zuife, often makes it difficult to translate accurately into Finglish.) The word $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ here must he understood of the immediute or proximate head, not as supreme head, since Christ, as head of the church (Col. I. IS; Eph. I. 22; 4. 15), is head of all the individual members. The expression, the head of the wife is the husbrand, must be understood simply of official or social relations, as becomes evident from the next clause. Meyer uses the expression organic suberdination (organische ('nterordnuns) to denote the relation both in this clause and in the following. Kling with much propriety speaks of this clause as representing "the social position held in the family and in the church." In connection with this passage the statement in Gal. 3. 2 3 should not be forgotten, where the personal
relations of all believers to Christ himself are presented, and the distinctions of race, sex, and social condition all disappear. - кєфа入خे $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тov̂ Xpıotov̂ ó $\theta$ eós, and (the) head of Chris, is God. Christ as son of God, while in his nature and essential character equal with the Father, in official relations (economic relation, Kling), is everywhere in the N. Test. viewed as subordinate. On the equality of the Son with the Father see especially Phil. 2. 6; also the comment of the Jews themselves, John 5. 18; the words of Jesus, John 10. 30. On the subordination of the Son see again Phil. 2. 6 ff.; John 14. 28; I Cor. 3. 23; 15. 28. For a complete discussion of this subject see the works on Systematic Theology.
V. 4. An application of the general principle just stated. $-\pi \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} v \grave{\eta} \rho$ $\kappa \tau \in \in .$, Every man, whkile praying or prophesying with (the) head covered, disgraces his head. Whether this means his own head, or, as in verse 3 , his spiritual head, i. e. Christ, is not certain. The former, Meyer, Hodge, et al.; the latter, Alf., Kling, and many of the older commentators. Others understand it in a double sense, - his own head, and also Christ as his spiritual head; so Olsh., Stanley, Poor, et al. It is difficult, and certainly not of the highest importance to us, to decide positively which idea was in the mind of the writer. The position and breathing of aùrov are no objection in N. Test. Greek to the meaning his own head in the literal sense. The instruction respecting praying and prophesying must have reference to suitable decorum in public assemblies, and not in private
 zard, i. e. having a covering upon the head. - кataloxv́vet ктé., disgraces or dishonors his head, i. e. according to Grecian ideas and usages. Jewish men prayed with the head covered, and the Romans offered sacrifices with veiled heads ; but Paul, evidently with the social rank and position of the man or the husband in mind, commends the Grecian custom to the
 thus distinguish between these words.
V. 5. The opposite conclusion for women, following logically from verse 3. - $\pi a ̂ \sigma a$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma v \nu \eta े ~ . ~ . ~ a ̉ k a \tau a \lambda u ́ \pi \tau ب ~(a d j ~ o f ~ t w o ~ e n d i n g s) ~ \tau \hat{n}$ $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{n}$, But every woman, zulhile praying or prophesying with the head uncovered. It appears from this that the women took part in social religious gatherings; and this is not condemned. The apparent discrepancy between this passage and ch. 14.34 disappears if we adopt the view of Meyer that those passages refer to the public meetings of the whole church, and not to the smaller gatherings for social religious worship. Adopting this view, it would appear that the same usages substantially prevailed at that time in Corinth as in most of the Protestant churches of this country, and that Paul in no way disapproves of them. What he does disapprove of appears in the words, with her head unncoiered; and the reason for this disapproval appears in the nest clause. Bear in mind
that the word $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon v \in \omega$ in the N . Test. does not denote simply the idea of foretelling future events, but in general the idea of speaking under the
 ( $\xi u \rho \hat{\rho}^{\prime} \omega$ or -pá $\omega$ ), for it is one and the same thing (an emphatic form of expression) as if she were shaven, lit. with the one (fem.) who has been shaven. This would signify in Corinth generally the character of an adulteress or a prostitute. It was of the highest importance to the prosperity of the infant church that all scandal should be avoided. The practice here alluded to, for women to pray or prophesy in the social religious gatherings with the head uncovered, is thought to have arisen after Paul left Corinth, and to have been confined to the married women.

Vv. 6, 7. Confirmation of the thought in verse 5. - $\epsilon \mathrm{l} \gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ ov̉ (note the neg. ov̀ in a condition, Win., § 55. 2, c, p. 477) . . . кєєрárө川 ( $\kappa \epsilon i \rho \omega$, aor. mid. èкєєра́ $\mu \nu \nu$ ), For if a woman is not covered (or veiled), or as mid., For if a woman does not put on a covering, let her have herself shorn also. - $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ aioxpòv $\kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon} .$, , and if it is a disgrace for a woman to be shorn or shaven, let her be covered (or veiled), or as mid. let her put on a covering. A strong appeal is here made to the sense of propriety, founded on the customs of society in Corinth. - ávخे $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ रà $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, For a man indeed ought not to have his head veiled, or ought not to cover his head. - tikढेv . . . imápx $\omega \nu$ (particip. causal), since he is the likeness and glory of God. Paul founds this statement no doubt on Gen. I. 27, in the words of the LXX.:
 we find the same word єiк $\omega \nu$, єiкóva, and the thought, likeness or image of God. This naturally suggests the additional word $\delta o ́ \xi a$, glory. Man is the glory of God, i. e. " the reflex of the divine glory," Stanley. "Thou hast crowned him with glory and honor," סógn кai $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha{ }^{2} \omega \omega \sigma \alpha$
 glory of (the) man; or the woife is (the) glory of (the) husband. The gen. here as in the last clause, gen. of source ; Win., § 30.1, glory reflected from or proceeding from.
 $\xi \xi \begin{gathered}\xi \\ \text { abspós, For (the) man is not of (the) woman (lit. out from); but (the) }\end{gathered}$ zoman of (the) man (lit. out from). Cf. Gen. 2. 23, in the words of the
 called woman, because she was taken out from the man (lit. out from her man, or her husband.) The statement of this verse relates, not to the propagation of the race, but to the original creation. The whole line of argument is one that would address itself particularly to the Jewish
 (the) man created for the woman ( $\delta \iota a \mathfrak{\kappa} \kappa \epsilon$., on account of, for the sake of); or, since also (kal $\gamma$ áp) there was not created a man for the sake of the zio.
 or for the sake of etc. Verse 8 refers to the manner of woman's creation ; verse 9 , to the purpose. Both together confirm the statement of the man's headship (verse 3), and the conclusions drawn from such headship.
V. 1o-12. Sid̀ тov̂ro ктє., On this account (i. e. on account of the facts stated in vv. 8, 9) ought the woman to have (a sign of) authority upon the hecad, i. e. a sign of the man's authority ; namely, a covering. So $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered}$ has been generally understood by the commentators, ancient and modern. - $\delta \grave{\alpha}$ rov̀s à $\gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda$ dous, on account of or because of, the angels. Numerous interpretations have been proposed for this expression. But the simple and ordinary meaning of the words is generally preferred; namely, because of the angels, the heavenly messengers, who though unseen are actually present in the meetings for prayer and prophecy, and who would not approve of any lack of modesty on the part of the women present and participating in the worship. For the idea of angels present in worship,

 Spòs $\kappa \tau$ €., However, neither is (the) woman without (separate from) (the) mann, nor (the) man without (the) woman in the Lord. Or rendering more literally: However, neither is a woman separate from (and thus independent of) a man, nor a man sefarate from (and independent of) a woman in the Lord. Or we may render in still another way: neither is a wife separate from a husband, nor a husbind separate from a ruife in the Lord. This verse is thrown in to prevent any misunderstanding and misapplication of the preceding statements, showing the absolute dependence of each sex on the other. - ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} v} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ кvpị, in the Lord, i. e. in Christ. The principle stated is recognized as fundamental in Christianity. - $\omega \sigma \pi \pi \epsilon \gamma$ $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \mathfrak{\eta}$
 that neither the man nor the woman is independent of the other) just as the woman (zuas) from the man (in the original creation), so the man also (is) through, or by means of, the zoman (in the propagation of the race); and all things (are) from God ("as their source, - thus uniting in one great head both sexes and all creation." Alf.).

Vv. 13-15. An appeal to their own judgment and to the teaching of
 act), Fudge ye in yourselves. Ye need not ask anybody else. Use your own judgment. - $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ тov éeviv $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$, , Is it becoming that a woman pray to God unveiled? $\dot{\alpha}$-kata-kd́入uлtov, adj. of two endings. $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{i}$, not necessary to convey the sense, but expressed for emphasis. Note that an appeal is made to their own sense of propriety. Very different ideas of propriety might prevail elsewhere, and then the rule here given would not be binding. It would scarcely be regarded as important among us. -
 Does not wen nature itself teach yout, that if a man have long hair, it is a dishonor to him? ávýp placed before éáv (prolepsis or anticipation) for emphasis ; $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ correl. w. $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$; ко $\mu \hat{q}$ suggests the idea of long hair, and so кó $\eta$ خ would naturally convey the same idea. There has been much unprofitable discussion on the meaning of фúvis here. The Corinthians would be likely to understand the word in the ordinary sense, that which
 opposed to what is learned by instruction (Thayer). Cf. above, note on
 But if a woman have long hair, to her it is an ornament, a slory, eine Elire (Luther), Zicrde (Meyer). (Note this N. Test. use of $\delta \dot{\delta} \xi \mathrm{g}$. ) - ört ท่ кóp $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. . av̉rn̂, becouse the long hair has been given as (lit. instead of) a covering to her. In all this, the sense of propriety, which has grown up with the surrounding circumstances, is appealed to.
 disposed to discuss the subject further. For a similar use of $\delta$ oкє $\hat{\imath}$, cf. Phil. 3. 4. May $\tau$ is refer to female as well as male members of the church ? - ทi $\mu \in \mathrm{is} \kappa \tau \varepsilon \in$., we (i.e. the apostle and those associated with him) have not such a custom. Such as what? The custom referred to above ? or the custom of entering into continued controversy? Expositors have been about equally divided on this point. The former seems to me more natural and logical in the connection. So Theod., Erasm., Grot., Beng., Olsh., Ewald, Neand., Hofm., Alf., Hodge, Poor, et al. In favor of the other view, however, the list is equally extended. Fortunately, no very important doctrinal point is involved in the decision. oúSè $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., nor have the churches of God; a noteworthy appeal to the usage of the churches. - Verse 16 is separated by Tisch. both from what precedes and from what follows. W-H make it the concluding sentence of the preceding paragraph. So Alf., R. V., et al. The punctuation of Tisch. gives the sentence more independence and emphasis. With both, however, it is indicated to the eye that the discussion of the foregoing topic is concluded.
 ing you this charge, I do not praise you, that you come together not for that which is better, but for that which is worse. If we adopt the less probable, reading $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ oùk $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$, the sense is not materially changed. I understand $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ as pointing to what follows (a frequent N. T. use) ; $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ as continuative, introducing another but kindred topic, - one of the irregularities in the Corinthian church ; ö ot may be viewed as declarative, introducing the explanation of $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o$ or as causal after $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \bar{\omega}$. Such, as it seems to me, is the preferable interpretation of this sentence. So Chrys., Theophyl., Erasm., Grot., Bengel, Rueck., Ewald, IIofm.

Lachmann, Poor, et al. On the other hand, many of the best and most critical expositors think roûro refers to what precedes, and that verse 17 "forms a transition to what is yet to be said." (Alf.).
 together in the chuerch (or in an assembly), I hear that divisions exist among you, and in part I believe it (place confidence in the report). The
 ch. 12. verse I. Thus the apostle classes together two leading irregularities, - the misuse of the Lord's Supper and the abuse of spiritual gifts. - Yáp introduces a confirmation of the preceding statement. - $\sigma X^{i-}$ $\sigma \mu a \tau a$, a strong term, fr. $\sigma \chi i \zeta^{i} \omega$, to split, to cleave, to rend. Thus in Matt. 9. 16, Mark 2. 21, a rent in a garment. - $\mu$ épos $\tau \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \cup \in \omega, I$ partly etc. It is suggested that there is delicacy in this expression, the apostle not being willing to believe the worst. - $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma}$ à $\kappa \tau \bar{\epsilon}$., for there must be dissensions also among you, in order that those who are approved may become manifest among you (the divine purpose in all this). - кai aipérets, dissensions also, i. e. dissensions as well as other irregularities. aipé $\sigma \in \iota s$ has been viewed by some as a stronger term than $\sigma \chi i \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$, but I should think the reverse. Perhaps it may be best rendered factions (R. V.); but this word is the common rendering in the R. V. of $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$, and I think the best rendering. The word heresies, derived from aipś $\sigma \in t s$, expresses an idea belonging properly to a later period in the history of the Christian church. Dissensions (Thayer, Lex.) seems to me the best rendering. The character of these dissensions is made plain in what follows.
 to the same place; oủv, resumptive, introducing the description of the dis-
 фaүєiv ( 'e $\sigma \theta$ i' $\omega$ ), it is not possible to eat a supper of the Lord, or the Lord's
 classic Greek, must have been familiar to the Corinthians, though rare in N. Test. It occurs in Heb. 9. 5. The rendering, this is not to eat the Lord's supper, conveys, I think, an entirely wrong idea. кupıakдे $\delta \in i \pi m \nu \nu$ only here in N. T.; yet cf. the similar idea in verse 27 and in 10. 21. The meaning of the verse is, It is not possible, owing to the disorder, to partake of a supper of the Lord in a becoming and orderly manner. It is apparent from the following description that the disorder arose from combining their love feasts ( $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi a t$ ) with the observance of the supper.
V. 21. Confirms and explains verse 20. - ёкабтоs үd̀ ктє́., For each one takes beforehand his own supper in the eating or during the feast. Ërcaotos, each one; thus there is no united service, such as properly belongs

$\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon$, takes beforehand, takes before another, and this was one principal element in the disorder. kaí adds an important particular. òs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ . . . òs $\delta$ é one . . . another. Note this use of ös, chiefly in later writers (Th. Lex.) ; $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{a}$ (Att. $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{n}$, Good. p. 118, N. 2; H-A. 412) . . . $\mu \in \Theta \in \in \epsilon \iota$, one is hungry, has not sufficient food to satisfy his appetite, another is drinken, goes to a shameful excess. How little of Christian unity in all this! What a picture for a Christian church the entire passage presents!
V. 22. The apostle expresses his painful disapproval in the form of
 gested by $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho:($ all this disorder surprises and pains me), For you are not destitute of houses for eating and drinking, are jou? In this form, a negative answer, implied in $\mu \dot{\eta}$, is suggested in English. oủк $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \nu$, not to
 you despise the church of God, and put to shame those who are destitute? As I am aware that you do possess houses for eating and drinking, am I to infer that you are guilty of the twofold offence of despising the church of God and putting those who are poor and destitute to shame? Your present conduct really implies all this. Note $\mu \dot{\eta}$, st. oùk w. the
 can I) say to you? Note the force of elँ $\pi \omega$, aor. subjunc. - Ėrauvé $\sigma \omega$. . . '̇mauvê. Shall I procced to praise you? In this I do not praise (yout). All this is very plain language and was very much needed; yet what he had said in verse 2 should not be forgotten.
V. 23. From the above described abuses of the Supper, the apostle is led to relate what he had learned of its institution and design, - a very important passage for the instruction of the Christian church, not then only, but in all ages. - 'Ey⿳亠 $\gamma$ à̀ $\pi a \rho \in ́ \lambda a \beta o v ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ k v p i o v, ~ F o r ~(~ \gamma a ́ p, ~$ explanatory) I received from the Lord. The question has been much discussed whether Paul received what follows directly from the Lord, as he did the leading doctrines of the gospel (cf. Gal. I. 12), or indirectly by tradition; and the meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma}$, as distinguished from $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}$, has been dwelt upon. But the distinction between these two prepositions in N. T. Greek must not be urged too positively. Besides, in the same clause we have $\pi a \rho-\epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu$, and the emphatic word $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ is significant. It is not improbable, therefore, that the apostle here states something which he had re-
 and this is the opinion of some of the best expositors. - ô каi тарє́8wка $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} v$, öть $\kappa \tau \in$. that which I delivered also to your, that the Lord Fesus in the mi.hlt in wohich he was betrayed took a loaf of bread. kal тapédewa úpiv, deliacred also to your, as well as received from him. ört, declarative, the fict that. $\hat{\eta}$, sc. $\epsilon \nu$, expressed before $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \cup \kappa \tau i$. Note $\pi \alpha \rho \in \delta i \delta \in \tau o$ (Att. -ото), imperf. The betrayal was something impending over him, and

Judas was already taking the preliminary steps with this end in view. ápros may be used in the plur., lorves of bread (cf. Matt. 14. 17, 19; Mark 6. 41) ; and hence we may render áprov here a loaf of bread, or as generic, bread.
 thanks he brake it, and said. Luke also says ev่रapıovígas. From this word, the name euchurist. Matt. and Mark say eìno $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma a s$, hazing blessed; but, in speaking of the cup, they say $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi a p / \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$. The two words are closely akin in meaning : év̉oy'́ $\omega$, to praise, celebrate with praises; єù $\chi$ apıбтє́ $\omega$, to give thanks, Vulg. benedico, w. the acc. to bless, invoke blessings uporn. Єॄє $\kappa \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$, he brake it. The loaves were made in the form of an oblong or round cake, as thick as one's thumb, and as large as a plate or platter; hence they were not cut, but broken (Th. Lex.). - тov̂ró $\mu$ ou
 the omission of $\kappa \lambda \omega \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, not found in any critical edition. Luke alone adds $\delta i \delta \delta \dot{\mu} \in \nu o \nu$, given, being given. Whether the loaf now broken was handed to them in fragments, to each a single piece, or was passed around on something like a plate, is not stated ; but the latter is regarded as much more probable. That they would view this loaf now broken as anything else than a symbol, seems hardly possible. How they must have been impressed by this symbol and the one following, the cup! Do we, in the celebration of the Supper, bring home vividly to our own minds the true significance of these symbols? toû̃o, this, calls attention to the broken loaf which he still held in his hand. The position of $\mu 0 \hat{v}$, gen. w. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$, is unusual, but $\mu 0 \hat{v}$ (enclit.) is not to be regarded as emphat. Note the $\tau \dot{\delta}$ after $\sigma \bar{\omega} \mu \alpha$, making the $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ distinct and definite. - тоvิто moteite (pres. imperat.), This do ye, continue to do, be in the habit of doing. They evidently understood these words as a command to make the celebration of the Supper a sacrament to be ever afterwards observed by the Christian church. - $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ '́ $\mu \eta \eta_{\nu}$ ává $\mu \nu \eta \sigma เ v$. Here we have presented the first design of the ordinance. eis denotes the end in view ; $\epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ has here, as often, the force of the pers. pron. in the gen.; $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \omega v$, the act of calling to mind. The clause may be rendered, to call me to mind (vividly and affectionately). Cf. Th. Lex. àvá$\mu \nu \eta \sigma$ s. Vulg. in meam commemorationem; in w. acc., not in w. the abl. The usual English rendering, in remembrance of me, is very inadequate.
 the same manner (i.e. in the same manner as he took the bread with the giving of thanks, and offered it to them, so he took) the cup also, after partaking of the Supper. Note that we have $\dot{\omega} \sigma a v i \tau \omega s$, not $\delta \mu o i \omega s$, in like manner. May we not distinguish between the two words? Note also
 aïuart, saying, this cup is the new covenant in my blood (" ratified by the
shedding of, and therefore standing in, as its conditioning element." Alf.). The new covenant, in distinction from the old covenant, -the Abrahamic, or the Mosaic, under which the Jewish nation had lived. Note here $\dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$, the usual title in Greek of the New Testament.

 tense implying continued or repeated action), as offen as ye drink it. We find in Matt. the words $\pi i \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \xi$ aù $\quad$ ô $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, do ye all drink of it; in
 by Luke and Paul. On the use of $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$ here, see Th. Lex. $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha \dot{\nu}$, II.
V. 26. óvákıs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \epsilon$., For as often as ye cat this bread and drink the cut, ye procluim the death of the Lord until he shall have come. Note here in the last clause the $3^{d}$ pers., showing that these are not the words of Jesus, spoken to his disciples, but those of Paul, addressed to the Corinthian church, and giving a most important reason for the observance of the Supper ; a second point. Cf. note on cis . . a $\dot{\alpha} \dot{a} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \omega \nu$, verse 24 . Paul and Luke do not add the words of our Lord in Matt. and Mark, And I say to yon, I shall not drink henceforth of this fruit of the vine until that duy whon I drink it new with you in my Futher's kingdom. This constitutes properly a third point in connection with the study of the Supper, - the fact that it typifies the marriage Supper of the Lamb.

Note in this verse the words, and drink the cup. The withholding of the cup from the laity is plainly in opposition to the teaching here.
V. 27. $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, Wherefore, So that, - the conclusion from what is said above, showing why the apostle describes so fully the institution of the Supper. - ठ̀s $\alpha \mathrm{\nu}$. . . advakics, whocver shall eat (may eat) the bread or drink the cup of the Lord in an untuorthy (disorderly) manner. toû кuplov in the same sense as кирıaк'ı, verse 20 . Note carefully the meaning of àvakics, in an unworthy manner, such as is described in verse 21 . The question which many persons have put to themselves in coming to the Lord's Supper - Am I worthy ? - is totally irrelevant, and in no way suggested by this word when rightly understood. - Eैvoxos érial ктє., shall be guilty of (" guilty of a crime committed against." Th. Lex.) the body and the blood of the Lord. As this solemn and holy ordinance is intended to bring these vividly to mind, and proclaim them to the world, and to typify the marriage Supper of the Lamb in Heaven, so the perversion and desecration of the ordinance is a crime committed against the body and the blood of the Lord. Surely this thought must have affected most deeply the minds of all reflecting persons in the Corinthian church.
 tive) let a man (ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi s$, comm. gend.) prove (test, examine, O.V.) himself, and so (in this spirit of self-examination) let him eat of the bread ana
drink of the cup. Note that the imperatives are all pres. tense, clenoting
 tion to self-examination) he who eats (the bread) and drinks (the cup), eats and drinks judgment (condemnation, B. U. ; dumnation, O. V.) to himself, if he fuil to discern the body; i. e. if he fail to reflect upon and perceive the solemn meaning of this symbol. The particip. in the three principal uses is logical here ; while he fuils, because he fails, if he fail, to discern the body. Note here and often in N. T. крíн " in a forensic sense, the sentence of a judge." Here, "the judgment or punishment of God." Lex. Th.

Vv. 30, 3I. Sıa' тоиิто, On this account, because of the desecration of the Supper, the failure to interpret the symbol aright and thus to bring
 there are annong you many sickly and infirm persons, and not a few are fulling asleep. No marked distinction exists betw. $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \in \nu \in i s$ and $\mathfrak{a} \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau o \iota$. I think the former is better rendered by the Eng. word sick, (cf. Th. Lex. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$ 's and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon \in \omega)$; the latter by the word infirm, or feeble. Nute коь$\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$, pres. tense, not perf. In N. T. iка⿱oí nearly synonymous w. $\pi о \lambda-$ $\lambda o t$, yet somewhat less emphat. Expositors are not fully agreed as to the meaning of this verse, whether it denotes that which is physical, some unusual sickness and mortality in Corinth, or simply that which is moral, a want in general of religious life, or both together. The first is by far
 Note that one verb is fr. $\delta \iota a-\kappa \rho i \nu \omega$, the other fr. $\kappa \rho i \nu \omega$. But if vee discemed (discriminated) ourselves (testing our frame of mind and determining whether we saw in the elements before us the symbolism, the real
 should not be judged (condemned of God. Cf. крíua, verse 29, note).
 our conduct being condemned) by the Lord, we are chastened (by Him), that we may not be condemned (ultimately and wholly) together with the world. The meaning of this verse appears plain when we distinguish between крiv $\omega$, to judge, - often denoting an unfavorable judgment for some offence which receives punishment (it may be of the nature of chastisement or discipline for the sake of reformation, as here), - and $\kappa a \tau \alpha \kappa \rho i \nu \omega$, to condemn (fully and ultimately) ; often spoken "of God condemning one to eternal misery." Th. Lex. Note that the ist pers. is here used, making the statement one of general application and not confined to the Corinthian church alone. In connection with the important thought in verse 32 , cf. I Cor. 5. 5; I Tim. 1. 20; 2 Tim. 2. 25; Tit. 2. 12; Heb. 12.6. - Ш̈бтє, ктє., Wherefore, my brethren, when you come together to eat, wait for one another. A concluding exhortation. Note the kindly address, à $\delta \in \lambda \phi 0!~ \mu o v$ - tis rò $\phi a \gamma \epsilon i v$, to eat, i. e. to partake of
 sition to the custom mentioned in verse 21) till each has received his portion. For the uses of $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi о \mu a \iota$, the meaning of which has been questioned here, see Th. Lex.
 gry, let him eat at home, that you may not come together for judgment (condemnation, B. U., O. V.). Note here ėv oťw, at home ; Att. oľкol, not in N. T. - тá $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ doumá, And the rest, the things which are left, not men-
 when I shall have come (indicating uncertainty on this point), I will set in order (points relating rather to external order, than to doctrine). Cf. 7. 17; 9. 14; 16. 1; Gal. 3. 19; Tit. 1. 5.

Chapters XII., XIII., XIV. Another irregularity in their assemblies requiring correction. See ch. II. i8, note on $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu$. Chrys. remarks on this whole passage: toîto ämav tò $\chi$ шрiov

 This entire passage is exceedingly obscure; but our ignorance of the facts, and lack of the things then happening but now not taking place, occasions the obscurity.

Chap. XII. Variety and unity of spiritual gifts (vv. 1-1i). This variety and unity illustrated by the many members in one body (vv. 12-3I).
 of $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ in II. IS) concerning spiritual gifts, brethren, I am not willing that you continue ignorant. Some regard $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a \tau t \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ as masc., persons filled with the Spirit; but it seems much more logical as neut., and synonymous with $\chi$ api $\sigma \mu a \tau a$. - ov̉ $\theta$ én $\omega$ í îâs ả $\gamma v o$ oiv. Cf. 10. 1. -
 led away to the dumb idols, as ye might be led (without any intelligence or volition on your own part, by hap-hazard). öt , declarative before the
 after $\delta$ öt , the indic.; but a similar change to the particip. const. is found also in classic Greek (anacoluthon). Note $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ă ă $\phi \nu \alpha$, emphat. posit.
V. 3. Sıò $\gamma v \omega \rho i \zeta \zeta \omega \kappa \tau \epsilon$., Wherefore (because in your former state as Heathen ye knew nothing of the operations and gifts of the Spirit, for
 stenking in the Spirit of God, says etc. '̇v $\pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, the element in which the action takes place. $\lambda \alpha \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu$ directs attention more to the mere
utterance ; $\lambda \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{t}$, to the thought. - àvá $\theta \epsilon \mu \mathrm{a}$ 'I $\eta$ бoovs, Yesus is anathema (it curse; fur the singular transition from the primitive meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a} \theta \epsilon \mu \alpha$ see Th. Lex.). - kal oúdeis סúvatal єimeiv• $\kappa \tau \in \dot{\epsilon}$., and no one is able to say, Fesus is Lord, except in the Holy Spirit. The former expression would be used by the Heathen and many of the Jews ; the latter, by Christians only. 'Inoous, the personal, individual name is here used instead of X $\operatorname{lo\sigma \tau ós,}$, the historical and official name. $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau \iota \quad \theta \in o \hat{\nu}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \nu . \dot{\alpha} \gamma i(\varphi$, synonymous. It may be that the Corinthians had supposed the influences of the Spirit to be limited to the supernatural gifts, and that they needed instruction on this important and elementary point of Christian doctrine. Not only the declaration in this verse, but especially the more specific statements which follow, would lead them to correct views on this subject.
 but the same Spirit. $\delta$ oanté $\sigma$ es, distinctions, differences, distinctions arising from a different distribution to different persons. Th. Lex. Cf. aipé $\sigma \in$ ts,
 strations (services, cf. סıáкovos), and the same Lord (кúpos, lord, master;
 there are diversities of workings (operations, O. V., B. U., Worchyngis, Wiclif; as distinguished from èv'́p $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon a$, it means strictly things zurought, effects), but (it is) the same God who zoorks all things ('̇veprnjuaca) in all (who are thus influenced by the Spirit). The one striking thought here presented, of unity in diversity, would be readily understood. Cf. Heb. 2. 4. The purpose of these various gracious gifts ( $\chi$ api $\sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ ) is pre-
 the manifestation of the Spirit (the showing forth, the making plain, the zoork and energy of the Spirit). - $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau \mathbf{o}$ oupф'pov, for that zuhich is profitable (not to create disorder in the assemblies, not for vain show). Cf. 14. 12.

Vv. 8-ir. The distribution of these various $\chi^{\alpha} \rho \cdot \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Meyer presents the following analysis:-
I. Gracious gifts ( $\chi a p i \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ ) which relate to the intellectual power. Ist, 入óyos $\sigma o \phi i \alpha s ; ~ 2 d, ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s . ~$
II. Gracious gifts depending on the power of faith (Glaubensenergie). Ist, The $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ itself. 2 d , The actual workings of the same: (a) iá $\mu a \tau a$; (b) $\delta v \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon!s .3 \mathrm{~d}$, The oral working of the same, viz. $\pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i \alpha$. $4^{\text {th }}$, The critical working of the same, the $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} k \rho เ \sigma \iota s ~ \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
III. Gracious gifts relating to tongues ( $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ). Ist, The speaking with tongues. 2 d , The interpretation of tongues.

Vv. 8, 9. $\widehat{\Psi} \mu \grave{v} v \ldots .$. is given through the Spirit the woord of wisdom ; and to another the word

loose const., as in verse $2 S$," Alf. It is difficult to express the full and exact meaning of $\lambda$ óros here and in many passages. It includes the ideas of speaking and reasoning, oratio and ratio; with oupias and $\gamma \nu \omega$ 油 ${ }^{\prime}$, "the art of speaking to the purpose about things pertaining to wisdom or knowledge," Th. Lex. Expositors are far from any agreement respecting the meaning of the two words $\sigma o \phi i ́ a$ and $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$. Perhaps $\sigma o \phi i a$, in the light of ch. 2. 6 ff ., may mean the higher and the genuine wisdom relating to Christ and his work on earth ; $\gamma \nu \bar{\omega} \sigma t s$, a deeper and more penetrating knowledge of all questions relating to the Christian system as a whole. Cf. Eph. r. 17; cf. notes of Alf. and of Meyer
 Spirit; and to another gifts of hicalings, in the one Spirit. Note the emphatic repetition of the word Spirit, and of the thought, the oneness of the Spirit. Note also $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho \varphi$, to another of a different class. The word $\pi \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \tau \iota s$ here in a special sense. "A higher measure of the ordinary grace of faith, - such a faith as enabled men to become confessors and martyrs, and which is so fully illustrated in Heb. II. 33-40. This is something as truly wonderful as the gift of miracles." Hodge.

Vv. Io, II. The diversity of gifts strikingly presented in verse 10 ;
 zuorkings (things accomplished, the facta) of miracles (see Th. Lex. Síva$\mu \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{b})$; and to another frophecy; and to another discerning of spivits; to another (different) linds of tongues; and to another the intertretation of tongues. With סıákpıəıs here cf. Rom. I4. I. $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, of spirits, whether the Holy Spirit, or the mere human spirit, or that of demons (I Tim. 4. I, I John 4. 1). The meaning of $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega \hat{\nu}$ has been much discussed ; and on scarcely any other point in the N. Test. are expositors more at variance. Does it mean different kinds of languages actually spoken? This is the view of the older expositors generally, and is in keeping with the narrative in Acts 2.4. In the great commercial city of Corinth it is probable that many different languages may have been spoken, as in Jerusalem on the day of Pentecost. But the question has been raised, May not the reference be to ecstatic utterances, distinct from any known language? or again, may it not include both, - foreign languages and ecstatic utterances? One of these last views is more generally taken by modern scholars. - $\pi$ ávia $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \tau a v ̂ \tau a$ évepyei $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, but all these things the one and the same Stirit woorks, dividing (ôaupoûv, fr. סıaı $\xi^{\prime} \omega$; cf. $\delta$ oaipeots), distributing (Th. Lex.), severally (or sèfarately') to cach one as He zuill (according to His own will). Note here how distinctly the personality of the Holy Spirit is set forth.

Vv. 12, 13 . Käátєp $\gamma$ àp тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., For ( $\gamma$ áp, confirmatory) just as (- $\pi \in \rho$, intensive) the (humant) body is one, and has many members, and all the members of the bedy, bieng many (or though they are many), are one
body' (note the emphatic repetition of $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ), so also is Christ (the head of the church; cf. 6. 15; Eph. 4. 15, 16; 5. 29, 30; Rom. 12.4, 5). - кal (introducing an additional and important thought) $\gamma$ àp (confirmatory) $\dot{\epsilon} v$ évì $\pi v \in \dot{u} \mu a \tau \iota ~ \kappa \tau \in ̇ .$, For in one Spirit we all were baptized into one body,
 pass. w. acc.). Some have found here a reference to the cup of the Supper; but this seems to most expositors irrelevant. How strongly the organic unity of all believers in Christ, of whatever nation or condition in life, is here affirmed! Note how emphatic the idea one Spirit is made in this verse.
V. 14, 15. кai үùp тò $\sigma$ ज̂भa ктє́. (кaí adding an important idea expressed above and here repeated), For the body' is not (note the emphatic
 shall say" ( $\epsilon$ l/ $\eta$, aor. subjunc., " a supposed future case stated distinctly and vividly," Good.), Because I am not a hand, I am not of the body. -
 "is it therefore not of the body ?" suggests, by the neg. ov, the affirmative answer, Yes! it is not of the body. This, of course, is illogical; hence a period after $\sigma$ 山́matos is the proper punctuation (so Tisch., W-H., Meyer, Stanley. Lach., Kling, et al.), and the sentence may be rendered, it is not tharefore not of the body'; or it does not therefore fuil to be of the body. oùk $\epsilon \sigma \tau \omega v$, it is not, it fuils to be. The first neg. (où) qualifies the entire statement; the second (oùk) qualifies é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ alone. - $\pi a \rho \alpha$ qov̂ro, placed by the side of this, on this account, therefore. Only here in N. Test.; occurs in classic Greek.
 cause I am not an eye, I am not of the body, it does not therefore fail to be of the body. The same const. as in verse 15; a similar illustration to empha-
 axon' ; (sc. ग̄v ă $\nu$ ), If the whole body' (the body entire, ö $\lambda o \nu$ ) were an eye, where would be the hearing? If the whole were hearing, where would be the smelling? All this shows most strikingly the absurdity of saying, because I haven't this or that gift which some other member of the church possesses, therefore I have nothing to do, no part to take in promoting the interests of the church.

 in the body just as he zuished (or willed). It may be interesting in this connection to compare what Socrates said of the wisdom and benevolence of the Creator, as seen and proved in the organism of the human body, in the adaptation of all parts of the body to all the other parts. Mem. of


But if they all were（actually）one member，where were the body？or where would be the boity？A startling thought！－vv̂v（above vuvi＇，w．demonst．
 are many members，but there is one body．The application of all this to Christ＇s spiritual body－the Church－is very apparent．

Vv．21－24．Up to this point the less gifted and less prominent mem－ bers are shown to be indispensable；but now the more gifted and promi－ nent are brought into view and taught a lesson．－oủ סúvatat ©è ó ó ôa入－ $\mu \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s} \pi \tau$ €．，And the eye cannot say to the hand，I have no need of thee（lit． need of thee I have not），or asain the hewd to the feet，I huze no need of yous．
 members of the boily seening to be weaker are necessury（àvaykaia，indis－ pensably necessary）．This sets forth the positive side，in contrast with the
 body．withich we think（which seem to us）to be less honorable．tồ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \pi o s$ limits the anteced．of ä，$\tau \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ understood．－Tov́rors（points to the anteced．of ä）．．．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau i \theta \xi \mu \in \nu$ ，on these（the less honorable parts of the body）we bestow more abundant honor；lit．we flace around these etc． Note that $\tau \iota \mu$ 白 signifies primarily Tolue，price，and hence，a token that a thing is prized，zencortion，honor．Here the primary meaning of $\tau \iota \mu \eta_{\nu}$ seems prominent，－we place around these more costly articles，those of more zuluc．The remainder of the sentence makes the statement plainer．－

 while our comely mombers hazee no need（no lack，no deffiency）．－ád入à
 united（fitterd，adjusted，lit．mixied）the body togethor；to the fart lacking siving more abundant honor．

Vv．25，26．§va $\mu \eta$ ท̂ $\sigma x i \sigma \mu a \tau a \mathrm{kte}$ ．，in order that there may not be
 that the members may hate the same care for one another．To ajut，obj．of $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．Note here the plur．verb w．neut．plur．subj．，the members （each and all）have the same care．In verse 26，$\sigma v \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota$ ，sing．verb w． neut．plur．subj．viewed collectively．－каl є̈̈тє $\pi$ á $\sigma \in \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \in .$, And whether one member suffers，all the members suffer together（or suffer with it）．－
 made glorious（adorned with lustre），all the members rejoice together（or rejoice with $i t$ ）．

Vv．27，28．Application of what has just been said（vv．14－26）re－ specting the human body to the first readers of the epistle，－the church
 ye（emphat．）are Christ＇s body and members severally（or individually）．

In every Christian church the conception of the ideal body of Christ is presented to the mind; as also that of the ideal temple of God. Cfo ch. 3. 16. Each figure is striking and beautiful. It is not necessary or proper to press the illustration in either case so far as to say that each individual church is a separate and distinct body of Christ or a separate and distinct temple of God. This thought evidently was not in the
 We should expect in a following clause oûs $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, but the const. is changed (anacoluthon, Winer, $\S 63$; Butt., § 155,11 ), and the sentence rendered literally into English is obscure. Luther, Martin, De Saci, clo not translate oûs $\mu$ év. We may perhaps render the sentence, And various officers as well as gifts God has placed, or appointed, in the churrch, first apostles, secondly prophets, thirdly teachiers, etc. '̇v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ėкк $\lambda \eta \sigma \dot{i} a$ here in the general or collective sense; not simply the church in Corinth. $\dot{\pi} \pi o \sigma \tau \delta \dot{d} o u s$, not limited to those first appointed, but in a more general sense, including Paul himself, and Barnabas, and James the Lord's brother. Cf. Acts 14. 4 and 14; I Thess. 2. 6; 2 Cor. 8. 23. On the full meaning of
 (miraculous pozuers), then gracious gifts of healings, helps (is thought to apply particularly to those who visited and aided the sick and the poor), govervments (or pilotings) spoken of those who have the general direction of church affairs, (different) kinds of tongrues. Cf. verse 10.
 the thought, the diversity of gifts), Are all apostles? The neg. $\mu$ n anticipates a neg. answer. We may render such a sentence into Eng. by omitting the neg. as above, or by a direct statement and a question ; thus, Not all are apostles, are they? So with the following. The first form is the usual one in translating into Eng.: are all prophets? are all teachers? -
 nom. or acc. obj. of ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi$ Хovaıv. The former const. is usually preferred; the latter seems to me more correct. Cf. סuvá $\mu \in \iota$ is in verse 28. Do all possess miraculous powers? Do all possess gracious gifts of healings? etc. Note the asyndeton, making each question distinct and emphatic. Bear in mind also the force of the neg. $\mu \dot{\eta}$. The ready answer to all these questions is, - No, not all possess all these gifts, or fill all these offices.- ${ }_{\eta} \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \in \in$., But desire earnestly, have a zeal for, the gifts which are greater, or better, those which are of more value in the church. With $\mu$ ei'Sova here, cf. $\mu$ eí $\xi^{\prime} \nu$, ch. 14. 5. With the thought here, cf. verse II. The Spirit distributes to each one as he will, but we may desire earnestly and pray for the greater gifts. — kal (introducing an additional and important statement) Ért... סєiкvyul, And further (und iuberdiess, Meyer) I point out to yout, I procecd to show you (in ch. I3) pre-eminently a way (i. e. a way to attain what
you desire); the way of Christian love, without which all the most shining gifts are valueless.

Chap. XIII. On Christian Love. The want of Christian love makes all other gifts worthless (vv. I-3) ; the characteristics of Christian love (vv. 4-7) ; the eternity of Christian love, in contrast with other gracious gifts; those mentioned in ch. XII (vv. S-I2); it is superior to the other great Christian graces (verse I3).

This chapter has with propriety been called "a Psalm of Love."
V. r. 'Eàv . . . $\lambda a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \kappa \tau \epsilon$., If I spark with the tongues of men and of angels, but have not Christian love, I am become sounding ( $\eta \chi \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$, fr. $\bar{\eta} \chi^{\prime} \omega \omega$ ) brass, or a clanging cymblal. A reference to the $\gamma^{\xi} \nu \eta \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, ch. 12. 10, $2 S$, and to be understood, I think, of actual languages, together with a reference to the manner in which they are uttered: if I speak with the tongues of men and the rapturous sounds of angelic voices.- aján $\eta \nu$, rendered in the O. V. charity; but this word now conveys a very different idea; rendered in the R. V. low, a much more accurate rendering than charity, and yet too general in meaning. The definition brotherly lowe is still nearer the idea, but is too limited. Does not àánt denote love such as may be predicated of God and of Christ and of all believers in Christ ? Is it not properly called Christion love? This seems to me generally the most exact rendering. For the distinction betw. dyamáw and $\phi t \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$, see Th. Lex. $\phi i \lambda \epsilon \in \omega, 3$. The classical student will observe how much oftener $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega$ occurs in the N. T. than in any other Greek which he has read; while $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{a} \pi \eta$ is to him an entirely new word. - $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ is comm. rendered and here ; the adversative but seems preferable. So R. V.- xàkós. The researches of Schliemann have determined that this word usually, if not always, means bronze (a compound of copper and tin) rather than brass (a compound of copper and zinc). - кúцßa入ov, a cymbal, in the form of two half globes of bronze, making a loud sound when struck together. Referred to in the O. T. (in the LXX.), 2 Sam. 6. 5 ; 1 Chron. 13.8 ; Ps. 150.5. Used in the worship of Cybele, Bacchus, Juno, and other deities Grecian and Roman. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda a \lambda \alpha j_{0} o v$, ringings, clanging. Cf. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, a battle cry; à $\lambda a \lambda a \gamma \mu o ́ s, ~ \dot{\text { Ps. }}$ I 50.5 .
 derstand all mysteries (those truths which would be unknown to man without a divine revelation) and all knowiedge (cf. 12. S, note). "The innowledge of these mysteries would be the perfection of the gift of
 as to remove mountains, but haze not Christian love, I ame nothing (am


ably a proverbial expression, denoting that which was impossible for mere human power. Cf. Matt. 17. 20; 21. 21. - ov̇日'́v, Att. oủdév. As $\delta$ was probably pronounced like $t h$ in this (as in modern Greek), and $\theta$ like $t / \mathrm{in}$ thin, the change in sound was very slight. - кal दà ${ }^{2} \psi \omega \mu \dot{i} \sigma \omega$ ( $\psi \omega \mu i(\hat{\prime} \omega) \kappa \tau \in$, , And if I distribute (give away in fragments) all my possessions, and if I deliver up my body that I may be burned (кavөضَбoual, fut.
 $\chi$ do oual, that I may glory), but have not Christian love, I am profited in nothing (in no respect). The possession of knowledge and power quite miraculous, and the practice of charity and self-sacrifice never surpassed, all count for nothing without Christian love.

Vv. 4-\%. $\dot{\eta}$ á $\gamma \dot{\pi} \pi \eta$ is here personified. The apostle gives first the positive side, then the negative, then again the positive. $\dot{\eta}$ á $\gamma a ́ \pi \eta \mu \alpha{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} 0 \theta v \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, Love (the Christian Love of which he is speaking) bears patiently (is lon.s.
 $\pi \eta$, love is not jealous. (Note the reading and punctuation of Tisch. If we render $\langle\hat{\eta} \lambda o s$ jealousy and $\langle\eta$ đów to be jealous, when used in a bad sense, we may thus distinguish them from $\phi \theta$ boos and $\phi \theta o \nu \epsilon \epsilon$, always rendered in the
 boastful or vainglorious the probable meaning of $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau a t$, used only here in N. T., and rarely in classic Greek) ; ov фvowoûral, is not inflated (fuffed $u p$, conceited, proud); oủk áaxๆuoveî, does not behave itself unseemly (is not $\grave{\alpha} \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$, deformed, indecent, uncomely. Cf. ch. 12. 23;7.36); ov̉ そ̆ $\tau \in \hat{\imath}$ тù є́avtท̂s, does not seek its own interests (cf. ch. 10. 33) ; ov̉ mapoǧvvetau, is not provoked (is not irritable. An allusion, perhaps, to the dissensions,

 does not rejoice in unrighteousness (in any unrighteous act); ovvxaipet $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{n} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \in i a$, but rejoices with the truth ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta$ eia personified as $\dot{\eta}$
 language readily understood); đávia $\pi$ to $\tau \in \dot{\text { úct, }}$, believes all thingss (is not
 $\mu \in \operatorname{vec}$, endures all thingss (bears up under all trials), - nearly the same idea as $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a \sigma \tau \in ́ \gamma \epsilon L$. Yet many understand $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \mathcal{\prime} \in t$ in the sense covers, a frequent classical meaning. Cf. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \eta$, roof. May not both ideas (to cover, to endure) be expressed at once, in one word? Is it not true of genuine love that it seeks to cover, and patiently bears, the faults of loved ones? The rendering of Godet is not far from right, clle excuse tout. May we not in verse 7 regard $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ as acc. of specification ? in all things is forbearing, in all things exercises fuith, in all things has hope, in all things is steadficst. Can all this be said of any other love than that of the Christian, the love which God has implanted in the heart? Illus-
trations of all this are not wanting.

Vv. 8-10. Up to this point we find Christian love characterized; but now, up to verse $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, in contrast with other gracious gifts, we view its per-
 falls, i. e. never perishes, never comes to an end) ; but if there are prophecies, they will be done away (will be rendered àprós, idle, unemployed. For the gift of prophecy there will be no further use) ; and if there are tongues, they will cease (the gift of speaking in foreign tongues, i.e. the miraculous gift exhibited on the day of Pentecost will cease) ; and if there is knowuledge, it will be done away. (The profoundest acquisitions of knowledge in this life will be laid aside as quite useless, àpoós, in the far more complete and full knowledge of the future life.) Note in this sentence $\epsilon i$ ìt . . . єั̈тє . . . єॉ̈тє, if there are, if there is; not éá $\tau \epsilon$, if there be. The force of $\epsilon i w$. the indic. expressed or understood, as distinguished from $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{w}$. the subjunc., is important, and should always be observed. - ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa}$
 made) we know in part (very incomplete is our knowledge now, however profound we and others may think it) and we prophesy in fart (thcilweise, Meyer ; fartiellement, Godet) ; but when that which is complete shall have come, that which is in part zuill be done away.
V. if. An illustration of the preceding thought. - ${ }^{\circ} \tau \epsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \mu \eta \nu$ (Att. $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ) $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi$ เos, ктє́., When I zuas an infunt, a little child (Cf. Th. Lex. $\nu \dot{\eta} \pi เ o s)$, I used to speak (to talk) as a little child, I used to think and feel (see Th. Lex. фpové $\omega$ ) as a little chilld (je sentais comme un enfant. Godet), I used to reason as a little child (je raisonnais etc. Godet). Note the force of
 $I$ have done with the things of a little child (they are all done away
 Edwards at the age of one, two, or three years with Jonathan Edwards in his manhood, and we have a faint contrast between our present and our future development.
V. 12. Confirmation and explanation of the preceding verses. $-\beta \lambda \lambda^{-}$ $\pi$ оцєv үàp äpть ктє́, For we see now throush a mirror (according to the ocular illusion by which the object seen in the mirror seems to be behind it. So Meyer, Alf., Kling, Edwards), or by means of a mivror (Godet, Poor). The former was probably the conception of the writer. Bear in mind that their mirrors were metallic and generally very obscure; very different from our mirrors of glass. - $\dot{\epsilon} v$ aivi $\gamma \mu a \pi \mathrm{l}$, in an olscure saying,
 ence is thought to be to the revealed word, which is dark and obscure in comparison with the future clear and perfect knowledge. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, Hodge, Edwards, Delitzsch, et al.; in einem dunkeln Wort, Luther. Others render it adverbially; aiviүuatıкผิs, enismatically, conjecturally, obscurely. So B. U.; obscurément, Godet; darkly, O. V. and
R. V. While the latter idea is correct so far as it goes, yet the idea of the word is probably not to be lost sight of, as in Num. 12. S. - тót $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

 I knowv in part, but then shall I knowe fully (definitely èmt-) even as I was also fullly kinown. Paul here uses the ist pers. sing., individualizing himself and using an expression full of joyful expectation. Meyer, Kling, et al. refer $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ to the time of his conversion, but that limits the idea quite unnecessarily; even as I was also fully known (of God, in all my earthly life). Note the кai, also. I shall then have definite knowledge, even as God also knew me definitely. It is perhaps better to render $\epsilon \pi เ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma o \mu a l$, shall know definitely, rather than, shall know fully; since no finite being can know fully, as God knows. True, this clause is in antithesis to $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \mu^{\prime}$ fous, but definite, distinct knowledge forms a marked contrast to all that is fragmentary and enigmatical, or conjectural.
This passage may perhaps remind the classical scholar of the remarkable description in Plato's Republic, Book VII. But how much loftier and more animating is the conception of Paul than that of Plato!
V. I3. vvì $\delta$ é, But nowv, vvvl in the illative sense. Since the other $\chi$ api $\sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$, mentioned above, cease with this life, Paul emphasizes the fact that the three following are abiding. To take $\nu v \nu i$ in the strictly temporal sense seems illogical. Perhaps the temporal sense does not wholly disappear, yet the illative is far more prominent. So Alf., Meyer, Godet, Kling, et al. - $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{L}$, abides, remains, does not pass azvay. The pres. tense, in its widest, fullest sense, expressing that which is always true without regard to time. - $\pi i \sigma \tau \imath s$, è $\lambda \pi i s$, áyá $\begin{aligned} \eta \\ \eta\end{aligned}$ (note the asyndeton, making each distinct and emphatic), fuith, hope, - both in the fullest N. T. sense; the faith and hope which the Christian alone knows and exercises, - á $\gamma \dot{a} \pi \boldsymbol{\eta}$, love, Christian love; in the same sense as throughout this chap.; and also in the sense found only in the Bible. - тà $\tau p i a \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha$ (note the force of the article), just these three; implying that $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu \in \iota$ can be
 à $\mathrm{y}^{\prime} \pi \eta$ (emphat. posit.), and of these Christian love is greatest, lit. is greater ; von höherem Werthe (als die beiden anderen), of higher worth (than both of the others), Meyer; "because it contains in itself the root of the other two." De Wette. For another instance of this use of the comparat. w. the gen. cf. Matt. 23. II. Do not the churches of the present day, even those which are most evangelical, need more $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{a} \pi \eta$ ? Can genuine Christian faith and hope exist without Christian love?

Chap. XIV. Practical rules for the exercise of the spiritual gifts.

The gift of prophecy superior in value to that of speaking with
tongues (vv. I-25). Directions respecting the use of the spiritual gifts, particularly of those just mentioned (vv. 26-33). Women commanded to keep silence in the churches (vv. 34, 35). Enforcement of the foregoing instructions (vv. 36-38). A summing up of the leading points (vv. 39, 40).
 troduced with more point and force). Follow earnestly after Christian lowe (so as to secure it). $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$, to pursue cagcerly, to press on after, is spoken of one who in a race runs swiftly to reach the goal, or the prize. Cf. Phil. 3. 12, 14. Followu after is far less forcible than $\delta$ เш́кєтє. Pursue after,
 strive aftor spiritual gifts, the sifts of the (Holy) Spirit. Cf. ch. I2. I. Not simply the gift of speaking with tongues, though that would be
 $\kappa \tau \varepsilon$ e., but still more (i. e. more than for the spiritual gifts in general) that ye may frophesy, that ye may in clear and plain language declare divine
 rendered $y c t, \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{V} . ;$ and, B. U. It is logical with either rendering. Continue to press on after (pres. imperat.) Chiristizn love, so as to attain it in full measure, and (while so doing) zaalously strive after spiritual gifts; or we may render, continue to press on after Christiun love, yet (in the meantime) zealously strive after etc.
 tion just given) he who speaks in a tongue sparks not to men, but to God. Godet understands $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta$ to mean the ecstatic language which flourished at Corinth, "le langage extatique qui fleurissait à Corinthe." The rendering unknown, or foreigh, tonguc, is preferred by many. Cf. ch. 12. 10. - oủSeis $\gamma$ àp ákov́el, for no one understunds, no one detects with the ear articulate sounds which are intelligible to him. Note this use of àmov́є!. Cf. the apparent contradiction of Acts 9.7; 22. 9; cf. Mark
 (or with the spivit, B. U.) he steaks mysteries, things not revealed and made plain to others, but hidden in his spirit, in an ecstasy. Or it may mean, by the Spirit; the Holy Spirit, who directs the spirit of the man. Either is grammatical and also logical in the connection. The former is the usual view. Cf.v. I4. - $\delta \delta \frac{\varepsilon}{e} \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \in \dot{v} \omega v$ ктé., But he who prophtresies (declares in plain language divine truths) speaks to men edification (that which builds them up spiritually), and encouragement, and consoliction (that which affords them comfort). - $\pi a \rho a ́ k \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$, very comprehensive in meaning : exhortation, encouragement, consolation. Cf. тарák $\lambda \eta \tau$ os and таракал $\epsilon \omega$, very important words in the N. T. - тapa;uvEiav, only here in the $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{T}$.
 himsilf. This certainly seems to imply that he himself understands what he speaks, although others understand nothing. So Hodge views the statement ; yet many others (Alf., Meyer, Kling, Godet, et al.) think that the human spirit, without the aid of the mind, is edified in this ecstatic
 sembly, a church; since all understand what he says. - 0 én $\omega$ סè $\pi$ ávias $\kappa \tau \in \in$, Noou ( $\delta$ '́ continuative) I am willing (or I desire) that you all speak zuith tongues. This he says to correct any false impression of his meaning. It is very difficult to give always an exact rendering of $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \omega$. See Th. Lex. - $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v \delta e ̀$ íva $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$., but rather that (I have a stronger desire that) you prophesy. Note the difference betw. the acc. w. infin., the direct object after $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, and iva w. subjunc., expressing more prominently
 (of greater worth; cf. ch. 13. 13) is he who prophesies than he who speaks with tongrues, except he interpret, in order that the church may receive edifcation. Note the emphat. èkròs $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$. Cf. ch. 15. 2; 1 Tim. 5. 19. Th. Lex., $\epsilon i$, III. 8. d. Note also here $\epsilon i$ w. subjunc.
V. 6. vv̂v $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, á áci $\phi$ oí, $\kappa \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} .$, But nowv, brethren, (the case presented before them in a plain, practical question) if I come to you speaking with tongues, in what respect shall I benefit you, unless I speak to you cither in revelation, or in knowuledse, or in prophecy, or teaching? The active meaning of the ending - $\sigma \iota s$ is prominent in $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{u} \psi \epsilon \iota$ and $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota$, in the act of revealing or in the act of makings kinowin. "The four terms at the end of the verse form two parallel pairs. On the one side, revelation and knozuledge - these are the divine internal gifts - on the other side, prophecy and teaching: these are the exterior manifestations of the twofold divine communication." Godet.

Vv. 7, 8. ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ (paroxytnne) is adversative, yet, nevertheless, Vulg. tamen; placed first in the sentence, although logically it belongs before $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \delta \iota a \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}$. Cf. Gal. 3. 15. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, et al. Other renderings and explanations are less probable. - The particip. $\delta \mathbf{\delta} \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu \tau a$, concessive. We may render the sentence, The things zuithout life, whether pipe or harp, thoughi they may give a sound, yet (omes) if they do not give a distinction in the tones, how will that which is piped or harped be known? Who can detect any melody or any tune? - kai (introducing another thought in the same line) ràp (introducing a confirmation of the thought
 certuin (ă $\delta \eta \lambda o v$, not plainn) sound ( $\phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$, often in this sense; see Th. Lex.), who zuill prepare himself for battle? The signal for an attack was given by the trumpet. Note this meaning of $\pi \delta \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu o s$, frequent in Hom, rare in Att. A more suitable rendering here than for war; zur Schlacht,

Meyer; a la bataille, Godet. A negative answer is readily suggested to both questions.

V. 9. Application of the preceding. - oย̋тตs кal ipeîs $\kappa \tau \hat{\tau}$., So ye also (vous aussi, Godet), unless ye give by the tongue distinct utterance (or discourse), how will that which is spoken be known (be understood)? To this, the neg. answer is suggested ; hence $\epsilon \sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \gamma$ àp $\kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon}$. (ye will not be understood), for ye will be (continually) speaking (note the force of | é $\sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ |
| :---: | w. the particip.) into the air; implying that which is utterly useless and unintelligible. Cf. ch. 9. 26, oủル à́ $\rho$ рa $\delta \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$.

Vv. io, II. A further confirmation, by a new example, of the preced-
 kind of woices are in the world, and no one (i. e. no kinde') is without signification ; $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ đúxol (note here $\epsilon i$ w. the optat., rare in N. T.), lit. if it should hatpen ; adv. in force, perchance ; viclleicht, Meyer; so many, I knowu not how manyy, J'झnore combien, Godet; $\phi \omega \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$, of voices, i. e. of languages; kal oưSèv ä $\phi \omega v o v$, and no one (of these languages) is ä $\phi \omega \nu$ ov, without voice, without intelligible sound ; unterständlich, Meyer ; inarticulé, Godet.
 the voice (i. e. of the lanswugce), I shall be to the one who speakis a foreigner, and the one who speaks will be a foreisher to me (or in my case). I in my relation to the one who speaks and he in his relation to me will be alike foreign; Bápßapos, a foreisner, not necessarily a barbarian, in the ordinary sense of the word. Cf. Acts 28. 2, 4 ; Th. Lex. $\beta a{ }^{\rho} \beta \beta a p o s, 3$.
 other churches) since ye are zealous to acquire spiritual sifts (lit. spirits), with a vicuo to the building up of the church seek that ye may abound (ine them). Thus the apostle, instead of openly rebuking their excessive desire for the gift of speaking with tongues, seeks to give a proper direction to their zeal ; § $\eta \lambda \omega \tau \alpha i ́$, a subst. in form, persons zealous ; $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, plur.,
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$-, emphat. in position ; connect in thought w. the entire clause $\delta^{\eta} \eta \tau \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ $\ell_{\nu} \alpha \pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{\eta} \eta \tau \epsilon$.

Vv. 13, 14. Wherefore let him zoho speaks in a tongue pray that he may interpret; pray that the gift of interpretation may be imparted to him as well as the gift of speaking in a tongue. So this has usually been understood; but the majority of recent expositors (Alf., Edwards, Meyer, Kling, Godet, et al.) understand iva to mean here, in order that ; thus, let him who speaks in a tongue pray (keeping this end in view) that he may interpret. The first exposition certainly seems intelligible and logical; and it is something in its favor that it was so understood by the Greek expositors, by Erasm., Calvin, Beza, and by many of the best modern scholars. - éàv $\gamma$ àp $\kappa \tau \epsilon e^{\circ}$., For if I pray in a tongrue, my spirit prays, but my understanding (my mind) is unfruitful. This verse seems to confirm
the first interpretation of verse 13. May not the thought in verse 14 be illustrated even now in the case of a truly devout Roman Catholic who listens to a Latin prayer that he does not understand ?

Vv. 15, 16. ri oûv ériv; What then is (the conclusion) ? - $\pi$ pootevgo$\mu a \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \psi a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}(\psi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega)$ ктé., I zuill pray zvith the spirit, and I zuill prayy with the understunding also ; I will sing praise with the spirit, and I zuill sing praise with the understanding also. Thus he would be able to interpret his prayer and his song of praise ; otherwise, if he could not do
 (if this is not so) if thon bless with the spirit (only with the spirit, and not with the understanding also), he who fills the place of the unlearned, -how will he say the amen on thy giving of thanks? (This he cannot

 one in a private station; an unlearned, unsducated mant one of the common people. Cf. Acts 4. I3.
 rel. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$; see Th. Lex.) givest thanks well (I grant this), but the other (the $i \delta t \Delta \tau \eta s)$ is not edified (built up, instructed and established in the
 than you all (I am in this particular more highly endowed). - a $\lambda \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., but (I wish to make a proper use of this gift) in the chutrch (or in a church) I desire to speak (I would speak) five words with my understanding, in order that I may instruct others also, rather than ton thousand words in a tongzue. In verse I8, the reading $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha a s$, zuith tongrues, seems preferable; 光, rather than, after Bov́ $\begin{gathered}\text { opal and } \theta \in ̇ \lambda \omega \text {, occurs in classic Greek. }\end{gathered}$ Win. § 35. 2. c.
 address), become not little children in mind, but in wickedness be inffints, and in mind become full-grown. The use which they were making of this gift of speaking with tongues was rather like little children than like full-grown and intelligent men. - èv тệ vóue $\gamma$ fépautral, In the laze it is weritten. The words are a free citation from Isaiah 28. ir. Note this use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \varphi$, in the lazv, including the idea of the entire Old Testament. So in Rom. 3. 19; John 10. 34.-ötı forms a part of
 the person of) men of other tongues and in the lips of others (strangers) I will speak to this people (the people of Israel) and not even thus will they listen to me, saith the Lord; even this sign will be to them ineffectual. "Here, as in many other cases, the historical sense is not so much considered, as the aptness of the expressions used for illustrating the matter in hand; viz. that belief would not be produced in the unbelieving by speaking to them in strange tongues." Alf.

## 124

V．22．$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ai $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a t ~ \kappa \tau \varepsilon \in .$, Wherefore（in keeping with the thought in this prophecy）the tongues are for a sign（a divine manifesta－ tion）not to those who have fuith（not to an assembly of believers），but to those who are zuithout faith．This fact was clearly shown on the day of Pentecost．This being true，the church in Corinth in their assemblies for divine worship were making a wrong use of this spiritual gift．－$\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a \kappa \tau \varepsilon ์ .$, while prophecy（in contradistinction from the tongues） is not for those who are without fuith，but for those who have fuith．Hence the greater value，in the assemblies of the church，of prophecy；i．e．＂in－ spired and intelligent exposition of the word and doctrine．＂Alf．

Vv．23－25．The apostle proceeds to illustrate the truth of verse 22 by two diverse suppositions，showing the natural effect on the unlearned and the common people of a wrong use of spiritual gifts．－€่iv oûv $\sigma v v$－的㩆 $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．，If therefore（in accordance with the truth just stated）the whole churcti shall hate come together to the same place，and all speak with torgues， and there shall enter in men whlearned or without fithe，will they not say that you are besile yourselves（are insune）？Note the emphatic $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha$ ö $\lambda \eta$ ，implying a large assembly．Meyer insists that iô $\hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \iota$ must mean believers who are not educated，members perhaps of some other church； and thus $i \delta \iota \iota \omega t a l$ and $\alpha a \pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ$ would constitute two quite distinct classes of persons．This view，with this distinction，is not generally taken．－
 ＂all prophesy，＂or＂all speak with tongues，＂that all do this at the same time ；but＂all＂one after another，or＂all＂who actually speak．－eio－
 come in，he is convinced（comvicted，reproied）by all（who speak），he is judsed（examined and led to self－examination）by all．All who prophesy make upon him the same impression．The words é $\lambda \in \dot{\gamma} \chi \in \tau \alpha l$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \rho l-$ $\nu \in \tau \alpha \iota$ involve more than can be fully expressed by single English words． －тà крvสтdे тท̂s кapסias aútov̂ $\kappa \tau \therefore$ ．，the secrets of his heart become mani－ fist，and so（in this state of mind）havinrs fallen on his face he will worship God，decluring（saying ofenly and publicly）；in reatity，God is among you （or in you，in animis evestris）；ötı before the oratio recta not translated； òv $\omega \omega$ ，a very emphatic word，actually，in reality．

Vv．26－40．Additional instructions respecting the proper conduct in their public assemblies．

V．26．Tí oûv＇̇ $\sigma$ iv，áde入фoi；What then is（the conclusion），brethren？ Cf．verse 15．This question aids the mind logically and directs special
 gether，each one（of you has one of the following gifts）has a psalm，has a teaching，has a revelation（cf．verse 29），has a tonsue（has the spiritual gift to speak in a tongue），has an interpretation（has the spiritual gift to inter－ pret what is spoken in a tongue．Cf．verse 5．）The use of éкабтоs here
and in ch. I. 12 is very peculiar and scarcely admits of a literal rendering. The writer does not mean that each man has all that follows; but each
 done for (with a viezu to) edification (the instruction and building up of the church as a whole and of the individual members). Let this be the constant, continued aim ( $\gamma \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, pres. imperat., denoting continuance).

Vv. 27, 28. લítє stands here without a corresponding el̈ $\epsilon$, by a change of const. (anacoluthon). Hofmann views it as if written $\epsilon \check{\ell} \tau \epsilon$, and if. The difference is not important. - EiTc . . . $\lambda a \lambda \in \hat{\imath}, \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., If anly one speaks in a tongre (let it be) by two or at the most three. We may understand $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$, let it be; or $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$, suggested by $\lambda a \lambda \in i$, let them speak. So Meyer et al. Winer, § $6 \not+$. I. b. Cf. I Pet. 4. II ; кađá w. acc. distributive, Th. Lex. II. 3. $\gamma$. In each meeting of the church, not more than two, or at the most three, shall speak in a foreign tongue. - кal ávà $\mu \hat{p} \rho o s$, and in turn, one after another; let not two speak at the same time. - кal eis $\delta \iota s p \mu \eta \nu \in v e ́ \tau \omega$, and let one interpret. Note the force of $\delta_{t}$ - in compos., fully, thoroughly; also of eis, one person, not more than one. éàv $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \eta \eta_{n} \hat{n} \kappa \epsilon \in \epsilon^{\text {., }}$, But if there be not an interpreter (if there be no one present who can interpret) let him (the one who speaks in a foreign tongue) continue silent in an assembly (or in the church). Note the force
 to himself and to God; indicating a silent devotion, in opposition to the idea of speaking aloud in the assembly.
 three (i. e. as in verse 27 , though not so distinctly and sharply defined as there, two or three in one meeting of the church) speak, and let the rest (of the members) discriminate, carefully meditate on what is said, so as to
 $\kappa а \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \omega) ~ \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, But if a revelation be made (if something shall have been revealed) to another who is sittings, let the first (the one who is speaking) be silent.
Vv. $3^{1-33}$. Súvarot $\gamma \mathrm{àp}$ кa日' ' 'va ктé., For ye are all able one by one (one after another) to prophesy, in order that all may learn, and all be exhorted, encourrased, comforted ( $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota$ expresses the three ideas). Note the emphat. repetition of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s$, all the members of the church. The emphat. word of the entire sentence is $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ye are able, ye may, ye can, i. e. if proper order is maintained. This is implied in the follow-
 (the) prophets are subject to (the) prophets (the generic article omitted in the Greek). This may mean the spirits of the prophets (who are inspired by the Holy Spirit) are made subject to themselves, are under self-control ( $\pi \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha, s$ a strong expression for áviois) ; or the dative may mean, to other prophets. Expositors are about equally divided on this point. The
former seems most natural (so Alf., Meyer, Godet, Hofmann, et al.); their spirits are under self-control, and hence the exhortation in verse 30 ,
 under their uwn control. - oủ үáp $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau เ \nu$ ктé., For God is not (a God) of disorder, but of quietness, or peace. - ' $\Omega \mathrm{s}$ èv $\pi$ áraus raîs ékк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ íaus $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma^{i} \omega v$. This clause is joined with what follows by Tisch., Meyer, Godet, De Wette, Kling, American R. V., et al. ; with what precedes by the early Greek Fathers, the Vulg., Luther, Bengel, all the older English versions (Wiclif, Tyndale, Cranmer, Geneva, Rheims, Authorized 16I I), B. U., English R. V., W-H., Alf., Ell., et al. Thus it appears that the great majority of scholars have connected the clause with what precedes. For Go.l is not a God of disorder, but of quietness, as in all the churches of the saints. Paul thus says to the Corinthians, whose assemblies had been so noisy and disorderly, - Go to any other Christian assembly and you will find order, quietness, peace.
V. 34. This topic comes in logical connection with the sulject in hand. One element of disorder in their assemblies was the conduct of the women. - ai $\gamma$ voaikss . . . $\sigma$ เyárovav. Let the zuomen in the fublic assemblies (or in the churches) be silent. With this passage cf. ch. II. 5, note. The view of Godet as to Paul's meaning is as follows: "In respect to women, if, under the influence of an inspiration or of a sudden revelation, they wish to speak in the assembly, to offer a prayer or a prophecy, I am not opposed to it, only that this may not take place without having the figure covered with a veil. But, in general, let the women keep silence. For it is unbecoming (inconvenant) on their part to speak in an assembly." - oủ үàp énıтpénєtal ктé., for it is not permitted to them to steak, but let them be in subjection, even as the law also says; a general reference to the teachings of the O. T. in respect to the position

 distinguished from $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \in \dot{\cup} \epsilon \ell \nu$, ch. II. 5. Though in the N. Test. it can hardly mean to talk idly, to prattle, as in classic Greek, since it is predicated of our Lord in several passages, yet it directs the attention more to the utterance of thought, to conversation ; and conversation, in an assembly of the church, would be an element of great disorder, if it were allowed to any extent.
 anything (if they feel inclined to propose any questions for information respecting any doctrine), let them at home question their own husbands. ivv

 meeting of the church). Such was the fact then and there; and on this ground distinctly the apostle places his injunction. In other times and
places the emphatic words of this same apostle in Galatians 3.28 , may prove true: there can be no male and fomale; for ye are all one in Christ
 have said, are we to infer that) from you the word of God went forth, or did it come into the midst of you alone? - questions that must silence all objections. For this use of $\eta$ see Th. Lex. Cf. ch. 9.6 ; 10. 22 ; Rom. 3. 29; et saepe.

This question respecting the position of woman belongs in the same category with two others, prominent in the epistles of Paul, - the relation of servants ( $\delta o u ̂ \lambda o t$, slaves) to their masters, and that of subjects to the government. Cf. Rom. 13; Eph. 6. 5 ; Col. 3. 22. Compare also what is said in this epistle respecting marriage, in ch. 7, - directions founded on the state of things then existing in Corinth, and not intended, as Protestants believe, for general and permanent application. The decision of all these questions is affected by the circumstances of time and place ; but the great fundamental teachings of the gospel remain unchanged and unchangeable. Time and place can never alter them.

Vv. 37, 38. Eit tis סoкєî $\kappa \tau \in \mathfrak{\varepsilon}$., If any man seems to be a prophet, or en-
 things which I write to you, that they are of the Lord. Such a person would be especially qualified to judge of their truth and divine origin. " Paul imprints here the seal of his apostolical authority, and upon this
 be viewed as pass.), But if any' one is ignorant (fails to recognize the truth of what I have written), "he is ignored, scil. of God." Alf. But à $\gamma$ vociral may be mid. voice, he is ignorant for himself, must take the consequences of failing to recognize the foregoing truths. This interpretation seems preferable. With the reading ajvvéitco we translate, let him be ignorant; all further effort in his case is useless. "Sibi suaeque ignorantiae relinquendos esse censeo." Estius.

Vv. 39, 40. $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \tau \epsilon \\ \kappa \tau \\ \epsilon \\ .\end{gathered}$, Wherefore (summa, Bengel, to sum up what I have said on this whole subject), my, brethren, desire earmestly the gift of prophecy, and forbid not to speak avith tongues. Note the difference: $\zeta \eta$ $\lambda o u ̂ \tau \epsilon$, desire carnestly; $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, forbid not, do not hinder. The former
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$, , But let all thingss be done decently (inn a becoming manner) and in order (according to due or right order).

Chap. XV. The resurrection of the dead (involving the conception of the resurrection of the body).

Vv. I-Ir. The fact of the resurrection of Christ, on which rests the hope and expectation of our own resurrection. Vv. 12-ig.

The denial of the resurrection of the dead involves that of the resurrection of Christ, and thus contradicts the apostolic testimony and the truths of Christianity. Vv. 20-2S. The fact of the resurrection of Christ again asserted as the foundation of all Christian hope. Vv. 29-34. Practical conclusions which follow from a denial of the doctrine. Direct exhortations at this point. Vv. 35-50. Respecting the possibility and the manner of the resurrection of the body. Illustrations from the natural world. Vv. 50-53. The change from the animal to the spiritual body necessary in order to inherit God's kingdom. A word respecting those who shall be alive on the earth at the final coming of Christ. Vv. 54-58. A triumphant conclusion.
 the last and in some respects the most important discussed in this epistle) I make known to you, brethren, the gostel which I preached to you, which ye also received, in which ye also stand, through which ye are also saved (being saved), if ye hold firmly the word which I preached to yout, unless indeed ye believed in vain. - Гvตpi\}⿴, I make knozun, I continue to make knowin to you (pres. tense). He had already preached to them the gospel; but some of them, it appears, had questioned or rejected a most important doctrine of this gospel, and hence he needed again to repeat what he had said. - тò є ${ }^{2} \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \iota o v$, the grospel, in the fullest sense, in all the leading

 ing, denoting that which is continued. $\sigma \omega \xi \in \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}$ : mark the force of the pres. tense here also. Cf. ch. I. IS, note. - тivı $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \varphi$. . . ípiv (placed
 const. of $\tau i v \iota \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ is somewhat doubtful. We may take $\tau i v \iota$, the direct interrog. as used st. $\widehat{\Psi} \tau t \nu \iota$, the indirect interrog., and $\lambda o \gamma \omega \varphi$ as attracted from the acc.; thus, lit. if ye hold firmly the word with which I preachea (it, the gospel) to you. So substantially Alf., Ell., Kling ; durch welches Wort (through what word), Meyer; le sens dans lequel je vous l'ai prêché (the sense in which I haze preached it to yout), Godet. - èkròs єi $\mu$ خ̀ $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., unless indeed ye believed in vain, - a supposition not to be for a moment entertained ; argumentatur ab absurdo, Beza.
V. 3. $\pi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ үàp $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, , For I delivered to you first of all that which $I$ also received, (the fuct) that Christ dicd for our sins according to the scriptures. $\gamma$ d' $p$ introduces the statement of the leading points in the gospel which he had preached to them. - èv $\pi$ прẃrors, in primis, among the first and most important doctrines. - ô кai mapé $\lambda a \beta o v$, that zuhich $I$ aiso received. Hence it was not of his own invention, - was not a new
doctrine in the Christian church. - intèp $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega ิ \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$, for our sins, i.e. to atone for them. For a fuller statement of the ductrine cf. Rom. 3. 23-26; Gal. 3. I3 ff. The const. ínèp (st. $\pi \in \rho l$ ) áuaptiûv is rare ; found in Heb. 5. I; 10. 12; cf. 9.7. - кatà тàs үpaфás, according to the scriptures, i. e. the Old Testament. Sce especially Isaiah, ch. 53 . For a similar reference to the Old Test. scriptures cf. Luke 22. 37 ; 24.25 ff ., John 20. 9 ; Acts 8. 32 ff ; 17. 3; 26. 22 ff .; 1 Pet. I. io ff.
 and that he was buried, and that he has been raised on the third day according to the scriptures, and that he appeared to Cephas, after that to the twelve ; cf. Luke 24.34, 36; John 20. Ig ff.: has been raised, perf., denoting that which has been accomplished and still remains: according to the scriptures, i. e. the Old Test.; cf. Isaiah 53; Ps. 16: on the third duy ; cf. the history of Jonah ; also Hosea 6.2. The term "twelve" is used in the official sense. Cf. the Latin Decemviri, Centumairi, which were used though the exact number might not be complete. After the death of the traitor the entire number was only eleven; and we learn, from John 20. 19, 24, that Thomas also was absent at this first appearance, leaving the actual number but ten.
V. 6. Ëสeเva $\ddot{\omega \phi \eta} \mathrm{kTE}$., Then the appeared to above five hundrcd brethren at once, of whom the greater number remain until now, but some have fallen aslecp. Note here the change of structure, not dependent, as the preceding clauses, on $\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$. . . ̊̈ $\tau \iota$. - $\grave{\epsilon} \pi a ́ v \omega$, adv. w. $\pi \in \nu \tau а к о \sigma i o t s . ~ \epsilon ̇ ф \dot{́} \pi a \xi$ not here in the sense once for all, but at once, at one time. The occasion here referred to is generally thought to have been that mentioned in Matt. 28. 16, although Matthew speaks only of the "eleven." These were most prominent, but it is quite credible that a large multitude of disciples besides these may have been present. $\mu \dot{v} v o v \sigma \iota ้ \not ้ \omega s$ äprı, remain until now, i. e. here on earth (cf. Phil. I. 25; John 21. 22) ; hence the large number of witnesses still living. This epistle was probably written upwards of twenty years after the event here referred to. - $\tau$ เvès $\delta$ é, butt some, indicating a comparatively small

V. 7. Éteiva $\ddot{\omega} \phi \theta_{\eta} \kappa \tau \in$., Then he appeared to Games. This is not mentioned in cither of the Gospels. Whether James the brother of our Lord, or James the son of Alphaeus, is here referred to is a matter of doubt. The former is the more probable and the usual opinion. Ėreıтa . . . $\pi$ âoıv, then to all the apustles. Whether the word apostles is here used in the strict sense, or in a wider sense so as to include others besides the "twelve," is doubtful. Ell., Godet, et al., the former; Alf., Meyer, et al., the latter. The point is not important in the argument.

## I30 NOTES ON FIRST CORINTHIANS.

 So used in Att. $\pi a ́ \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ may be viewed as neut., last of all thinges, finally; or as masc., last of all those mentioned above. So viewed usually. Meyer refers it to the preceding expression, last of all the apostles. The point is not important. - $\dot{\text { co }} \pi \epsilon \mathrm{p} \epsilon \mathrm{l}$ (only here in N. T., freq. in Att.) . . . кג́цоl, as to an abortion (see Th. Lex. є̌ктршца; Paul here speaks of himself as inferior to the rest of the apostles, just as an abortion falls short of a mature birth; and the reason for such self-disparagement he gives in verse 9) he afteared to me also; i. e. on my way to Damascus, after his ascension. Cf. 9. I. Perhaps, as Godet and others think, the word ккк $\tau \rho \omega \mu$ may have been suggested by the time and manner of this appearance.
V. 9. é $\dagger \dot{\omega} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \rho$ (introduces the confirmation of the preceding state-

 to be called an apostle. ikavós, sufficient, comfetent, able, meet, fit. The apostle had here a very different train of thought in mind from that which prompted the words in ch. 9. Iff. In that connection he was vindicating his apostolic authority, which had been impugned by his adversaries. His success, therefore, as a preacher of the gospel was largely involved in the settlement of that question. - $\delta$ เóть é $\delta i \omega \xi \mathfrak{c} a \kappa \tau \varepsilon \in$., because $I$ perseculted the church of God. The recollection of this fact was almost crushing to him. With this verse we may well compare the additional statement in I Tim. I. $12-14$.
 i. e. an apostle to the Gentiles. $\chi$ ápıtı, emphatic position. Cf. Gal. I. 15 . Note the contrast between the two thoughts, - his own unworthiness and God's grace. - кaì $\mathfrak{\eta}$ Xápıs aùrov̂ $\kappa \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} .$, and his grace, which enterea into me, did not become vain. кєขض, empty, fruitless. ì єis द̇ $\mu \hat{\epsilon}$, this grace was not simply bestowed ufon him, to cover him as a garment, but went into his heart, his inner being. Cf. I Pet. I. го. - à $\lambda \lambda$ dà . . . éкотíaoa (кomád $\omega$ ), but more abundantly than they all I toiled. "Than they all" may mean than they all individually, or all collectively. The latter is the natural meaning of the words, and so it is usually understood. Is this boastful? The next clause saves it from any such appearance. Note копıáw, to toil, to do hard work, often predicated of the labors of the apostle. - oủk ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon}$., yet not $I$, 一it was not $I$ that toiled, that did the hard work, - but the grace of God with me. It was that which accomplished the work; and without that I should have been feeble indeed, nay, powerless.
 (the other apostles), so we preach, and so ye beliceed. - oütos, so; i. e. as above, verse 4 ff ., that Christ was raised from the dead. - к $\eta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \circ \mu \in v$,
we, i.e. all the apostles, preack ; pres. denoting that which is customary.
 church who were now questioning the doctrine) believed; aor. denoting an accomplished fact.

Vv. 12-19. See analysis of the chapter.
 that he has been raised from the dead (if this is the habitual preaching of the apostles), how do some say among you that there is not (oủk $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, does not exist) a resurrection of the dead? द̀v $\dot{v} \mu i \nu \nu$ from its position is naturally connected with $\lambda \epsilon$ '́ovaıv. So Chrys., Vulg. (dicunt in vobis). This verse brings out the inconsistency of those in the Corinthian church who had professedly received the doctrine of Christ as crucified and raised from the dead, who now questioned or altogether rejected the Christian teaching of the resurrection of the body. No doubt they, as did the Greeks and Romans generally, accepted the doctrine of a future life; but that which was new to them, - the doctrine of the resurrection of the dead, - this they questioned or denied.
 not possible (oùk $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\sigma} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ), neither (où $\epsilon \in$, not even) has Christ been raised, the first conclusion from the denial of the Christian teaching. Sublato genere tollitur et species (Grot.); The genus being taken azoay, the species also is removed. In his human nature Christ possessed a human body. This became $\nu \in \kappa \rho o ́ s$, and was raised again to life, according to the preaching of the apostles. It was this thought which startled the hearers of St. Paul on Mars' Hill. The denial of this doctrine removed the very
 Christ has not been raised, then (ăpa, as a logical conclusion) our preaching is vain (idle, empty), and your faith is vain. kal . . . кai . . . , both our preaching and your faith, - "your faith," i. e. your faith in Jesus as the Messiah, as the crucified and risen Redeemer. The second conclusion; and how startling!
V. 15. This also follows logically from what precedes. - єv́pıбкó $\mu \in \alpha$ ठè kal 廿evסopáprupes ктé., And we are found false zuitnesses also of God. $\tau o \hat{u} \theta \in o \hat{u}$ seems best viewed as objective gen., - false witnesses in regard
 God that he raised up the Christ, whom he did not raise up, if as a matter of fact the dead are not raised. - кarà тov̂ $\theta$ €ov, against God; adversus Deum, Vulg. "Every testimony that God has done anything, knowingly false, is testimony against God, because it is a misuse of his name and a violation (Verletzung) of his holiness." Meyer. This is the usual meaning of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ w. the gen. denoting a person; see Th. Lex. Yet many take кará here in the sense with regrard to, concerning. Note that $\nu \in \kappa \rho o l$ stands
without the article, indicating, not the class as a whole (oi verpoí), but the dead as individuals. The English idiom seems to require the article.

Vv. 16-18. єi $\gamma$ àp vєкроl ктє., For if the dead are not raised, neither has Christ been raised, - a confirmation of $\hat{\nu} \nu$ oùk $\eta \gamma \not \epsilon \epsilon \rho \in \nu \kappa \tau \mathcal{E}$., nearly in the words of verse 13 . In verses 17, 18 further and terrible conclusions which must follow from the denial of the doctrine of the resurrection. -
 fuith is vain, ye are yet in your sins; then those also who have fullen aslecp in Christ perished. Note the emphatic position of $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha: \alpha$ and of $\begin{gathered}\tau \\ \tau\end{gathered}$. Note the pointed contrast: fallen asleep in Christ, indicating the most peaceful and joyous state of mind ; perished! waking to a consciousness of utter ruin, still loaded with sins. What a prospect was thus held out to the Corinthians! Well might they join with the apostle in the sad, hopeless exclamation of verse 19 .
 what precedes, - If we have only in this life hoped in Christ. The $\mu$ óvov from its position belongs to the entire preceding clause, and is also emphatic, - if in this life only we have hoped only in Christ. そ̇入лıкóтєs $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \in \boldsymbol{\prime} \nu$, lit. we are having hoped, directs the mind more distinctly to the continuance of the hope than the perf. $\dot{\lambda \pi i \kappa \alpha u \in \nu, ~ i f ~ w e ~ c o n t i n u e ~ h a v i n g . ~}$
 pitied than all men; miserabiliores sumus omnibus hominibus, Vulg. In this life persecution, sufferings ( $\pi \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, Rom. S. IS) ; in the future life, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \epsilon \iota a$, no realization of the hopes so fondly cherished here. This sentence reaches the uttermost point in the logical conclusions from the denial of the doctrine of the resurrection. The apostle can proceed no further on this line of thought, and suddenly turns, in verse 20 , to the animating reality.

Vv. 20-2S. "Christ's resurrection that from which all the issues of the boundless future directly flow." Ell.
 Christ has been raised from the dead. puvi' is to be understood as logical rather than temporal. Cf. ch. 13.13; cf. also $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, ch. 5.11 ; ch. 12. 18, 20.


 the expression general, - of those who have fallen asleep, of those who have passed away from this life, whether in Christ or not.

Vv. 21, 22. For ( $\gamma$ áp confirmatory) since through man, through a human being (came) death, through man also the resurrection of the dead: lit. a resurrection of persons dead. This general statement is made definite
 Adam all die, so also in Christ will all be made alive. Cf. Kom. 5. 12, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \in \mathrm{s} \eta \mu a \rho \tau o \nu$, all sinned; all entered into a sinful state and inherited a sinful nature. 5. 15, oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ol $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \theta a \nu o \nu$, the many died, i. e. the many became subject to death: in Christ, or in the Christ, will all be made alive, i. e. all will be raised from the dead. This is the topic which the
 beyond its simple and primary meaning, so as to contradict the statements of the N . Test. elsewhere respecting the condition of the finally
 of the seed of a plant as coming to life and taking on a new visible form; and this is used in illustration of the subject here discussed. The next verse guards us against any misinterpretation of the statement in verse 22.
 arrangement, rank; a band, troop, class; fr. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$, to set in a row, to arrange. The next sentence, together with the first clause in verse 24 , defines the predetermined arrangement. - ámapXŋ̀ Xpıotós, $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., as the first-fruits, Christ; after that those zwho belong to Chirist at his coming. amap才q́n, the usual translation in the LXX. of the topmost, the highest; met. the chief, the best, a choice specimen from among the first fruits. Hence the statement here does not conflict with Matt. 27. 52, 53. - eita rò rènos, then (next; after that, Lex. Th.) the end. What is included in this brief expression has been much discussed. Does not Paul himself define his meaning in what follows directly? It should not be forgotten that this statement occurs in a letter addressed to a Christian church, and that Paul here tells them what they individually may look forward to. It was not in keeping with the purpose of the letter to give a complete account of the resurrection, both of the righteous and of the wicked. That is found elsewhere, particularly in Matt. ch. 25, and in Rev. ch. 20. 11 ff. See also John 5. 28, 29; Acts 24. 15. - ötav mapaסiઠoî ктєٔ., zuhen he shall deliver up the kingdom to his God and Father (cf. Eph. r. 3, the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ) ; the king. dom, i.e. the Messianic kingdom. As there was a beginning to this world's history and to the Messianic reign, so also there will be an end. Then the work of the Messiah will be compieted, and he will resume in the government of the universe the same relation to the Father which he held before the world was created and he ascended the mediatorial
 dered powerless ( $\dot{\alpha}$ pós), or idle, every government and every authority and power. "As to the new earth, and Christ's abiding presence hereon with his redeemed, no inference can safely be drawn from this passage." Ell.

Vv. 25, 26. $\delta \in \hat{i} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ aủròv $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \epsilon v \in \epsilon L$ äxpl oû (note the omission of ä $\nu) \theta \hat{\eta}(\tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{l}) \kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon} .$, For it is necessury that he reign, pres. contimue to reign, until he shall have put all his enemies under his feet. Bear in mind that this is spoken of the Messianic reign, which shall come to an end. In Rev. II. 15 we find the words, "The kingdom of the world is become (the kingdom) of our Lord and of his Christ ; and he shall reign for ever and ever." This, as I understand it, describes the position of our Lord when the Messianic reign - the period during which redemption is offered to a sinful world, - when this shall have come to an end. - ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \times$ aros Éx日poss... ó $\theta$ ávaros, As the last enemy, death is rendered poiverless. ó đávaros is here personified. So also in Rev. 20. 14, "And death and Hades were cast into the lake of fire." On the new earth death shall have no more power.
V. 27. $\pi$ ávta $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ (introduces a confirmation of the words just spoken
 suljection under his feet. The same passage cited in Eph. 1. 22 ; Heb. 2. S. The suljjection of all things to man, as declared in this psalm, fulfilled in the person of Christ, the son of man. - örav סè єilmn, öтt kré., But when he ( $\delta \theta$ eós) shall have said, or when he suith, that all thing harve been fut in subjection, it is flain that (all things have been put in subjection) except the one zeho put all things in subjection to him.
 been suljected to him, then the son himself also will be subjected to him who did sutject all things to him. As in verse 24, the.termination of the Messianic reign is here brought to view. "The mediatorial work will be concluded; the eternal purposes of the Incarnation will have been fulfilled." Ell. - ǐva n̂̉ ó $\theta$ eos $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ mávta '̇v $\pi \hat{a} \sigma เ v$, that God may be all in all. A glimpse of the endless, glorious future for all who are the children of God, "heirs of God and joint-heirs with Christ." The fact of the resurrection of Christ, and consequently of our own resurrection, leads to this telescopic view of the future. Human vision cannot reach beyond this point.

Vv. 29-34. See analysis at the beginning of the chapter.
 tized for the dend do? what will they accomplish (for themselves or anybody else) ? quid efficient? Grot. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\text { í, since, often implies an ellipsis; }}$ since, if you deny the doctrine of the resurrection, zelat etc. See Lex. Th.
 Few expressions in the N. Test. have reccived a greater variety of interpretations than this. It would be unprofitable to enumerate even half of them. Of all these, three are the most generally approved. Ist, $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \in$, in behalf of, instead of; i. e. the living baptized for departed believers
who had not been baptized. That this practice existed afterwards is unquestioned; but that it existed in the Corinthian church thus early, and that Paul would allude to it without rebuke in any part of this epistle, is hard to believe, especially as he gives so much attention to the abuse of the Lord's Supper. 2 d , $\dot{\text { unt }} \rho$ in the sense " baptized in relation to, into connection with the dead, so that from the time and by the very act of their baptism they no longer belong to the living, but have as it were joined themselves to the dead." Kendrick. This idea would suit the connection, and is in keeping with verse 19, but is an unusual meaning of the prep. in $\pi^{\frac{1}{\rho} \rho \text {. Sce Lex. Th. 3d, The meaning over, above. This }}$ meaning of $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ w. the gen. in the N. Test. is denied by Meyer. But " might not the prep. be used in this most simple local sense in a single passage only?" Winer. Might not this meaning, so common in classic Greek, be retained in Corinth in common use, and be thus used by Paul in a letter to the Corinthians, among whom he had spent so long a time? It seems quite credible. In compos. $\dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho$ is certainly used in this sense. See Lex. Th. But if we adopt this third definition, what would be the meaning? Taken literally, over the dead, i. e. over their graves, has been understood by some to be the meaning; so Luther, üler den Todten. But taken figuratively, it may denote proximity. Pusition oicr the dead naturally suggests the idea of extreme peril; so we often say in English of one who is in great danger, " he stands just over the grave." So Bengel, vist́p notat protinquitutem super aliqua re imminentem. Sic baptizantur super mortuis ii, qui mox post baptismum ad mortuos aggregabuntur (thus those are baptized over the dead who soon after baptism are gathered to the dead). This meaning of $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon$ ' $\rho$, above, over, in the fuce of, in full vieau of, yields a sense substantially the same as No. 2. This meaning certainly seems to suit the connection bcst. The profession of Christianity was undoubtedly attended then and there, as it has often been elsewhere, with imminent danger, - the danger of speedy death.

To all the above interpretations, and to every other, it is easy to find objections. Uncertain and obscure as the passage may seem to us, the meaning may have been quite plain to the Corinthians.

 are they (those who are living) even battized for them, or over them (the dead) ?
 dunger every hour? кai $\eta \mu \in i s$, zue also; we as well as those who are baptized, and thus face the danger of death. Verse 31 is a confirmation of
 $I$ die daily. Every day I am in danger of death, am in the porition of a
dying man. Cf. Rom. 8. 36 ; 2 Cor. 4. II ; 11. 23. - vì (found only here in N. Test., often in classic Greek) т $̀ v$ v́petépav кav́X $\eta \sigma \iota v$, á $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi o i$, (I affirm it) by the glorying respecting you, brethren; i. e. it is as true as the fact that I glory respecting you. $\dot{\psi} \mu \in \tau \in \rho \rho a \nu$, possess. adj. pron. in the
 ing) I have in Christ fesus our Lord, - the only sphere in which he could have such glorying.
 men (lit. after the manner of a man), I fought with wild beasts at Epheizs. This is not generally understood in the literal sense. See Lex. Th.
 in mind the fact that I am daily exposed to death. The ready answer is, nothing! - €i vexpol oúk (note the frequency of this negative in a conditional sentence in the N . Test.) Éyєipovial. This was usually by the ancient expositors (not by Chrys.) taken with what precedes, but is now generally connected with what follows. If the dead are not raised, let us eut and drink ( $\phi$ á $\omega \omega \mu \in \nu, \vec{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega$; $\pi i \omega \mu \in \nu, \pi i \nu \omega)$, for to-morrow zve die. Let us give ourselves up to present and earthly enjoyments, if this life, so brief and so full of danger, is all we can expect. The clause $\phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. is a citation from Is. 22. 13 , in the words of the LXX. In the solemn language of scripture, Paul thus presents the natural consequence of a denial of the resurrection, - sensualism, the Epicurean philosophy.

Vv. 33, 34. $\mu \grave{̀} \pi \lambda a v a ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon(\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \omega)$, Be not led astray, be not led into error on this most important subject, - an abrupt and solemn warning. -
 rupt (destroy) grood morals (good characters, Lat. mores); a solemn warning against being led astray on the subject of the resurrection. Note the
 ( $\epsilon \kappa-\nu \eta \eta \phi \omega)$ ) סккaiws, Become sober (as if from a drunken revel) in a righteous manner (as is right, Th.), - каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ áuaptávere (pres. imperat.), and
 sober at once, and let it be a finished act; $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$ (pres.), do not continue to sin. - à $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ iav $\gamma \mathbf{a} \rho$ ктé., for some have no knowledge (lit. have an ignorance) of God. This was the reason why they fell into such grievous errors. "Agnosticism was the root of the evil." Ell. - $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}$ étvipo$\pi \eta_{i} \nu \dot{u} \mu \hat{i} \nu \lambda \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}, ~ I ~ s p e a k ~ t o ~ y o u ~(I ~ s a y ~ t h i s ~ t o ~ y o u, ~ t h a t ~ s o m e ~ a m o n g ~ y o u ~$ have no knowledge of God) to move you to shame; $-\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ denoting the direction; $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \circ \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$, cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \in ́ \in \omega$, , to turn within, so as to lead to reflection, and to shame when one has done wrong.

Vv. 35-49. Respecting the manner of the resurrection and the character of the spiritual body. Analogies in the natural world.
 (as an oljection to the doctrine of the resurrection which we preach);

How are the dead raised? and with what kind of body do they come? Emphasis on $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ and $\pi o i(\omega$, implying incredulity and perhaps ridicule. This question has not yet ceased to be asked in the same spirit. Note the meaning of $\pi o^{\prime}(\varphi$ as distinguished from tivl. The pres. द̇ $\gamma \epsilon i p o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ and ש̈p $\quad$ ovear for the fut., presenting the idea more vividly to the mind, as often in Greek and other languages. ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi$ oviat, do they come, i. e. come into existence, "into the realm of the living," Ell.

Vv. 36,37 . ä $\phi \rho \omega v$ ( $\alpha$ priv. and $\phi \rho \dot{\eta} \nu$ ), Thou man without reflection, zuithout intelligence. The connection of the future spiritual body with the present mortal body made credible by that which is constantly occurring in the natural world. - $\sigma$ (emphat.) ô $\sigma \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \in \iota s$ ктє., that which thou sowest is not quickened (oủ 广由omoteîtal, is not made alive, fr. Swós, alive,
 died, - a matter of fact. Thus the analogy of the seed to the human body is presented. - кai (introduces another important and analogous
 which thon sowest, not the body which is about to come into existence sowest thou. Note the force of $\tau \delta \gamma \in \nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$; not the same as $\tau \delta$ ' $\dot{\sigma} \delta \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \nu \mu \nu \grave{v}$ кókкov, but a bare kernel, a naked seed (not yet clothed with the future plant, the vegetable body). - $\epsilon$ ivixol ( $\tau v \gamma \chi$ d́v $\omega$ ) oitov $\kappa \tau \epsilon$ ', perchance (lit. if it might happen) of wheat, or (a kernel) of some one of the remaining (things which are sown, sc. $\sigma \pi \in \rho \mu \dot{c} \tau \omega \nu$ ).
V. 38. ó $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \theta$ Gòs $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma$ Lv ктé., But God' sives to it (this naked kernel) a body even as he willed, even as it pleased him; and (rai emphatic) to each of the things sozen its ozon (peculiar) body. A phenomenon of daily observation. The application of all this could not fail to be made. What man can explain the transformation of the seed into the plant, and the fact of its retaining its own peculiar type? Not more wonderful than this the death and resurrection of the body, and the change from the natural to the spiritual body.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}$. 39-4I. Various instances of diversity in unity. Very different are the various kinds of flesh (verse 39) ; the heavenly and earthly bodies (verse 40) ; the brilliancy of sun, moon, and stars (verse 41 ). - oú $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ $\sigma \grave{p} \xi \mathrm{k}$ ktॄ., Not all flesh (or every flesh) is the same flesh; but there is one fiesh of men and another flesh of beasts (of cattle, Ell.), and another of fishes. And (further) there are bodies celestial and bodies terrestrial. $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ėmoupávia, "bodies which are in, or belons, to, the oùpavot," Ell.; " boties of Antrels," Meyer, Alf., Stanley, De Wette, et al. Cf. Matt. 22. 30; Luke 20. 36 ; Eph. 3. 1o. Others understand heavenly bodies in the usual sense, i. e. sun, moon, and stars; Godet, Hodge, and so generally. $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ĖTi $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in i \alpha$, bodies belonging to the earth, i. e. of men and animals, in contrast with those which are in the heavens. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \mathfrak{c} \rho a$ (nom. sing. fem.) $\mu \grave{\Sigma} \nu$
$\kappa \tau \mathcal{\epsilon}$. , but the glory of the celestial is one, and that of the terrestrial is another.

 one glory of the sun, and another glory of the moon, and another glory of the
 $\gamma \mathrm{a} p$ ктé., for star differs from star in glory. The bearing of all these well-known facts on the subject under consideration is made plain in what follows.
 of the dead. oütws, thus, as just described; unity and yet diversity. кaí, also, the correspondence of what follows with what precedes. -
 verse 37) in corruption. With $\sigma \pi \epsilon!\rho \in \tau \alpha l$, sc. $\tau 亠$ 立 $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu$. ìv $\phi \theta o \rho a ̂$, i. e. in a state of decay when it is buried. - ধ́ $\gamma \epsilon i p \epsilon \tau a l$ év áp9apoia, it is raised in incorruption (without any element of decay). Cf. verses 50, 52. - $\sigma \pi \in\{-$ pєтal èv árcuía, it is sown in dishonor, referring to the state èv $\phi \theta 0 \rho \overline{a ̆ .}$ -

 wenkness, it is raised in power, - another striking contrast. Of the power and resources of the resurrection body we have now little conception.
 mal body; a body adapted to the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, the principle of animal life, which men have in common with the brutes, Lex. Th.). - ह̇yєiperal $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu a \operatorname{\pi vev-}$ $\mu a \tau\llcorner\kappa o ́ v$, It is raised a spiritual body (a body adapted to the $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$, the highlest and noblest part of man, Lex. Th.; the part in which the Holy Spirit dwells and works, Rom. S. II, 16). We find here a direct answer to the
 a nutural body (an animal body), there is a spiritual body also. The first would not be questioned ; the last is equally certain. Note the emphatic form ě $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$, Lat. existit.

Vv. 45, 46. oütcs kal үéरpamtal, Thus it has been zuritten also. The statement in the last verse confirmed in part by a free citation from the
 Adam became a living soul ( $\epsilon$ is $\psi \psi \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma a \nu$, entered into the condition of a living soul). Thus far the citation; Paul adds the remainder of the
 (i. e. the Christ) a life-giving spirit (sc. É $\gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \in \tau o$ ). This he became in his resurrection and ascension. - "The last Adam." Cf. Rom. 5. 14. Note the contrast between $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ and $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$. - In verse 46 the order of the
 not first (was) the spiritual, but the natural; after that the spiritual:
$\tau \delta \pi \nu \in \cup \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu, \tau \delta \psi \psi \chi \iota \kappa \delta ́ \nu$, adjs. with the article as substs. Winer, § 18. 3.
 earthy, - the source and substance of the first man. Cf. Gen. 2. 7,
 ond representative man; the second, in order of time, of the two here presented to the mind) is of heazen (the source). The contrast between the first and second man is general, and prepares the mind for what follows. The question whether Adam would have died if he had remained holy is not here alluded to, and would be foreign to the argument. That he might have been preserved in perfect health by partaking of "the tree of life" (Gen. 2. 9; 3.22), or that the "earthy " body might have been changed to the "spiritual" body, like that of Christ, is not incredible. We can form but an imperfect idea what this earth and the human family might have been had not sin entered the world. - oios o xoïkós, $\kappa \tau \epsilon$., As is the earthy, such are those also who are earthy. Both are made of dust, and unto dust must return. - кal oîos ó émovpávios, $\kappa \tau \mathfrak{\varepsilon}$., and as is the hearenly (the one who was from heaven and has ascended into heaven), such are those also who are heavenly; who have the character and the destiny of the heavenly. Cf. Phil. 3.20, 21. "The entire race bears the character of the one from whom it is descended. As was Adam, such the humanity from Adam ; as the glorified Christ, such the humanity

 stantly, to wear, Lex. Th.) $\kappa \tau \epsilon$., And just as we have borne the image of the earthy, zue shall bear the image of the heaventy also. The reading $\phi$ opé $\sigma \omega-$ $\mu \in \nu$, aor. subjunc. st. фopé $\sigma o \mu \in \nu$, fut. indic., is another instance of the confounding of $o$ and $\omega$. Cf. Rom. 5. I, note on | $\epsilon$ |
| :---: |
| $\chi$ | $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. - $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ єiкóva тov xoürov, the image or likeness of the earthy, is the $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \psi v \chi \iota \kappa \delta \nu ; ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 bear," i. e. after the resurrection.

The question of the identity of our present and future bodies - the natural (or animal) and the spiritual - has been much discussed, but, owing to our present ignorance, with not very satisfactory results. The fact of such identity seems to be implied in the statements of Paul. Many, however, think this impossible and even absurd. Yet how few reflect upon the scientific fact that our present physical bodies, while retaining perfectly their recognized identity, change completely the physical material of which they are composed every few years! Is not this acknowledged fact as strange and unaccountable as the identity of our present and future bodies, - the body adapted to the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ and that which will be adapted to the $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ ?

Vv. $50-53$. See general analysis.
V. 50. Tov̂тo $\delta$ é $\phi \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$, ктé., And this (what follows) I say, I affirm,
brethren, that flesh and blood cannot inherit Goil's kingzdom, weither does

 and blood, the most perishable portion of our bodies in their present con-
 ing the impossibility in the plainest terms. The verse is a confirmation of what precedes, and is preparatory to what follows.
 not hitherto known, but revealed to me). - $\pi$ ávтєs oủ коц $\mu \eta \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$
 struction of this much disputed clause ; so Alf., Ell., Edwards, Godet, et al. Yet the construction of Meyer, Winer, and the American revisers is more strictly grammatical and equally logical. It presents also the word $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma \sigma \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a$ in verses 51 and 52 as predicated of the same persons. Thus, We all (all who shall be alive at that time) slall not slecp, but we all shall be changed. Cf. I Thess. 4. 15-17.
 ì $\phi a \lambda \mu \mathrm{ov}$, in the truinkling (the glance) of an eye; not with prolonged physical suffering, as in the ordinary process of dissolution. - ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v} \tau \mathrm{y}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi$ árn $\sigma$ ád $\pi เ \gamma \gamma\llcorner$; at the last trump. $̇ \nu$ w. dat., time when or in which. - $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \sigma \in L(\sigma a \lambda \pi i \xi \omega)$ үáp, kai oi vekpol kтE., for the trumpet will sound (verb impersonal), and the dead will be raised incorruptible (ăфAaprot, not liable to corruption, imperishable, Lex. Th.). - of $v \in$ кpoi (generic article), the dead as a class, all the dead, all that are in their graves. Cf. John
 Here again, as above, the apostle groups himself with the class to which he then belonged.
 sary that this corvuftible fut on ('̇̀ $\nu \dot{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta a t$, aor. inf., fr. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{u} \nu \omega$, to denote an accomplished fact) incorruption, and that this mortal put on immortulity.
 is liable to die. The same general thought in both words ; the idea repeated and emphasized after the manner of Hebrew poetry. The change from the corruptible and mortal to the incorruptible and immortal must ( $\delta \in i$ ) take place in the future.
 But when this corruptible shall haze put on incorruption and this mortal shall haze fut on immortality. "A repetition, how triumphant, of the same mighty words." Meyer. - тóтє $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l ~ \kappa \tau \varepsilon \in .$, then will come to fass (will be realized) the word which has been written. - кaтeสó日 (ката$\pi i \nu \omega$ ) . . . cis vikos, death is swallowed up (aor. expressing the certainty of the future event) into victory. A free citation from Isaiah 25. 8, He shall swallow up death forever.
 $O$ death, is thy victory? Where, $O$ death, is thy sting? A most triumphant exclamation, similar to the words in Hosea 13.14. nov̂; where?
 the sting. Death seems here to be represented as having a sting like that of a scorpion. Cf. Rev. 9. Io. This is more fully explained in the
 $\sin$ ( $\dot{\eta}$ ápaptia, generic article), and the power of sin is the lazv. With verse 56 cf. Rom. S. 5 ff . "The law, as Dorner clearly states the case, is the objective ground of sin's possibility; it becomes the power of sin by revealing God's wrath or displeasure, and thus forcing the evil state to crisis." Ell.
 be to God who is giving ( $\delta i \delta o$ ovtt, pres.) to us the victory through our Lord Fesus Christ. Sin still exists and death has its sting, but God is giving us the victory. He has condemned $\sin$ (Rom. 8. 3); he has deprived
 édpaiol $\gamma \mathbf{i v e \sigma t \epsilon}$, kтé., Wherefore, my beloved brethren, (in view of our assured victory) become steadfast (firm), unmovable, ( $\mathfrak{\alpha}-\mu \in \tau \alpha-\kappa i \nu \eta \tau o s)$, abounding in the work of the Lord always, knowing (since ye know) that your toil is not vain in the Lord. A fitting exhortation to follow the entire discussion in this chapter, and a comforting assurance with the future life in view. It forms also a connecting link with the chapter following.

Chap. XVI. Directions respecting the collections for the poor in Jerusalem, suggested probably by an inquiry in the letter to Paul ; cf. 7. I ; 8. I ; 12. I (vv. I-9). A word of commendation respecting Timothy and Apollos (vv. 10-12). Exhortations and salutations (vv. 13-24).
V. I. $\Pi_{\epsilon \rho l} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta ̂ S$ doyias $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s} \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., Nozo ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ continuative, like the Eng. nowu) concerning the collection for the saints. The Corinthians would understand the reference. In Rom. 15. 26, Paul adds $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ 'I $\epsilon \rho \circ \sigma \sigma a \lambda \eta$ n. The destitution and sufferings of the church in Jerusalem may have arisen from the peculiar persecutions which would very likely be experienced there. Although they gave up their possessions for the relief of the most needy (cf. Acts 2.44 ff .), yet these proved insufficient, and hence they were aided by Christian churches elsewhere. - シ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \delta$ เヒ́ $\tau \alpha \mathfrak{Z} \alpha$ ( $\delta(a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega) \kappa \tau \in \cdot$, , as I gave order to (arrangred for) the churrches of Galatia, so also do ye. This order was probably given on the journey through Galatia; Acts 18.23 . "Galatarum exemplum Corinthiis, Corinthiorum exemplum Macedonibus (2 Cor. 9. 2), et Macedonum Romanis proponit
(Rom. 15. 26). Magna exemplorum vis." Beng. (He sets the example of the Galatians before the Corinthians, the example of the Corinthians before the Macedonians, and that of the Macedonians before the Romans. Great is the power of examples !)
V. 2. karà $\mu$ iav $\sigma a \beta \beta a \dot{\tau} o v$, On cvery first day of the week. katá with the acc. often distributive. $\mu$ íav for $\pi \rho \omega \prime \tau \eta \nu$, a Hebrew idiom. - ékaotos
 whatsocver he may accumulate (whatsocier he may gain by prosperous business) : $\pi a \rho ’$ éautஸ̂, by himself, at home; zu Hause, chez lui: ó $\tau \iota$ d̀v $\epsilon \mathcal{v} \circ \delta \hat{\omega} r a \iota$ (pres. subjunc., fr. $\epsilon \dot{u} \sigma \delta o ́ \omega$, deriv. $\epsilon \hat{u}$, well, and óסós, a way or
 zuhatsoever may be gained (verb mid. or pass.) : $6 \eta \sigma a u p i \xi \omega v$, storing it up, keeping it as a treasure, and not using it, but having it ready. - iva
 then be made. This verse is often, and very properly, referred to as the apostolic method of raising funds for benevolent purposes. In a community consisting largely of poor and laboring people, like most of the Christian churches of that day, this method is to be especially commended.
 $\mu a ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon(\delta o \kappa \iota \mu \dot{S} \zeta \omega)$, ктє̇., And when I arrièe, whomsoever ye may' approve, these furnished zvith letters I will send to bear your gift (your favor) into Ferusalem. - $\delta \iota^{2}$ érrovo $\omega \hat{\omega}$, through letters, furnished wilh etc. It seems more natural to comnect this with what follows; so Chrys., Theoph., and most modern expositors. Some, however, W-H. et al., join it with $\delta о к \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$. The plural indicates several letters of commen-
 (worth the while, Lex. Th.) that I also go ( $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ w. infin., Winer, § 44.4), they will go in comfany" with me. "That the apostle did go to Jerusalem with these offerings would seem to be clear from Acts 20.3; 21. 17, compared with Acts 24. 17. This was his fifth journey to that city; he had previously borne alms thither on his second journey; see Acts II. 29, seq." Ell.
 have gone through Macedonia ( $\epsilon \rho$ ºpoual, to come, or to go; usu., to come. $\delta_{t-\epsilon} \rho \chi o \mu a t$, to go therough). From 2 Cor. I. I 5 we learn that it had been his intention to go from Ephesus (the place of writing this letter) by way of Corinth to Macedonia; then from Macedonia back to Corinth, and after that to Judaea. This plan he had changed ( 2 Cor. I. I5, 23 ff .), and had determined to go first to Macedonia, thence to Corinth, where he hoped to spend some time and perhaps to pass the winter. In the second epistle we find him actually on his journey towards and through

Macedonia (2 Cor. 2. 13; 8. 1; 9. 2, 4), and on the way to Corinth (2 Cor. 12. 14; 13. 1). See also Acts 20. 1, 2. - Makeסoviav үàp ктé., for I amı going through Macedonia. Such is my intention, yet I shall make no stay there. A misinterpretation of the pres. $\delta$ t'f $\rho \chi o \mu a t$ (denoting here an immediate intention, not that he was actually on the journey) has led to the erroneous impression on the part of some that this epistle was sent from Philippi. - $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{c k} k \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., and with you (or, having come to you) perhaps I will remain (a while) or even pass the winter. ruxóv, as adv. Lex. Th. $\tau v \gamma \chi$ á $\nu \omega$. - iva ípeis $\mu \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \in$., that you may send me forward wherever I may go. $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$, to send forward, often implies in the N. Test. to furnish with the requisites for the journey: ố $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$, Att. ă $\nu$, wherever. Lex. Th. ös, $\bar{\eta}, 8$, II. II. Paul was then wishing to proceed first to Jerusalem, after that to Rome. Acts 19. 21.
 do not wish now to see you in passing, i. e. merely for a day or two. Whether he had previously made them a brief visit of this kind is not
 much longer time than $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o \delta \delta \omega)$ with yout, if the Lord permit (the condition ever to be borne in mind).
 (the place where the epistle was written) till Pentecost (about the middle of May ; and apparently not very remote from the date of writing. At that time of the year the facilities for travelling northward to and through Macedonia wnuld be much greater). - Өúpa Yáp (the reason for his re-
 door is opened (is standing open) to me, great and effectual; - a striking figure, denoting the extensive and promising field for evangelical labor. -
 reason for all the more effort. Cf. Acts 19. 23 .
 his way through Macedonia (Acts 19. 22), and would probably arrive in Corinth later than this epistle. We learn from 2 Cor. I. I, that Timothy was still in Macedonia when the second epistle was written. - $\beta \lambda \dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{i v a}$ $\kappa \tau \mathcal{\epsilon} .$, see to it that he become without fear in relation to you. Timothy was still a young man (cf. I Tim. 4. 12), and may have felt diffident and timid on entering into the great city of Corinth among strangers. He may have needed encouragement; a hint as to the proper treatment of young men of promise at all times. - тò үàp Etpyov ктє., for he is doing

 any one therefore set him at naught. Cf. I Tim. 4. 12. $\mu \eta \delta \in i s$, $o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$


катафроעє́ف．－$\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi a \tau \epsilon$（aor．imperat．；verse 6，$\pi \rho о \pi є ́ \mu \psi \eta \tau \tau$ ，aor． subjunc．）$\delta$ è aủròv $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ．，But send him forzuard（cf．verse 6，note）in Feace； in such a frame of mind as might be expected after a kind reception ；the opposite of that which would result from contemptuous treatment．－iva ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\eta}$ kTe．，that he may come to me ；for I am expecting him with the breth－ ren．Who the brethren were，except Erastus of Corinth（Acts 19．22）， is not known．

V．12．Пєpl $\delta$ è＇Aто入入̀ $\kappa \tau \hat{\varepsilon}$ ．，But concerning Afollos the brother，I be－ sought him much that he would come to you with the brethren：i．e．those who were to take this letter to Corinth；probably Corinthians．Note how affectionately Paul here speaks of Apollos：certainly not as one would speak of a dangerous rival，or of one who was creating divisions in the church．－кal $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega s$ ov่к $\mathfrak{\eta} v \kappa \tau \in \in$ ．，and certainly it was not a thing de－ sired（on his part）to come now，but he will come when he may have a faror－ able opportunity．Perhaps，owing to the peculiar state of things in the Corinthian church，Apollos thought it inexpedinnt to visit them just at present，notwithstanding the urgent request of Paul．Perhaps the bearers of this letter might explain more fully the reasons why Apollos postponed his visit for the present．In Acts 18.24 ff ．，we are informed that Apollos had been in Ephesus previously，and had gone thence to Achaia．This therefore was at least his second visit in Ephesus ；though he may have been away at the time when Paul finished this letter，as no salutation is sent from him．To refer $\tau \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon^{\prime} i, \eta \mu \alpha$ to the divine will is less natural．
 （wide awake），stand firmly in the faith，conduct yoursclees manfully，increase in strength，let all things on your part be done in Christian love．Note the five points，－vigilance，steadfastness in the faith，manliness，spiritual strength，Christian love as the sphere in which all these traits of character appear and are exercised．Note also that the five imperatives are all in the present tense，expressing something to be continued．

Vv．I5，16．Парака入ڤ̂ ठè ípâs，ктє．．，And I beseech you，brethren，－ ye knowe the house of Stephanas（ $\Sigma \tau \in \phi$ àâ，gen．ist declens．，nom．$\Sigma \tau \in \phi a \nu a ̂ s)$ ， that it is the first－fruits of Achaia，and（that）they（the house of Stephanas） arranged themselves（set themselves in order）for service to the saints，－that you also（as well as the house of Stephanas）set yourselves in order under． the lead of such persons（those who are thus devoted）and of every one who works together with（them）and toils．The house of Stephanas first mentioned in ch．I．16．Note the N．Test．meaning of Achaia．See Lex．Th．

Vv．17．18．And I rejoice at the coming（or the presence）of Stephanas and Fortunatus and Achaïcus；because that which was lacking on your fart these supplied（＂they by their presence supp！ied your place in your ab－
sence," Th. They have filled the void, the vacant place, occasioned by your absence, Godet). - àvémavoav (àva-mav́a) үàp $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, For they refreshed my stivit and yours. How your spirit? The reflection on the part of the Corinthians that they had done something through their messengers for the comfort of Paul would afford them sincere joy ; for there must have been many in the church who esteemed and loved him. "It is a happier
 Knowo therefore thoroughly such fersons (as these, cf. verse 16). Recognize and appreciate their character and work.
 This would comprise the seven churches of Asia mentioned in the Apocalypse. Note the meaning of the word Asia in the N. Test. See Bible
 the church in their house salute you much in the Lord. èv kupíq, not an ordinary worldly salutation, but a Christian salutation, mo $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ as adv. much, carnestly, $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ oîkov $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. Aquila and Priscilla in Ephesus, as afterwards in Rome (Rom. 16. 5), devoted their house to the church for the public assemblies. Paul had met them in Corinth on his first arrival there from Athens (Acts IS. 2). Here he engaged with them in making tent-cloth; and they accompanied him afterwards to Ephesus (Acts 18. 18). They instructed Apollos on his arrival in Ephesus (Acts IS. 24 ff.). Afterwards they went to Rome, whence they had previously fled on account of the edict of Claudius; but returned to Ephesus (2 Tim. 4. 19). -
 church in Ephesus; a more definite salutation than the first one above $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \in$, , Salute one another with a holy kiss. On the reading of this letter in the assembly, they were to express their brotherly love for one another by a holy kiss; a frequent form of salutation. Cf. Rom. 16 . $16 ; 2$ Cor. 13. 12 ; I Thess. 5. 26. It was not to be among Christians the mere ordinary form of salutation, but a $\phi i \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ á $\gamma เ \circ \nu$, or a $\phi i ́ \lambda \eta \mu a \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta s$, I Pet. 5. 14.

Vv. 21, 22. 'O dं $\sigma \pi a \sigma \mu$ òs $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, , The salutation zuith my hand of (me) Paul ; the autographic authentication of the entire letter, which up to this point had been committed to writing by an amanueusis. Cf. Rom. 16. 22,
 $k \tau \epsilon \in$., If any one does not love (fails to love, neg. où), the Lord, etc. Note $\phi i \lambda \in i ̂$, st. à $\gamma a \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha}$, If any one has not a personal affection for the Lord. 一 $\eta_{\tau} \tau \omega$ (Att. $\epsilon \sigma \tau \omega$, fr. $\epsilon i \mu()$ àvá $\epsilon \in \mu$, let him be anthema. Cf. Gal. r. S; Rom. 9. 3.
 is in reading the English translation, with the preceding as one word; a transliteration of the Aramaïc cometh. Hence, those who do not love him will be anathema, accursed.

This Aramaic expression may have been in common use in the early church as a kind of salutation or watchword.
 ing; similar in form at the end of other epistles. - $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma a ́ \pi \eta ~ \mu o v ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon$ E. sc. ein, My love be with you all in Christ fessus; or better, sc. éativ. My love is etc. So Chrys., Theoph., De Wette, Meyer, Godet ; the only epistle closing with this wish, or rather assurance. Paul had addressed the Corinthians with so many and so severe reproofs that it was eminently fitting to close with an assurance of his love for them all, and of the sphere in which his love centred and had its life. Note that he does not close with the usual "amen"; which would be less suitable after an assurance of an existing fact than after a wish or prayer, as it is commonly found.

## SECOND EPISTLE TO THE CORINTHIANS.

For collateral information, consult again Conybeare and Howson, Farrar, and the Bible Dictionaries.

Date. Written in the third missionary journey, soon after the first epistle, in the summer or autumn of 57 (Alf.) ; or later, in 58 (Meyer).

Place of writing. Macedonia, probably in Thessalonica (not in Philippi).

Object of the epistle (cf. ch. I3. Io), to prepare the Corinthian church for his coming and work among them.

Leading points. I. Chs. I-7. A presentation of his apostolic character and walk. II. Chs. 8. 9. Respecting the collections for the poor in Jerusalem. III. Chs. ro-i3. A polemical defence of his claims as an apostle against his opponents.

The superscription, $\pi \rho$ òs Kopıv日ious B, is probably the most ancient.

Ch. I. Vv. r, 2. Address and greeting. Vv. 3-Ir. Thanksgiving for divine comfort and encouragement in trials and dangers. He is thus the better qualified to comfort and encourage them in ali their trials. Vv. 12-24. His vindication of himself in his relations to them.

 roîs áyiots $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu k \tau \in \in$. (included among those to whom the epistle is addressed), tosether with all the saints who are in the whole of Achaia (including Hellas and Peloponnesus).
V. 3. Eủdoүףтós ктє., Blessed, worthy to be blessed, or praised. Cf. $\epsilon \dot{u} \lambda 0 \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$. We may understand $\epsilon \neq \eta$, optat. of wishing, or the indic. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$.
 both nouns, thus uniting them closely together), the God and Father of our
 compassions, the compassionate Father. The gen. here is viewed by some simply as attributive; by others, as gen. effecti. Why not both? - the Father to whose character belongs compassion, and who also manifests

## I4 NOTES ON SECOND CORINTHIANS.

this compassion in his dealings with us. Note the usual force of the ending - $\mu \dot{\prime} s$. In è $\lambda \in \sigma$ and $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \lambda \in \epsilon \in \omega$, the idea of pity and mercy is more prominent, i. e. the attention is directed more to the object of mercy; in oiктьр $\quad$ ós, the source from which the mercy and compassion proceed is made more prominent. - kai $\theta$ eòs (article again wanting; connected closely w. o $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{p}$ ) $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., and God of all comfort, or of every comfort; from whom all comfort and encouragement come to us.
V. 4. $\delta \pi$ apaka $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ (pres. denoting what is continued) $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s, ~ w h o ~ c o m-~$ forts (and encourages) us. The plur. ist pers. we, us, frequent in this epistle, including Timothy and perh. others ; or, as Alf. suggests, the plur. may be "merely an idiomatic way of speaking, when often only the sin-
 specting, (über, Meyer,) all our affliction. Cf. Thayer Lex. ėmí w. dat. 2.
 are in any affliction through the comfort through which we ourselves are comforted of God. Personal experiences are the best preparation for administering comfort to others. $\epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \quad \theta \lambda i \psi \epsilon \iota$, in any and cvery etc.; $\bar{\eta} s$ attracted from the acc. of cognate meaning to the case of the antecedent. So the const. is usually explained ; but may we not understand $\delta$ ód before $\bar{\eta}$ § ? Cf. Acts 13.39 ; Luke 1. 25 ; 12. 46 et al. Win. § 50, p. 422.
 even as the sufferings of Christ (such sufferings as he experienced) abound (are $\pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \sigma$ ós $^{\prime}$ ) in us (lit. entering into us; not merely external, but experienced within), so throug'l Christ our comfort also abounds. This experience enabled the apostle to comfort others.

Vv. 6,7. The close relation between them and the apostle still further
 junc.) afflicted, it is (sc. 家玟) for your comfort and salvation, or whether we are comforted, it is for your comfort (your encouragement) which works in a patient enduring (a bearing up under) the same sufferings zohich ( $\hat{\omega} \nu$, attracted to the case of the anteced.) we also suffer. kai $\hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$ is $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, and our hope for you is steadfust, knowing (feeling assured) that as ye are partakers of the sufferings, so (are ye) of the comfort (the encouragement) also. єiốt $\epsilon s$, particip. denoting time and cause, while zue feel assured and since we fiel assured, agrees logically w. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s i n \mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, and is in the same const. w. the verbs in verse 6 .

This expression of fellowship and hearty sympathy was no doubt spontaneous ; and not, as some have suggested, prompted by motives of policy. Such was not the character of Paul.

Vv. 8-ir. A reference to some great danger to which the apostle had been exposed. Whether he refers to the tumult in Ephesus related in Acts 19, or to some other danger, is not certain.
 trial and sustaining grace) wee are not willing that you continue ignorant, bretheren. Of the $\theta$ ii $\psi$ ss, whatever it may have been, they were not ignorant; but of his experience under it they were not informed, and he now proceeds to tell them. Note the form of expression, occurring elsewhere in the epistles of Paul: Rom. I. 13; 11. 25 ; I Cor. 12. I ; I Thess. 4. 13.
 took place in Asia, that exceedingly beyond (our) power we were weished down ('̇ $\beta \alpha \rho \eta \dot{\theta} \eta \eta, u \in \nu$, Lex. $\beta \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \omega)$ so that zve renounced all hope, cuen of continuing to live ( $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi a \pi o \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l$, $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \pi o \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ : $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, $\zeta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega)$. This language could hardly apply to the tumult in Ephesus, Acts 19.
V. 9. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ (see Lex. Thayer; carries on and intensifies the thought
 have had within ourselves (in our own consciousness) the response of death (gen. of appos., Win. § 59. S. a. p. 531). On asking ourselves whether we should meet with life or death, the answer, the response, within ourselves
 $\kappa \tau \in \in .$, that wee may not place our trust upon ourselves, but upon God who raises the dead. With this trust Paul could say, in spite of all human probabilities (expressed in $\check{\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon} \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \pi \pi o \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \tau \in ́ .$, verse $S$ ), I do not despair (oùk
 to be understood exclusively of the final resurrection, but as in Rom. 4. 17 ; Heb. 11. 19.
 rescued us from such, so tervible, a death and will rescue, in wohom we have hoped that he will also still (in the futture) rescue us, i. e. from such a death. The reference would doubtless be understood by the Corinthians. The thought in $\dot{\rho} \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ repeated for emphasis, and also to make plain the ground of confidence. - $\sigma v v u \pi o u p y o v i v \tau \omega \nu$ ( $\sigma i v \nu$ and $\dot{v} \pi o v p \gamma \epsilon ́ \omega$, fr. $\dot{v} \pi$ '́
 together (work togrether) in supplication. Note how highly the prayers of the church in his behalf are valued. We discover also the deep interest which the church in Corinth as a whole felt in him. - iva ék $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \neq \pi \omega \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon$. The simplest const. of this difficult sentence seems to be as follows: in order that from many faces the gracious gift bestowed on us (in rescuing us from death) throush (the supplication of) many may be acknoziledsed with thanks on our behalf. "From many faces," as beaming with joy and gratitude. Cf. Meyer. "From many upturned faces." Stanley. This rendering retains the ordinary N. Test. sense of
 ("may be given thanks for," Alf.) $\dot{\pi} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho ~ \eta \quad \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$, emphat. posit., in our behalf, - the whole relating to Paul with Timothy probably included.

Many other constructions of this sentence have been proposed; but the above seems to me to adhere most closely to the Greek.

## Vv. 12-24. See analysis of the chapter.

 in their sympathy and prayers expressed in verse II) our glorying is this, the testimony of our conscience that in holiness and sincerity of God, not in fleshly wisdom but in the grace of God we lived (conducted ourselves) in the zuorld (i. e. among men generally) and more abundantly (did we conduct ourselves thus in our relations) towards you. - кaúरnots is usually viewed here as syn. w. kav́ұnua, ground of glorying; but Meyer understands it in the ordinary sense, the act of glorying ; and as explained by $\tau \dot{\delta} \mu a \rho \tau$ úpiov $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., the testimony of our conscience etc. -árıót $\eta s$, sanctily, religious purity, consecration; the word occurs in N. Test. only here (where there is some doult as to the reading), and in Heb. 12. 10. - тồ $\theta$ єov̂: the gen. here, as often, may be viewed in several different relations: in holiness and sincerity, such as belong to the character of God; such as he requires of us; such as he imparts to the believer. The last idea seems most prominent.
 wisdom, i. e. the wisdom which belongs to unconverted men. - $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ $\phi \eta \mu \in \nu, a ̀ \nu a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega$.

Vv. I3, i4. The statement just made that he had walked in the holiness and sincerity of God, especially in his relations to them, might be questioned by his opponents and attributed to a want of candor, or to the glow and warmth of a rhetorical style of composition (cf. ch. 10. 10), while the real facts were quite otherwise ; hence the affirmation of verse
 except what ye read, or also linow definitcly, acknowledge ; i. e. there is no concealed meaning or ambiguity in what we write; but the plain, obvious meaning accords with what you well know of me. - à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 并, other things thurn, except. Note the paronomasia $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-\gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, è $\pi \iota-\gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, - not easily transferred into another language. - $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \xi \omega \omega$ $y e$ wuill acknoweledge to the end. It seems logical, and simpler in const., to place a colon at this point. So Alf., R. V., B. U., et al. But Tisch., Vulg., Meyer, Kling, et al., place only a comma here, and take ö $\tau \iota$ кaú-
 did acknowledge us in part, that we are your glorying, even as ye also are ours in the day of our Lord Yesus. - àmò $\mu$ épous, in part, is usually understood to refer to the fact that some in the church did not know him well and acknowledge his true character; ye did acknowelddse us in part; i. e. part of you; those of you who have fairly tried me. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, et al. Yet this seems a forced meaning. May it not signify ye did

portunity? To read character accurately requires often special opportunitics and a considerable period of time. That we can really know no man thoroughly till he dies is no unusual thought. Thus the àmo $\mu$ f́pous may be in contrast with éms $\tau \in \in \lambda o u s$. He hopes that the opinion already formed from a comparatively short acquaintance would be confirmed $u p$
 I understand this, and all similar expressions in Paul's epistles, in the light of John 14.3, to mean the day when our Lord will come again and receive us unto himself.

Vv. 15-24. Further vindication of himself, especially against the possible charge of fickleness.
 as he had just expressed) I desired to come to you before (connect $\pi \rho$ órepod w. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \in i v)$, that yout might have a second benefit (a second token of the divine favor) ; a second benefit, explained in verse 16. - каl $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v \nu \tau \epsilon \in .$, and by you (throught the midst of you) to go into Macedonia, and agrain to come from Maccenonia to you (this would be the "second benefit" which he had plamed and desired) and by you to be sent forward into fudea; $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \mathrm{a}(\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega)$ ), cf. I Cor. 16. 6 and II.
V. 17. тоиิтo oûv ßou入ópevos ктé., Wherefore, while desirings this (the plan just described, to pass through Corinth on his way to Macedonia, and also on his return ; each visit of the apostle being a token of the divine favor to them) did I show fickleness? (R. V.) ; did I at all use levity (of purpose) ? (Alf.) ; did I act with levity? (B. U.). It is difficult to bring out the full force of the Greek by any English rendering ; particularly the force of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in a question (here with $\tau i$ added), and of ápa, I did not at all, as might naturally be inferred (äpa, see Lex.) use levity, did I? - ì a Sov-
 the things that I purpose (plan), do I purpose according to the flesh (i. e. as an unconverted man, with no spiritual enlightenment) ? Cf. Gal. 5. 16. -
 The article $\tau 6$ denotes that they were well known and solemn forms of human speech ; vai and ov̆, each repeated for emphasis; note also oŭ w. the accent. Do I, says Paul, form my plans, relying wholly, like the worldling, on my own judgment, changing my plans as seems best to myself, and not seeking the guidance of the Holy Spirit? The answer follows.
 in that (seeing that, cf. I Cor. I. 26 ; John 2. 18, et al.) our zuord to you is not year and nay; is not twofold, ambiguous, changing, - our word to you, i. e. the word which we preach. - $\delta$ тov̂ $\theta$ єov̂ $\gamma$ àp viòs $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., For the son of God, Christ Fesus, who zurs preached (heralded) annong you by us, by me and

Silvanus and Timothy, did not become yea and nay (sometimes the one, sometimes the other; ambiguous, doubtful), but in him has bien (and still is, $\gamma \epsilon \operatorname{\epsilon } \gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \nu$, pf.) yea; just this, never doubtful, never changing. Silvanus (this form used by Paul and by Peter ; the form Silas in Acts) and Timothy had been with Paul in Corinth on his first visit, Acts 18.5.
 firmation of the statement just made) as many as (however many) are the promises of God (implying that they are many) in hime is the yea. - evv av̉rû, as in verse 19, in him, i. e. in Christ Fesus. The promises of God were found chiefly in the O. Test. They were fulfilled in Christ Jesus. Sıo кal $\delta \iota$ ' aúrov̂ $\kappa \tau \in \in$ e, wherefore also through him (through Christ) is the Amen. In him is the unqualified affirmation, the $\tau \delta \nu$ vá, in him also is
 God through us, by our means: $\tau \hat{\omega} \theta \in \hat{\omega}$, dat. comm.; lit. for God to or towards, tending towards, his glory; the end to be kept in view by the Christian in all his labors here on earth.
 $\beta \in$ 'ßalot, firm) with you in Christ (makes us, having entered into Christ, having embraced Christianity, makes us firm), and anointed us is God, he who also sealed us and save the earnest of the Spirit in our hearts. - Ancinted $u s$, cf. Lex. रpíw, to consecrate to some sacred office, or work, by anointing. Cf. Xpıбтós. - Scaled us. Cf. Lex. $\sigma \phi p a \gamma i \zeta s$, to set a seal upon, in order to authenticate ; another striking figure of speech which would be well understood by the Corinthians. - And gave the earnest of the Spirit (gen. of apposition) ; gave the Spirit as the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, or $\dot{\alpha} \rho p a \beta \omega \nu$, see Lex., the foretaste of future joy, and the pledse of the future inheritance. Cf. ch. 5. 5; Eph. 1. 14, note.
 upon (invoke) God as a witness upon my soul, that sparing you (particip. conative, secking to spare you), I came not again into Corinth (according to the earlier plan, mentioned in verse 16). Cf. I Cor. 16. 5, note. But how "sparing you"? He had spoken in verse 15 of his intended visits as a benefit ( $\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho / \nu$ ). It appears from I Cor. 4. 21, that he had obtained subsequent information respecting the actual condition of the church which convinced him that, if he visited Corinth just then, he would be compelled to use severity; and he hoped that on the reading of the first epistle, with suitable time for reflection, the state of things would become greatly changed. - oủx ötь кvpıєv́o $\mu \in v$ к $\kappa \tau \in .$, , Not that we rule over (sustain the relation of a kúpoos over) your faith. We do not say this, nor mean to imply it. Observe in verse 23 he uses $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$, and gives it the emphatic position; but in verse 24 he returns to the first pers. plur., thus apparently including in mind other religious teachers besides himself, as Silvanus and

Timothy. - oủx, elliptical, sc. $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$, or $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \bar{\omega}$ (Meyer); a frequent ellipsis in N. Test. - $\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu \nu \eta \uparrow s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, your fuith, i. e. your inner religious life. In matters of church discipline, he might claim and exercise authority ; but their inner religious life was something personal, and for this they were
 a statement of his true relation to them) are helpers of your joy. This accords with the idea $\chi$ d́ $\rho \iota \nu$ in verse 15 ( $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha}, j o y$; $\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota s$, favor, benefit). $\tau \hat{1} \gamma \mathrm{a} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ 白 $\sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\kappa} \kappa \tau \epsilon$ (perf. in form, pres. in meaning, and intrans., fr. ( $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{l})$, for in respect to fuith ye stand, or by fuith.ye stand. Cf. I Cor. 16 . 13. A visit to them just at present would disturb their joy, without helping their faith; hence he concluded to postpone his visit for a time.

Chap. II. Vv. I-4. Continuance of the topic in ch. I, - the postponement of his visit. Vv. 5-II. Respecting the case of incest. Vv. 12, I3. From this digression, he returns to the historic narrative at the beginning of the ch. Vv. 14-17. Thanksgiving to God for the tidings received in Macedonia from Titus, with a reference to his office as an apostle ; its responsibility and glory.
V. i. "Ekpıva $\delta \underset{\epsilon}{ } \kappa \tau \in \in$., And ( $\delta$ é, continuative) I determined this (what follows) for myself (dat. comm.), not again in sorrow to come to you; implying that he had come to them bringing sorrow on some previous visit. So Alf., Meyer, et al. This interpretation of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda u \dot{u} \pi \eta$, in sorrowu to them, agrees with $\phi \in \iota \delta \delta \mu \in \nu o s$, I. 23 , also with verse 2. Cf. $\epsilon \nu$ pá $\beta \delta \omega$, I Cor. 4. 2 I.
 then (Lex. Th. kaí, 2. g.) who is he that maketh me glad, except the one who is made sorroivful by me? (दُ $\xi \bar{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v}$ w. $\lambda v \pi o v ́ \mu \in \nu o s$, sorrow proceeding out
 zurote this very thing (what I have above written, - the reason for my not
 row from those from whom I ought to have joy (lit. I oulght to rejoice). $\pi \epsilon \pi \circ \bullet \omega ̀ \mathrm{~s}$ èml $\pi$ ávtas $\mathfrak{u} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}$, having confidence (causal, since I have confidence) in you all ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ denoting the direction of the confidence : $\pi \epsilon \in \pi o t \theta \alpha$ in classic Greek, usually w. the dat.). This he could say (though aware of the antipauline party) as the language of love ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{a} \pi \eta$ ). Cf. I Cor. I3.7. - öть $\mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\xi} \mu \eta \mathrm{x}$ Xad $\kappa \tau \mathfrak{\epsilon}$., that my joy is the joy of you all, the expression, the substance, of his confidence.
 the statement $\pi \epsilon \pi o t \theta \dot{\omega} s \kappa \tau \epsilon$. If he had not been confident that his joy was their joy, if there had not been such an intimate union of hearts, he could not have written them with such deep emotion. For out of muck affiction and angruish of heart (note the expressive words $\theta \lambda i \psi \in \omega s$ and
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बvעox̂̂s) I wrote to you with (through, looking through) many tears. All this, Meyer suggests, he might say, though he did not actually hold the pen. - ovंX iva ктé., not that ye might be made sorrowful, but that ye might know the love ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \eta$ before iva for emphasis) which I have more abundantly towards your. - $\pi e \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$, more abundantly, not necessarily meaning that he loved other churches less; but that, in his present deep emotion, he was more fully conscious of his love for them. The comparative used thus for the idea exceedingly. Cf. verse 7.
V. 5. Ei $\delta \in ́ \operatorname{\tau is} \lambda \in \lambda u ́ \pi \eta \kappa \in \nu$, $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, But if any one (any indizidual) has caused sorrow, he has caused sorrow, not to me, but in part - not to bear too heavily upon him - to you all; i. e. the offence was not to me a personal matter ; I do not wish to charge this upon the offender, as this would be bearing too heavily upon him ; but while he has indeed caused sorrow to me, he has also in part caused it to you all. Thus we all together share the sorrow, and all together bear up under it. The const. iva $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \hat{\omega}$, like the Latin $u t$ w. the subjunc., often rendered by the Eng. infin. ; ট̇ $\pi \iota \beta \alpha \rho \hat{\omega}$, cf. I Thess. 2. 9; 2 Thess. 3. 8.

Vv. 6, 7. iкavòv тஸ̣́ тoเov́тఱ̣ ктé., Sufficient (iкavóv, neut. a sufficient
 definite) is this punishment (rebuke, censure) inflicted by the greater number, the majority. What this may have been, the Corinthians would know, but we are not informed ; only that in the judgment of the apostle it was sufficient, adequate, and inflicted liy the majority. - $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ тovivavtion ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta}$
 inflicting additional punishment) ye may rather forgive (show faver, a kind disposition) and comfort him ; lest perchance such an one be overwhelmed (swallowed up, кататоө介̂, ката-пiv $\omega$ ) by the excessive sorrow. With the thought here, cf. Eph. 4.32 ; Col. 3.12 ff.

Vv. S, 9. Sı̀े тарака入ิิ ípîs ктє́., Wherefore I beseech (exhort) yout to confirm (by a public expression of the church) towards him (your) Chris-
 ${ }^{\text {E'Ypa }}$ wrote also, i. e. I wrote in addition to the verbal message sent to you) that $I$ might know the proof of yout ( $\delta$ oкt $\mu \dot{\eta}$, a proof as the result of testing), whether in regard to all things ye are obedient (ready to listen to me).
 anything, I also (forgive); a motive for confirming in this instance Chris-
 I have forgiven, if I have forgiven anything, I have done this on your account (as an example to you, and with a view to peace and harmony in the church) in the presence of Christ (feeling that I was in his presence, thus acting solemnly and conscientiously). This rendering of $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \epsilon \pi \omega$
accords more nearly with the ordinary N. Test. meaning. Cf. Lex. Th.; also ch. I. II, note. Many, however, prefer the rendering in the person of Christ, i. e. in Christ's stead: but I think this idea would be expressed

 that wee (Paul and the Corinthian church) may not be overcome (overreached) by Sitan, that he may not gain the advantage over us; as would be the case, if discord and unfriendly feelings continued to exist in the church. - ou่ үàp aủzov̂ $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., for we are not ignorant of his devices (plans, purposes, the things wehlich he has thoutght out. Note the paronomasia, von'$\left.\mu a \tau \alpha, \dot{d}_{\gamma-\nu}-0 \hat{\nu} \mu \in \nu\right)$. Knowing these (being spiritually enlightened, i Cor. 2. 16), we should be on our guard against them.

Vv. 12, I3. 'EגAむ̀v $\delta \underset{\text { è }}{\kappa \tau \epsilon \in ., \text { And having come into Troas (on the way }}$ from Ephesus to Macedonia) for the preachings of the gospel of Christ (concerning Christ. Cf. Lex. Th. є่̇ary'̇iov) and a door having been opened ( $\dot{\nu} \nu \in \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta s, \dot{a} \nu o i \gamma \omega)$ to me (cf. I Cor. 16. 9) in the Lord (the sphere in which a door was opened to him), I had no rest for my spirit (note the use of the pf. é $\sigma \chi \eta \kappa a$ here. Cf. ch. 7. 5) from the fuct that I did not find Titus, my brother ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{w}$. acc. and infin., dat. of cause), but, having taken leave of them (those in Troas), I went forth into NLacedonia. It appears that Titus had been instructed to go from Corinth by way of Macedonia to Troas and to meet Paul there. Such was the understanding. The depression of Paul, however, was occasioned, not by his anxiety concerning Titus, but rather concerning the church in Corinth, from which he was anxious to hear.
V. I4. T $\hat{\omega}$ ס $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \in \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varphi}$ Xápıs $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., But thanks be to God, who alzuays conducts us in triumph (or makes us triumph. See Lex. Th. $\theta \rho \operatorname{la\mu } \beta \in \dot{v} \omega$ ) in Christ, and makes plain through us the odor of the knowledge of himn (i. e. of Christ) in every place. This verse indicates a great and sudden change in the feelings of the apostle, occasioned undoubtedly, though not expressly mentioned, by the tidings received from Corinth. The striking figures of speech in this verse would be readily understood.
 a pleasant odor of Christ among those who are being saved and among those who are perishing, - a confirmation of the figure in verse $14, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \sigma \mu \eta \nu$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon$. Wherever we are, in relation to God, we are a pleasant odor of Christ. - oîs $\mu$ èv к $\tau \mathcal{\varepsilon}$., to the one (the latter class) an odor (note that he does not say here $\epsilon \dot{j} \omega \delta i(\alpha)$ from death into death. Though an odor of Christ, yet Christ to those who reject him becomes a stone of stumbling ( $\lambda$ ítos $\tau o \hat{u}$
 the other (the former class) from life into life. Both $\theta$ davatos and $\zeta \omega \dot{\prime}$ are to be understood as eternal (Meyer). - кal $\pi \rho \dot{s} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ тavta $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon}$., And for these
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things (in view of such responsibilities) who is sufficient, competent? Such a thought might well occur to the mind of the apostle and to every Christian laborer.
V. 17. The answer to the question is not given, but rather implied in what he affirms of himself and his fellow laborers; of whom he says in ch. 3. 5, our sufficiency is from God. - oủ yáp ė $\sigma \mu \in \nu ~ \kappa \tau \mathcal{\epsilon}$., For (introducing the reason why they were put in such a position, described in vv. I5, I6), we are not as the many trading in and adulterating the word of God (thus seeking to make money out of it. Of this class among the false teachers
 speak) as (those who speak) from sincerity' (from simple and pure motives, with no thought of making money by it, - a motive which had been attrib-
 God, in the sight of God, in Christ, we speak, - a most solemn and emphatic affirmation: $\epsilon \kappa \in \in o v ̂$, from God, the source ; кat'́vavtı $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, in the sight of God, being conscious of his presence ; $̇ \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \stackrel{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{I}} \hat{\omega}$, in Christ, the sphere in which he lived and labored, and the subject of all his preaching.

Chap. III. Vv. I-3. The apostolic office. The Corinthians his recommendation. Vv. 4-6. His ability from God. Vv. 7-I I. The office far above any in the old covenant and far more glorious. Vv. 12, 13. Hence he discharges its duties boldly, and not as Moses with veiled face. Vv. I4, I5. By this veil the Jews still blinded, not discerning that the old covenant has ceased. Vv. I6, 18. But when converted they see without veil the glory of Christ and become partakers of his glory.
 commend ourselves? - a question suggested by what he had just said in ch. 2 ; again, as his opponents might say he had done, yet hardly with
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, or are we in need, as some persons, of commendatory letters to yont, or from you? - "we," including Timothy with Paul; - "as some persons," refers probably to the anti-pauline teachers who had visited Corinth. The answer, no! understood here.
 The work which he had accomplished in Corinth was his best testimo-
 hearts, known and read by all men. No contradiction in this figurative statement ; written in the hearts of Paul and Timothy, and yet not hidden from the world; known and read in the lives and Christian walk of the Corinthians. So is it ever. The life of a Christian church is a letter
written on the heart of the faithful pastor, known and read by all men. Note the paronomasia, - $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, ḋ $\nu \alpha-\gamma ı \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.
V. 3. The figure still further carried out and explained. - фavєрov́ $\mu \in \operatorname{VoL}$

 ग$\mu \mu \omega \overline{ }$, ministered by us (in the position of amanuenses. So Meyer,
 not zuith ink, but with the Spirit of the living God, not in tables (or tablets) of stone, but in tables (tablets which are) hearts of fesh (fleshy hearts). кapßiaus $\sigma a p \kappa i v a l s$, descriptive appos. w. $\pi \lambda \alpha \xi(\nu$. That which is zuritten with the Spirit of the living God in hearts of fesh may not be legible to the natural eye ; but certainly is legible to the eye of the converted man, who has been taught by the Spirit. The thought is thus summed up by Meyer: "Christ was the author of their Christian condition ; Paul and Timothy were his instruments for their conversion, and by their ministry the Holy Spirit became operative in the hearts of the readers. In so far the Corinthians, in their Christian character, are as it were a letter which Christ, through Paul and Timothy, by means of the Holy Spirit, has caused to be written in their hearts."
 expressed) we have through C'hrist (i. e. effected, wrought, by him) towarrds God (who superintends all and accomplishes all the great results) ; not (sc. $\lambda \leqslant \gamma \omega$, or $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega}$, cf. I. 24 , note) that of ourselves (as proceeding from our selies) we are sufficient (competent), to judge of anything (anything relative to the promotion of the gospel. Th.)., as if from (out from, originating with) ourselves; but our sufficiency is from God (as the source, $\epsilon_{\kappa}$ ). To repeat, -I do not affirm that of ourselves zve are sufficient (able, competent) to juddse of anything as if this sufficiency to judlye originated with ourselves, but our sufficiency is of God. From its position, $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ ' $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ is more closely con-
 (ikavów) ท̀ $\mu a ̂ s$ Stakóvous $\kappa \tau \in \in$., zuho also made us sufficient as mimisters (serz(ants) of a neww covenant (in distinction from the old. Cf. Heb. 12. 24. In this newe covenant, the condition of salvation is no longer the keeping of the Mosaic law, but faith in the atonement of Christ). - ov $\gamma \rho a \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi v \in \dot{\mu} \mu a \pi o s . ~ T h e s e ~ m a y ~ l i m i t ~ e i t h e r ~ \delta ı a \kappa \delta ́ \nu o u s, ~ o r ~ \delta ı a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta s,-m i n i s-$ ters not of the letter, but of the Spirit; or, a newo covenant, not of the letter, but of the Spirit. The former is preferable. So Alf., De Wette, Meyer, Neand., Hofmann, Kling, et al. - $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha$ represents the Mosaic covenant;
 ктévvet ктє́., for the letter kills, but the Spirit makes alive, 一 the statement of a fact, presented fully and boldly in many passages in the N. Test.; but especially, in Paul's Epistles to the Galatians and Romans. By reason of this fact, we are made ministers of a new covenant.
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 which brought death），in letters engraven（legibly engraven）in stones，wuas made glorious（lit．became in glory ；cf．Exod．24．16）．－є̀vтєтuт $\omega \mu \hat{v} \eta$ ，èv－
 Israel were not able to look steadficstly into the face of Moses on account of the glory of his face，that（glory）which was passing away．－$\pi \hat{\omega}$ sovx $\downarrow \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v$ $\kappa \tau \mathcal{E}$ ．，how shall not rather the ministration of the Stirit（which brings life，
 fut．with reference to the Christian dispensation，which was then just beginning．
 nation there is glory（sc．$\epsilon \sigma \tau i)$ ，much rather the ministration of righteousness abounds in glory．Note the contrast катáкрьтıs，סıкаıоб⿱亠乂卩ך ；also the latter idea in Rom．1． 17 ； 3.21 ff．；10． 4 ；cf．Gal． 3.13 ；and especially ch． 5 ． 21 in this ep．Note also the expressive word $\pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon t$ ；$\delta o ́ \xi a$ and $\delta o ́ \xi \eta$ ， both in the emphat．posit－The ministration of condennution．Cf．Rom．
 which has been made glorious（the ministration of condemnation，verse 9） has not been made glorious in this respect（lit．in this part，in this particular， i．e．in this relation）on account of the surpassing，the transcondent，glory （that of the ministration of righteousness）．This const．and explanation
 （a further confirmation of the superior glory of the new dispensation）if that which is passing away is attended with glory，much rather that which abides is in glory．Considerations addressed particularly to the Jewish mind．－$\delta$ od w．the gen．，passing throught the midst of；hence accompanied with，attended with；Rom．2．24；4．11；14．20； 2 Cor．5．7，and often．
 ring to the thought just expressed）such a hope，we use much boldness （freedom）of speech．－ $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \pi i \delta x$ points to the future；the realization had then but just begun．－кai oủ（elliptical const．；sc．$\tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \in \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ 亢 $\tau \grave{\partial}$
 our face a veil）as Moses placed a veil upon his face，so that（itpós w．the acc．and infin．denoting purpose）the sons of Isracl should not look stead－ fastly into the end of that whilh zoas passing aweay．See Exod．34．33－35． ＂That which was passing away＂seems literally and primarily to refer to the supernatural glory of his face ；but denotes figuratively the entire Mosaic system，which the Jews were so slow to renounce．
 they witnessed all this miraculous demonstration）their minds were hard－ ened，or their thoughts（ $\nu o \eta \mu a \tau a)$ became dull，obtuse．It is not certain whether voifuaza here should be taken in the ordinary sense of thoughts，
or of the faculties which think, minds. The general sense of the sen-
 to the present day (from that day till now) the same veil remains on the reading, or at the reading, of the Old Covenant (the Old Testament). - '̇mi may be understood either as local, on, or as temporal, at. - $\mu \grave{\eta}$ aंvaika$\lambda_{v \pi \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon v o v ~}^{6 \tau \iota} \kappa \tau \in$. The simplest const. (w. the punctuation and reading of Tisch.) is to view the particip. as acc. absolute: it (the fact) not being revected (to the Jews at the reading of the O. Test.) that it (the Old Covenant or Testament) is being done away in Christ. So Chrys., Meyer, Alf., Hodge, et al. Many, however, make the particip. agree w. $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda v \mu \mu a$, and read $\delta$ ö $\tau \iota$ (pron.) st. ö $\tau \iota$ (conjunc.). R. V. gives both constructions.
 tioned being revealed) until to-duy, whenever Moses may be rend, a veil lies upon their heart. - ทivíxa, only here, and in verse 16, in N. Test. Note the use of the word HIoses here, by meton. for the books of Moses; cf. Luke 16.29; 24. 27; Acts 15.21. This was undoubtedly a popular and common use of the word Moses among the Jews of that day, and can hardly with propriety be cited in the discussion of the question respecting
 (E่ $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \rho!\oint \Phi \omega)$ ктє'., but whenever it (their heart) shall have turned to the Lord, the veil is taken azuay. Cf. Ex. 34. 34. Other words have been suggested
 simplest grammatically and logically: their heart, or the heart of any one of them. - $\pi$ eplalpeital $k \tau$ é., the veil is taken azvay from around (the heart); pres. tense, expressing the certainty and the beginning of the future fact.
 the $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ (verse 6) which makes alive, which takes away the veil (verse 16) from the heart of the converted man. - ov̂ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \grave{~} \pi v \in \hat{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ ктє́, and where the Spirit of the Lord is, (there is) liberty (note the emphatic brevity of the Greek), - liberty, freedom, from the bondage of the law. The veil is removed from the heart of the Jew, and he turns to the lib-
 whose hearts the veil has been removed, who have been introduced into the liberty of the gospel) with unveiled fuce beholding in a mirror the glory of the Lord. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, Thayer, et al. The rendering of karom $\rho \rho \iota \zeta \phi \mu \in \nu 0$, reflecting as a mirror, has been preferred by many, especially of the older expositors. - $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ av̉т $̀ \nu$ єiкóva $\mu \epsilon \tau a \mu \circ \rho \phi \circ \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, are (being) transformed into the same image, or likeness (acc. of cognate meaning), from glory into glory (from one degree of glory into another and higher degree). - каАа́тєр ámò кvpíov $\pi v \in \dot{\mu} \mu a t o s$, even as from the Lord the Spirit (cf. verse 17). This transformation of which we speak is
a work proceeding from the Lord, and is a progressive work. Note the tense of the verb.

Chap. IV. Vv. i-6. The theme in Ch. III. vv. 12-18, continued. Vv. 7 i8. Relation of the outward and the suffering to the sustaining faith and hope within.

Vv. I, 2. $\Delta$ ıà тov̂to ктé., On this account (referring to what directly precedes), having (particip. denoting time and cause, zulhile we have, and seeing we haze) this service, even as we obtained mercy (in close logical connection with what precedes, according as, in propartion as, we obtained mercy, ท̀̀ $\lambda \in \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \in \omega)$, we fuint not, do not lose courrage. - à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ à àtl-
 things of shame, - those hidden things of which men are ashamed, things which from a feeling of shame they seek to hide. Expositors have specified many things which Paul may have had in mind; but it is better to view the expression as entirely general, i.e. to take it as it stands. It is not difficult to find applications of the expression. - $\mu \eta$ ो $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau 0 \hat{v} v \tau \epsilon$ ктé., not walking (not living) in craftiness, nor corrupting (handling zwith
 word of God, but by the manifestation of the truth (by showing it forth and making it plain) commending ourselves to every'man's conscience (lit. to every conscience of men) in the sisht of God (conscious of the divine presence, and so acting as to secure the divine approval).
 is (as a matter of fact, e้ $\sigma \tau \tau \nu$; note the accent) veiled (in opposition to the idea $\tau \hat{\eta} \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon l \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon(a s)$, it is veiled among those who are perishing (èv roîs kтé., among those, etc., Alf., Meyer, et al.; in, etc., R. V., B. U.). - '̇v ois ó $\theta$ eos $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, , in whom the god of this world hath blinded the minds (or the thoughts, cf. ch. 3. 14) of those who are without faith, so that the illumination of the gospel of the glory of Christ, who is the likeness of God, should not shine forth: $\tau \delta \nu \phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu \nu \nu$, the illumination, the bright light: of the gospel, proceeding from the gospel; of the glory etc., which tells of the glory of the (risen) Christ: who is the likeness (and manifestation) of God: aivyáral (aù $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ), to beam upon us, or intrans. to shine forth brightly.
 (in this there would be no illumination, no divine glory), but Christ Fesus as Lord and ourselves as your bond-servants (bond-servants of yours) for Fesus' sake. For this purpose alone do we sustain this relation to you. -
 tion of verse 5) God (is he) who said (Gen. I. 3), out of darkness light shall sline. (and this is fulfilled in our case,) who did shine in our hearts for an
illumination（to others）respecting the knowledse of the glory of God in the face of Coirist．＂The figure is still derived from the history in Ch．III．， and refers to the brightness on the face of Moses：the only true efful－ gence of the divine glory is from the face of Christ．＂Alf．
 tracasure（this knowledge of the glory of God in the face of Christ，which leads us to publish the good news）in earthen vessels，－a figure of speech which would be readily understood as referring to themselves in all their present human weakness and frailty．The most valuable treasures are now kept in what we call＂a safe，＂and were then no doubt guarded with equal care．Hence the surprising thing in this instance，and the reason
 arrangement）the exceeding greatness（dic üherschweengliche Fïlle，the abun－ dint fulness，Meyer）of the power（the power implied in $\tau \rho \dot{\partial}$ s $\phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu \partial \nu$ ктє́．，verse 6）may be of God（may be his possession，gen．）and not from us（lit．out from us，as the source）．一 $\hat{\epsilon} v \pi a v r i$（connect in thought w ．the first particip．in each of the following contrasts，verses 8,9 ） $0 \lambda$ ı $\beta$ ópevou $\kappa \tau \varepsilon$ ．．，being in every zuay（Alf．，Meyer，Kling，B．U．），on every side（R．V．）， pressed，yet not straitened（reduced to straits）；perplexed，yet not destair－ ing（not perplexed $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$－，so as to give up all hope）；pursued（persecuted）， yet not abandoned（of God）；cast down（to the ground，when pursued）， yet not destroyed（not utterly perishing）．－пúvтote（emphat．posit．，as
 about in the body the dying of Fisus，or，more exactly rendered，the puttings to death，the killings（Alf．）of Fesus．The sufferings of the apostle might well be regarded as a reminder of the violent and cruel treatment of Jesus whom he preached．－¿iva кai $\dot{\eta} \xi \omega \eta ̀ ~ \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．，that the life also of Yesus may be made mannfest in our bodies．（The plural here and throughout the sentence indicates that the apostle includes with himself his fellow laborers in the entire description．With this remarkable passage com－ pare the triumphant words of Paul at the close of his earthly career， 2 Tim． 4.6 ff．）．But how could the life also of $\mathcal{F}$＇sus be made manifest in their bodies？As the constant sufferings and danger of death on the part of those who were persecuted might be likened to the $\nu \epsilon \in \kappa \rho \omega \sigma \iota s$ тov̂ ＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ ，so their deliverance from dangers and death appeared as the same $\zeta \omega \eta$ ，which followed the death of Jesus in his resurrection（Meyer）．＂The idea is that of unity with Christ，or resemblance to Christ，in his life，as before in his dying＂（Kling）．
 the preceding）we who lize（the fersons livings）are always delivered over （pres．are always being delivered over）into death for Yesus＇sake（on account of 耳esus），that（the divine purpose）the life also of 耳esus may be made mani－ fest in our mortal flesh（the imperishable life in the mortal flesh）．In
 attention more particularly to the mortal and perishable, and forms a climax in the description. There is an emphasis and an air of triumph in the repetition. - $\check{\sigma} \tau \tau \in \dot{\delta}$ Gávaros $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., So that (wherefore) death works (is active) in us, but life in yous. The apostle and his fellow laborers, as the leaders in proclaiming this new religion, would be particularly exposed to danger from the enemies of Christianity; while the Corinthians in receiving the gospel would become animated by a new life, which they had never experienced in heathenism or even in Judaism. So is it ever in the propagation of the gospel. The leading preachers, especially missionaries, meet with great danger, while those who receive the gospel are elevated to a new life.
 of fuith (the same as that expressed in the quoiation, which sustains and encourages us), according to that which has been avrittin (according to the scripture, from the LXX, Ps. 116. 10), "I had faith, wherefore I did also speak," we also (in like manner) have firith, wherefore we also speak (pres. continue to speak). - єiठótes öтl ктé., knowing (fieling assured) that He who raised up (from the dead) the Lord Fisus will raise us also up (I Cor. 6. 14) with Fesus (Eph. 2. 6; Col. 2. 12; 3. 1; the resurrection of Jesus the assurance of our own resurrection), and will present us together with yout (at the general resurrection, before the throne where our
 we may feel assured,) For all things are on your account (for your sake), that the grace having multiplied (having become $\pi \lambda$ éov, more) through the greater number may cause the thanksgiving to abound ( $\pi \in \rho \downarrow \sigma \sigma \in \dot{v} \sigma \eta, \pi \epsilon \rho \downarrow \sigma-$ $\sigma \in v ं \omega$, to make $\pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma o ́ s$, abundant, overflowing) to the glory of God ( $\epsilon$ is w. the acc., with a view to, directing the thoughts into, the glory of God). Sià $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \lambda \epsilon$ tóv $\omega v$, from its position, is more closely connected with $\pi \lambda \in o \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$. For a similar const., cf. ch. I. II.
 ance in verses 14, 15) we do not faint, do not lose courage; but though ( $\epsilon i$ kal) our outward man is wasting away (decaying), yet our inward man

 which is for the moment (more literally, the for-the-moment lightness of our affliction) works out for us far more exceedingly an eternal weight of slory. Note the contrast, - the for-the-moment lightness of our affliction, an cternal zueight of glory; also the contrast in the individual words, - for the
 cf.ch. i. S; Gal. I. 13; I Cor. 12. 3 I: єis ímep $\beta$ oh $\eta$ v, only here in N. Test. The union of the two the most emphatic expression possible. - $\mu \hat{\eta}$ бко-
 the things which are sech (by the natural eye), but at the thingss which are not (thus) seen; for the things which are seen (by the natural eye) are timporary, for a season; but the things which are not (thus) seen are eternal. This clause contains the reason (introduced by $\gamma$ dap) why we do not continue to look at the things seen by the natural eye.

Chap. V. Vv. i-Io. The topic in the last ch. continued: the relation of the outward condition and appearance to the aspirations of the truly Christian laborer. Vv. Ir-2I. His method of dealing, and his motive ; his office that of an ambassador for Christ.
 feel assured that if our earthly house, the tent (in which we now live: orívous, gen. of appos.), shall have been demolished, thrown down, we have a building, a substuntial edifice, of God, a house not made with hands, eternal, in the heavens. - $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \gamma=\cos$, adj. of two endings, fr. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ and $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, ufon the eirth, in contrast w. $\epsilon \nu$ qoîs oùpavoîs. - $\sigma \kappa \hat{v} v o s$, -ous, a tent, a frail struc-


Vv. 2, 3. kal $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ (the copulative and causal ideas united; suggesting the importance of the thought to be presented) èv тoútw $\kappa \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$., For verily (R. V.), For also (Alf.), in this (tent) we groan, longing to put on over ourselves (to be sheltered with) our habitation wehich is of heaven, if at least after kaving also put on (this heavenly habitation, thus being sheltered by it) we shall not be found naked (destitute of covering and shelter). This interpretation of the sentence preserves throughout the metaphor which begins in $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu o u s$, and does not involve the theological difficulty which some have found, that Paul expected soon to enter the spiritual body, with which we shall be invested after the final coming of Christ and the general resurrection. I cannot think he was laboring under so great a mistake. The metaphor which Paul here uses, is in keeping with the words of our Lord in John r4. 2, 一in my futher's house (ė̀v $\tau \hat{y}$ oikía qoû $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$ $\mu o v$, in contrast with the oikía $\dot{\epsilon \pi i}(\gamma \epsilon \iota o s$, the $\sigma \kappa \hat{v} \nu o s)$ are many mansions ( $\mu o \nu a i ́$, corresponding to the extended description, oiкодо $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́к $\theta \in o \hat{v}$,
 interpretation is substantially that of Hodge among recent scholars; and of Anselm, Aquinas, and Rosenmuiller among the older scholars. No doubt, objections may be made to the above interpretation, and to every other that has ever been proposed. Perhaps the chief objections may be found in the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{o} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o i ́$, yet the change of meaning is not greater than often occurs in the use of metaphors; not greater than to speak of our bodies as tents, or hozeses. Note also that $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$-oồ $\nu \omega$ means
strictly and primarily to enter, to go into, to go under. The meaning. to fut on (a garment), is therefore a secondary, though a very common use, and is not entirely lost in this connection.

Vv. 4, 5. кal үàp of ôvтєs ктé., For indeed (kal үáp, cf. note verse 2), we who are in the tent (the present mortal body) do groan being burdened,

 (our present covering) from ourselves, (not that) but to put on (or to have put on by the divine hand) over ourselves (the heavenly covering). Note the mid. voice in its primary and secondary uses. - iva karamot $\hat{n}$ (kaza$\pi i \nu \omega) \kappa \tau \in$., in order that the mortal (the part subject to death) may be szuallowed up of life. The animating hopes, the joyous anticipations, of the apostle are noteworthy. They have not been unknown, nor even rare,
 Now he who wrought us, fushioned us (Th.), for this very thing (this transition from the earthly tent to the heavenly mansion) is God (the divine hand has done all this), who hats given to us the earnest of the Spirit (gen. of appos.), - the Holy Spirit as the anticipation and proof of all this.
Vv. 6-8. Oappoûvтes oûv $\pi$ র́vтote $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., Wherefore being of geod courrage always, and being conscious that, while at home, while divellings, in the body, we are dwellings away from the Lord (for we walk by faith, not by sight); wee are of good courrage, I say', and are well pleased rather to dwell azury from
 for (introduces the explanation and confirmation of the fact just mentioned) we walk by faith (looking away to those realities which are invisible to mortal eyes), not by sight (not, like the worldling, regarding solely the things which are seen around us). This sentence is parenthetical; and the thought in verse 6 is resumed in verse 8 , with a new construction, introduced by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, which corresponds logically to the Eng. I say.
 nestly (as much so as the ambitious man who is fond of honor), whether at home or absent from home (wherever we are), to be well-pleasing to him. - $\delta$ ıò кaí, zulierefore also, кaí comnects the thought in $\phi ı \lambda о \tau \iota \mu о \dot{\mu} \mu \theta a$ with
 troduces the motive for the earnest striving) wee must all be made manifest, made plain (with nothing by any possibility concealed, hidden from the eye of the judge) before the judgment-seat of Christ. -iva корioŋ $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\text {rat }}$
 receive (and bear away with himself) the things (done) through the body (i. e. whils still on earth in the body) according to what he has dene, whether (he has done that which is) good or (that which is) bad; - may re-
 $\phi \alpha \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \nu, s c$. ë $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \in \nu$.
V. Ir. EiSóres oûv ròv \$'ßßov ктє́, Knowing therefore (from the fact that we must appear before the judgment-seat of Christ) the fear of the Lord (the proper reverential fear) we persuade (conative, seek to persuade) men. Of what? Various answers have been given to this question. The comnection suggests, - we seek in our lives and in every suitable way to persuade men of our true character, of our sincerity and integrity. So in substance the majority of expositors, ancient and modern. Chrys., Theod., Theoph., Bengel, Olsh., De Wette, Meyer, Alf., Kling, et al. -
 made plain (we have no need of seeking to persuade him. With the use
 hope, I trust, that we have been made manufest in your consciences also ( $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, fr. $\sigma v \nu \in i \delta \eta \sigma t s$, consciousness; or, as denoting the moral faculty, conscience).

Vv. 12, 13. oủ $\pi$ ádıv édutov̀s $\kappa \tau \leftarrow$.., We are not asain commending (recommendingr, Alf.) oursclves to you (cf. ch. 3. £), but (we say these things, sc. raûra $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \neq \mu: \nu$ ) giving you occasion for glorying on our behalf (or respecting us). -iva éХ $\eta$ Te $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., that ye may have (such ground of glorying ) against
 $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \in \nu$ ктé, For whether we became insane, were beside ourselves, (as our enemies affirm,) it zutas for God, "in God's work, and to His glory," Alf.; "ein im Dienste Gottes stehender Wahnsinn" (a madness standing in the service of God), Meyer. - єitc $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ v o \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ( $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \hat{\epsilon} \omega)$, $\dot{v} \mu \hat{i}$, or whether we are of sound mind, it is for yout (to serve you and establish you in the faith). Thus in either case you have a ground of glorying in us.
 impels, Th.) us. This is given as a reason for what he has just affirmed. - "The love of Christ" is commonly understood here as meaning, Christ's love. So usually the gen. of a person after $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \eta$ is subjective ; yet the objective sense, love for Christ, is not by any means excluded. Cf. Rom. 8. 35, note. - кpivavtas tov̂ro $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, having decided, judjyed, this (after, i. e. dating from, our conversion, cf. Gal. I. 16 ; "having learned to regard this as a settled truth," Alf.), that one died for all, in behalf of all; accordinsly they all (oi $\pi$ ávecs, made definite as referring back to those just mentioned in $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ) died. "If one died the death of (belonging to, due from) all, then all died (in and with him)." Alf. "One was in the place of all, therefore all must be looked upon as dead; one has made expiation for the offence of all, therefore all are to be looked upon as having suf-
 died for all in order that those who live might no longer live to themselves but to him who died for them and has been raised (from the dead). We leave it to theologians to discuss the doctrines involved in this sentence. The one great, precious, fact, that he died to atone for our sins, if we be-
lieve; and that henceforth we are to live, not to and for ourselves, but devoted to him who died for us, this precious fact is made plain beyond controversy.
 the present time, are acquainted with no mann according to the flesh (in merely worldly relations, according to worldly estimates; in opposition to katà $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, according to the spirit. Cf. Gal. 3. 28). - єi кai $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon$., if we have evon linown Christ according to the flesh (i. e. as a Jew, from Nazareth ; in the way in which the unconverted world regards him, as we regarded him before our conversion) ; yet now we no longer know him (in
 Christ (as the element in which he lives and moves), he is a new creature, or creation. Cf. Gal. 6. 15 ; Eph. 2. 10; Col. 3. 9, 10; Rom. 6. 6 ; also verses 14, 15. - тà ápXaîa ктé., the old thingss have passed by; behold, thicy
 (in this new creation) are from God (the creator, the source, $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ) who reconciled us unto himuself (катал $\alpha \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \tau \tau o s, \kappa a \tau-\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \omega$, to changre complictely, to transform), who changed us completely and adapted us to himself throusch Christ (the expiatory sacrifice, verse 21), and gave to us the ministry of reconciliation. In this great work by which men are reconciled to God through Christ, he has given us the position of servants. See Lex.


 ing a world (or the world) to himself (changing its character and adapting it to himself). Note $\tilde{\eta}^{\eta} \nu . . . \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, was reconciling, a continued, progressive, but not completed act ; $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho ı \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$, in Christ, as the sphere in
 not reckoning to them their tresprasses (not taking these into account against them), and haiing committed to us (having placed in us) the word of reconciliation. $\theta \epsilon \in \mu \in \nu o s$, aor. a completed act.
 ambiassadors, as if God were beseeching, exhorting, throug't us; we entreat in behalf of Christ, be ye reconciled to God ( $\kappa a \tau a \lambda \lambda a \dot{\gamma \eta \tau \epsilon, 2} 2$ aor. pass. impv. of $\kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega)$. This and the following verse may be viewed not simply as an exhortation and doctrinal statement to the Corinthians; but as embodying the substance of the apostles' preaching on all occasions. - ròv $\mu \eta \gamma^{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v o ́ v \tau a}$ (aor. particip. fr. $\left.\gamma เ \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega\right)$ á $\mu a \rho \tau i a v$, Him who did not knowu sin (in his own experience, in his own nature), he (i. e. God) made (to be) $\sin$; the abstract word, as in the next clause $\delta u \kappa a \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \eta$, righteousness; sin, just that, and that alone; more emphatic than if he had said, made him a sacrifice for sin ; or made him a simner. Cf. кatápa, Gal. 3. 13.-
$\dot{\text { intè }} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, for us, in our bchalf, explained in the next clause. - ïva (the end in view) $\eta \mu \epsilon i s{ }^{\mathbf{s}} \gamma \in \boldsymbol{v} \mu \mu \in \operatorname{a} \kappa \tau \in$., in order that we might become the rightconsness of God in him. Cf. Rom. I. 17; in him, i. e. in Christ. The important doctrinal statement in this verse cannot be made plainer by any paraphrase, or explanation. It would be well for us all to contemplate it just as it stands.

Chap. VI. Vv. i-10. Further description of his work as ambassador for Christ. Vv. II. ch. 7. I. Most earnest exhortations to keep aloof from unbelief and impurity.

 that ye receive not the grace of God in vain. - $\Sigma v v$-, with him, is variously understood ; as referring to God (Alf., Kling, et al. Cf. i Cor. 3. 9) ; or
 idea is warranted by N. Test. teaching. Other interpretations seem less probable. - eis kevov, into that which is empty, void; hence, in vain,

 scys,

> At a time accepted (or acceptable) I listened to thee, And in a day of salvation I brought help to thee:

Thus far the quotation. The words following are the apostle's appli-
 ктos) time ; behold now is the day of salvation). Thus far the parenthesis, intended to present an urgent motive to follow the exhortation in verse I .
 agrees w. the subj. of $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ) no occasion of stumbling in anything. In this and what follows, the apostle seeks to show how by his own example

 be blamed, reproached. - à $\lambda \lambda$ ' év mavil ovviotávтes $\kappa \tau \in \in$. , but in everything as servants of God, commending ourselves (not as unfaithful, tricky, false; like the servants of men), in much endurance (steadfastness, vimo- $\mu \dot{\ell} \nu \omega$, to rennain under, to endure), in afflictions, in necessities (circumstances of necessity), in straits (narrow places), in stripes (blows, wounds, fr. $\pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \omega$, to strike, smite), in imprisonments (or prisons), in tumults (civil disturbances, seditions), in toils (hard labors), in zuatchings (sleepless nights), in fastings. A most remarkable array of circumstances, all belonging to one general class. Another and different classification follows.

Vv. 6-7. év áyvórๆтı ктє́, in purreness (or purity, in the moral and
physical sense. Only here in N. Test. and in some texts in ch. II.3. Cf. árvós, ch. 7. II ; ch. II. 2), in knowuledye (particularly the highest and most important knowledge, that of the gospel. Cf. I Cor. 2.6 ff .), in fatience (forbearance, "the self-restraint which does not hastily retaliate a wrong." Th. Cf. ímouov', verse 4), in kindness, in the Holy Spirit (the sphere in which he lived and worked; "the Power by Whom all these motives are wrought." Alf.), in love unfeigned (without any hypocrisy), in the zuord of truth (cf. Col. I. 5 : $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} \gamma \varphi$, article omitted here, made definite by the limiting gen. Win. § 19. 2. b.), in the fower of God (manifested in all our work. Cf. ch. 4. 7), through the armor of rightoousness on the right hand and on the left. Both ideas of $\delta$ oa w. the gen. are suitable here ; through, by means of; and, attended with, accompanied with. - $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta \tau \lambda \omega v$, the weafons of the heary-armed soldiers, of the hoplites; - the armor of rightcousness, that which belongs to and is furnished by the righteousness which
 ठ̈ $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$.

Vv. S-Io. Sıà $\delta$ ógnj kal átulas ктé, through (or attended with) glory and dishonor, through (attended with) ecil refort and good refort, as deceivors and yet true ( $\kappa a i$, see Lex. Th. kaí, 2. e.), as unknown (obscure persons) and yet well known (distinctly knozinn), as dying (cf. ch. 4. 7), and behold we lize, as chastened and not put to death, as sorrowful . . . as poor (needy) . . . as having nothing. So we appear to the world; such is the opinion which men have of us; and yet, the fact in regard to us is, that we are - always rejoicing . . . making many persons rich (wealthy) . . . and possessing securcly all things. Note éXovtes . . . кat-є́Xovtes (possessing securely an inheritance never to be taken away; in contrast with the possessions of the rich worldling). - $\pi$ ávra, all things, "the boundless riches of the heavenly inheritance." Alf. With such a climax, this remarkable description may well close.

 heart is enlarged (made broad: $\pi \lambda a \tau u ́ v \omega$, adj. $\pi \lambda a \tau$ ús, broad. Cf. Matt. 7. 13), -an expression of warm affection ; his heart was large, broad, enough to take them all in. How important this trait to the successful pastor!-
 $\chi \hat{\omega} p o s, a \operatorname{space})$ ह̀v ripiv, ye are not straitened in us (in ws ye are not forced into a narrow space), but ye are straitened in your owon affections (these are narrow and need to be broadened). Any lack of reciprocity, of mutual esteem, is not due to me, to my lack of appreciation of you; but on the contrary is due to the fact that you do not fully understand me, my char-

 I sfeak as to children (an affectionate term. The recompense of paternal
love is a duty of children. Cf. I Tim. 5. 4), be ye also enlarged (broadened, in your affections, as a recompense in the same kind).

Vv. I4. - ch. 7. r. Some most important practical instructions respecting intercourse with the heathen world; specially important to the Corinthian church.
 different, suróv a yoke) ảmiotous. Become not unequailly yoked with unbeliezers. The figure is borrowed from the yoking together of two animals different in species, e. g. the ox and the ass. - тis $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \tau 0 \times \eta$ そ̀ $\kappa \tau \in .$, for (introducing a series of illustrations, showing in a most striking light the incompatibility of such a connection) what participation is there on the part of righteousness and iniquity? or what communnion is there on the part of lisht with darkness? (what have light and darkness in common ?) and wout is the concord (the sounding together, the agreement) of Christ with Beliur (a name of Satan) ? or what portion has a believer (lit. is there to a believer) with an unbeliever? and what agreement (lit. wohat depositing together) has God's temple (lit. is there to God's temple) with idols? All of these are most striking questions and would be readily understood in their application. - ض̀ucis $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\left(\right.$ explanatory) vaòs $\theta_{\text {eov }} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., For we are a temple of the living God (in contrast with the lifeless idols in heathen temples); even as God said. - 8 tı (introduces the citation, which is partly from Lev. 26. II ff.;
 in them and walk (in theme) and I will be their God and they shall be my people. Cf I Cor. 3. 16.

Verse 14 has often been understood solely of the marriage relation. This is limiting unwarrantably an exhortation intended to apply to all the relations of this life.
 come out from the midst of them, and be ye separated (àфopíaөोrє, àфopíS $\omega$ ), saith the Lord, and touch not an unclean, impure, thing. Note the tenses of the imperatives : ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi} \mathfrak{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta a \tau \epsilon, \dot{\alpha} \phi \circ \rho i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$, aor. denoting a finished act : $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, pres. do not continue to touch, as you have done in the past. -
 Father ( $\epsilon$ is $\pi a \tau \epsilon$ 'िa, entering into the relation of Father) and ye shall be to me sons and duughters (eis, entering into that relation), saith the Lord Almighty. Cited freely, according to the LXX, from several passages. Isa. 52. 1 I ; Ezek. 20. 34 ; 2 Sam. 7. 14. This entire passage is an instructive illustration of the manner in which the N . Test. writers often quote from memory the ideas which are actually contained in the Old Testament. кúplos $\pi$ avroкра́т $\omega \rho$, only here in Paul's epistles. Frequent in Rev.
 above) having (since we have) these promises, beloved, let us cleanse our-
selves ( $\kappa a \theta a p i \sigma \omega \mu \in \nu$, кäapi $\langle\omega)$ from every pollution (defilement) of flesh and spirit, perfecting (bringing to a completion) holiness (moral purity, Th.) int the fear of God (the sphere in which alone all this is possible).

This verse is closely comnected logically with what precedes; hence the division of Alf., Tisch., et al.

Chap. VII. (Vv. 2-10). The effect of Paul's former letter as he learned from Titus.
V. r. See end of the preceding chapter.

Vv. 2-4. A hearty expression of confidence and love. - X $\omega \boldsymbol{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sigma a \mathrm{a} \epsilon$
 $k \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$, wee zuronged (did an injustice to) no man. This and the following clauses in reply, no doubt, to what his adversaries had said. - ousféva
 mann, we ozerreached (took aderantage of, Th. $\pi \lambda \in о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ) no mann. - $\pi \rho$ òs
 speak; for I hawe said before (ch. 6. II ff.) that ye are in our hearts to die togrether and to lite together (in death or in life ye are in our hearts; no change in my condition can change my affection for you). - $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \mu \circ$ or mapp $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text {ia }} \kappa \tau$ e., Great is my boldness of stcech (lit. there is to me much boldness of sfeech) toruards you, great is my glorying (to others) resfecting
 with comfort and encourasement (both ideas in the one Greek word),
 affliction, with both clauses preceding.)
Vv. 5-7. And (we have affliction) for when we had come into Macedonia (cf. 2. 12, 13) our flesh (the purely human, in distinction from $\tau \delta \quad \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha)$ had no rest (if we adopt the reading $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \in \nu$, it would seem that the pf. here is used, as often the Latin pf., in the sense of the aor.), but in everything we were afflicted (were hard pressed): without (were) fishtings; within, fears. But he who comforts-and-encourages the lowly (those who are bowed down), comforten'and-entiouraged us, even our God, in the coming of Titus. It is often difficult to determine whether the idea of comfort or of encouragement is more prominent in mapaka $\lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$, as both ideas belong alike to the words. It is to be regretted that we have no single words in English exactly corresponding to them. - os jućvov Cè ктé., and not only in his coming (and by his presence), but also in the encouragement in which he zens encouraged restecting you ( ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime}$ ' $\dot{\mu} \mu i \nu$, resting upon you as the ground of encouragement). - ni, sc. $\epsilon^{\nu}$, expressed before парак $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, understood before the relative. Winer,
 (for me, to see me again), yoner monrining (that you had so grieved me), your zeal for me (in my behalf), so that I rejoiced ( $\chi$ apî̀vat, גaipw) the more

Con the receipt of such good tidings; more than at first on the arrival of 'Titus).

 in (by means of) the letter, I do not regret (having written it), though I did regret it (before the arrival of Titus), for I see (by the account from him) that the former letter, though but for a season, made you sorrowuful (and the reason why Paul did not regret their being made sorrowful is fully explained in what follows), now I rejoice, not because you were made sorrowful (not simply that alone), but because ye were mude sorrowful
 ye were made sorrowful after a godly sort (lit. according to God, as God requires, i. e. with repentance). - iva (the divine purpose in all this) . . . $\zeta \eta \mu \omega \omega \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon(\zeta \eta \mu t \dot{\omega} \omega) \hat{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$, that ye might suffer loss (sustain damage) from us (originating with and proceeding from us) in nothing.
 planation of the preceding thought) the sorrow zuhich is according to the divine zuill woorks repentance (leading) into sulvation, not repented of (zwhich cannot be regretted; " by litotes, salvation affording supreme joy," Th.). - $\alpha-\mu \epsilon \tau \propto \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \tau o v$, adj. of two endings, may qualify $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \nu o t a \nu$ or $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu$. The position favors the latter, and the thought is more strikirg. So the
 unconverted men have) works death; - death, in opposition to the idea salzation; "eternal death, the Messianic $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a, "$ Meyer. - iठov̀ үàp aủtò тov̂тo тò . . . $\lambda u \pi \eta 0 \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ k \tau \epsilon .$, . For behold, consider, this very thing, - the fact that ye were made sorrowful accordins to the divine will, - huau much earnestness it curought in jour, yea defonce (of yourselves to me through Titus), yea indignation (in view of the scandal in the church), yea fear (ne cum virga venirem, Beng., lest I should come with a rod), yea longing (as in verse 7, to see me), yoa zeal (to punish the offender), yea avenging (the actual infliction of some kind of punishment). For this
 fence of yourselves, not only verbal defence but indignation, not only indig-
 thing ye commended yourselves as pure (approved yourselves to be purc) in regurd to the thing (which had been) done (the scandal in the church). Beng. remarks, "indefinite loquitur de re odiosa," he speaks indeffinitely of the odious thing. Cf. ch. 2.5 ff .
 to you (making you thereby sorrowful, verse 8), (it was) not on account of him who had cione the werong, nor on account of the one who had been wronged (the father of the incestuous person, I Cor. 5. I), but on this account that your carnest regard for us ( $\delta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, emphat., YOUR
earnest regard for US) might be made manifist to yourselves in the sight of God (èvढ́mtov tô̂ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$, "a religious expression of uprightness and sincerity, 4. 2," Meyer). $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ w. the infin., denoting purpose, here governed
 this account (because this purpose has been accomplished) we have been comforted (and encouragad). And in our comfort (or ufon, added to, our comfort), we rejoiced much more exceedingly (lit. more exceedingly the more, cf. verse 7) for the joy of Titus (Lex. Th. $\begin{gathered}\text { en } \\ i \\ \text { w. the dat. } 2 \text { a.), because }\end{gathered}$ (introducing the reason why the apostle rejoiced more exceedingly, cf. verse 7, so Alf.; or explaining the joy of Titus, so Meyer, Kling, - the latter view seems preferable) his spirit has been refreshed by you all (àmó, from, proceeding from ; causal origin, see Lex. Th.).
 (an additional reason why Paul rejoiced) if in anything I have gloried to him respecting you, I wuvs not made ashamed, but as we stake all things in truth to you, so our glorying also in the presence of Titus became (was proved to be) truth. - кà $\tau$ à $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi v a$ av̉rov̀ $\kappa \tau \in \in$., and his heart is more abunduntly (turned) towards you (his thoughts and affections being directed into the midst of you, $\epsilon$ is $\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{as}$ ) while he remembers the obedience of you all, how with far and trembling ye reciaved him. I rejoice that in everything I am courageous, have strong confudince, in you. Lex. Th. 日appéw. Thus far the subject of the epistle has been of a personal character, - the relations of the apostle to the Corinthians; and he closes the discussion with a frank, full, and unqualified expression of courage in regard to them. We have, then, a passage in the religious experience of the apostle Paul under great difficulties, dangers, and anxieties. May it not be instructive and suggestive to the Christian in whatever station in life at all times?

Chapters VIII. and IX. contain the second general subject of the epistle, - the collection for the poor in Jerusalem. The preceding discussion, and in particular the last verse of Ch. VII., is admirably adapted to prepare the minds of the Corinthians for this topic.

Chap. ViII. Vv. i-6. The liberality of the Macedonians. Titus asked to complete the collection in Corinth. Vv. 7-15. Exhortations to the Corinthians to complete the work. Vv. 16-24. Respecting Titus and the two other brethren who were commissioned to engage with him in this collection.
 we make known to you, brethren, the grace of God which has been given in the churches of Maceionia. Their liberality a sign and proof of the divine

 tried，and proved by much affliction），the abundance of their joy and their deep foverty（more lit．their foverty reaching downzuard a depth，Win．§ 47， к．кatá）abounded unto（leading into）the riches，the wealth，of their liberal－ ity（their open－learted generosity）．Macedonia had been devastated by civil wars about this time；and hence the population were suffering from unusual limitation of the means of subsistence（ $\grave{\eta}$ кат⿳亠㐅兀口 $\beta$ átous $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ єía）．
 zvitness，and beyond their powver（cf．vint̀p $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota \nu, ~ c h . ~ I . ~ 8, ~ " ~ m o r e ~ r i c h l y ~$ than corresponded to their means，＂Meyer），of their own accord（they gaze， sc．$\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu$ ，expressed in verse 5），with mach exhortation，or encouragement， entreating us in regard to the grace and the fellowshis of the service to the saints（i．e．they entreated that they might have a share in this service，－ the sending aid to the poor in Jerusalem）．－$\tau \grave{\nu} v X^{\alpha} p \iota v$ ，closely con－ nected with $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ кoเv $\omega v i a v$ ，referring to the same thing．－каi ou кä̀ेs $\jmath_{\dagger} \lambda \pi i \sigma a \mu \in v(\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega) \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, and（they did this）not（simply）as we had hoted，but they gave themselves first to the Lord and to us through the woill of God；i．e．their great liberality was preceded by a spirit of consecration and self－sacrifice which we had not looked for．

Vv．6，7．eis tò тарака入єє́ซal ท̂uâs ктé．，So that we exhorted Titus （ $\epsilon$ is $\tau$ ó w．the infin．expressing either purpose or result；Win．§ 44， 6. The statement of Meyer，that this const．never expresses result，is op－ posed to the opinion of scholars generally）．－iva $\kappa \tau \mathcal{E}$ ．，（the purpose and the substance of the exhortation）that，as he had begun before（ $\pi \rho \circ \in\llcorner\eta \dot{\eta} \xi \xi a t o$ ， $\pi \rho o-\epsilon \nu-\alpha \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ ），so he might finish also，（having gone）among you，this grace also（this act of grace as well as other services）．－$\epsilon$ is $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{~s}$ implies the previous arrival，＂so that é $\lambda \theta \omega \dot{\nu} \nu$ may for clearness be supplied，＂Meyer．
 in everything ye abound（everything of the description which follows）， in fuith and eloquence（the fuculty of reasoning and speaking ；Lat．ratio et oratio）and knowledge and all earnestness and love for us（lit．the love from you in us，located in，abiding in，us，as the object loved），sec that ye abound in this grace also（the same as $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi$ ג́pov，verses 4，6）．－iva，see that， Win．§43．5，a．So in classic Greek；cf．$\partial \pi \omega s$ w．fut．indic．In I Cor． 16．Io，$\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is expressed before iva．In regard to this whole sen－ tence Grotius says，non ignoravit Paulus artem rhetorum，movere laudando．
 7．6）do I speak，but through the earnestness of others（using that as a test or a standard）proving the sincority（ine genuineness）also of your lowe．
(In this manner and for this purpose do I speak). - $\gamma \iota v \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$, For (referring to the power of example, and introducing the great example) ye know the grace of our Lord Fesus Christ, that when he wals (or thought he was) rich, on your account he became poor, that you by his foverty might become rich. Cf. Phil. 2. 6, 7.
 thought with ver. 8). I give a decided opinion (in distinction from $\dot{\epsilon \pi \iota \tau a-}$ riv, a commanal) in this matter; for this (the giving my opinion) is expedient for you, who began a year ago before others not only to do (to act) but also to zuill (the mind to act). Thus, if I should issue a command, I should do an injustice to you by not taking into proper consideration your own voluntary act of a year ago; but the expression of my opinion as to what is best to be done at the present time, this is expedient, and profitable for you; this does you no injustice. - árò $\pi$ 白pvol, adv. See Lex.
 oate (aor. impv.), But now compiete (as a finished act) the doing also (" now show not only the completion of a ready will in the act begun, but complete the act also." Alf.). - ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ ка巴ámep $\kappa \tau \epsilon$., in order that as (there was on your part) the readiness to will (lit. of the willing), so (there may be) the completion also out of your ability (nach Vermögren, Meyer, according to your means).
 is there (lies before, is present), it is acceptable ( $\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho \dot{\jmath} \delta \delta \in \kappa$ кos, adj. of two endings, agrees w. $\hat{\eta}$ ipo $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \mu \text { ial according to what it may possess, not according }\end{aligned}$ to what it does not possess. With this rendering, $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \theta v \mu i a$ is personified; - the ready mind put for the man himself. So the most. Yet some prefer the const., - a man is accepted (or acceptable) according to wehat he may possess, not according to what he dues not fossers, - understanding $\tau$ is w.
 mains the same, but is somewhat clearer with the last const., which is equally grammatical. With the leading idea of the sentence cf. Mark 12. 42 ff ., the story of the poor widow.

Vv. I3-15. ov่ $\gamma \dot{\text { dे? }} \boldsymbol{\kappa \tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.́, For (confirmation and further explanation) it is not (the principle of duty is not) that there may be (sc. $\widehat{\jmath}$ ) rest, relief, to others, (and) hard pressure, distress, to you; but that there may be (an arrangement resulting from, on the frincifle of) equality. After où, many supply $\lambda \in \epsilon \sigma$, For $I$ do not say this that etc. The thought remains the
 abundance entering into their deficiency (so as to supply their deficiency), in order that etc. With another punctuation, erasing the colon after ioór $\eta$ ros, the sentence would read, - but that by the rule of equality at the present time your abundance may enter into their defficiency, i. e. so as to become a supply for their deficiency (sc. $\gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \eta \eta \tau a l$. For the const. $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ єis, cf. Gal.
3. 14). Scholars seem io be about equally divided in respect to the punctuation. The thought is not materially affected. - ¿va kal rò éreivev $\pi \leqslant p / \sigma \sigma \in \cup \mu a n \tau \epsilon \in$, that their abundance also may enter into your deficioncy (as a supply for it; when the financial situation is reversed), that there may be equality (equitable dealing), as it is written (a free citation fr. the

 much (the abundant measure) did not have a superabundance (did not exceed the measure prescribed), and he (that gathered) little (the little, the small measure) did not have less (did not fall short of the measure prescribed). The leading thought is the equality when the manna had been gathered; and hence the application to the case in hand. With $\delta$ sc. $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \epsilon \xi\left\{a s\right.$, suggested by $\sigma v \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{-}^{*} a \nu$ in the preceding verse in Exod.; supposed by the apostle to be familiar to the readers of the epistle.
 puts (lit. who gives) the same carnestness for you in the heart of Titus (the

 $\kappa \tau \epsilon$., because he received our exhortation (to go to you. Cf. ver. 6. He listened to it, though he did not need it), but keing zery carnest ( $\sigma$ movסató $\boldsymbol{T}$ pos, cf. $\sigma \pi$ ouò̀, comparat. intensive), of his ozun accord (from his own free choice, independently of the exhortation) he went forth to your. Though Titus and the two brethren with him were to be the bearers of the letter, yet the aor. is used in anticipation of the time when it should be received and read in the church. This use of the past tense, both in Greek and Latin is frequent; Win. § 40, p. 278.

Vv. IS, 19. $\sigma v v s \pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi a \mu \in v ~ \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., And we have sent together with him the brother, whose praise in the sospel extends therough all the churches. - ovv-, in company zuith, $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ avंrov, particifating with him (with Titus). - $\epsilon^{\prime} v \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\epsilon^{3}$ aryeli i , in the gospel, i. e. as a Christian worker. - Verse 19 is viewed
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, and not only so (i. e. praised through all the churches), but also having been aptointed by the churches (by a formal vote. See Lex. $\chi \in i \rho-$-тové $\omega$ ) a follow traveller of ours (to Jerusalem) with this charity (this gift from the
 but nom. giving it more prominence, as if an independent statement. Cf.
 хápıcı $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta$, we should translate, in (the matter of) this charity, or this
 oversight and business management of the whole matter is entrusted to
 self (i. e. contributing to the glory etc.), and our readiness of mind (i. e. to show, and perhaps to further, to increase, our readiness of mind). Alf.,
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 $\tau \circ \nu \eta \theta$ eis, but the arrangement is against this const. and the sense does not require it. Most expositors have connected it with the words preceding.

Vv. 20, 2I. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0$ (agrees w. the subj. of $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \psi a \mu \epsilon \nu$, verse IS) тоиิто ктє., arranging, providing fur, this, that no one (lit. lest any one) blame us in the matter of this bounty (this great liberality, Th.), which is ministered (superintended) ly us. The appointment of this well-known and trusty brother to accompany Titus in this important financial business is a striking proof of the wisdom and carefulness of Paul. - $\pi \rho o v o o v$ $\mu \in \nu \gamma \grave{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{\kappa} \kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda} \grave{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., for we take thought for (are in the habit of doing this, pres. tense) things which are honorable not only in the sight of the Lord, but also in the sight of men. Cf. Prov. 3. 4; Rom. 12. 17, 18. "The misuse of the latter consideration is guarded against by ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ киpiov." Meyer.
 (with Titus and the brother above mentioned, verse IS) our brother, whom we have (tested and) proved in many thing's often to be earnest, but now muck more earnest than ever before) by reason of much confidence (which he has) in yout. Who this brother and the one above mentioned without name were is wholly unknown. Conjectures on this point are numerous, but they are without any historic value. - єíтє vimèp Títov ктє́. (Meyer, Alf., Kling, suggest the ellipsis $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$ or $\gamma \rho \dot{d} \phi \omega$ ), Whether (I speak) concerning Titus, he is etc. The R. V. supplies the ellipsis thus, - IWhether (any inquire) about Titus, he is a partner of mine, and towards you (in
 Here the const. is changed. We may render, - And if our brethren (the two above mentioned) are in question, if inquiry is made about them, they are messengers of the chutrches (note this use of the word amó $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda 0 t$ ), the glory of Christ: persons who by their Christian example and activity contribute to the glory of Christ. "Men whose work tends to Christ's glory." Alf.
V. 24. Tクेv oûv घैv $\delta \in i \xi ้ v ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon .$, , Show therefore to them before the chutches the proof of your love (your Christian lowe) and of our glorying respecting you (how well grounded our glorying respecting you really is). - $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 sc. Évтє, lit. (be ye) showing the shozuing. For a similar use of the particip. cf. Rom. 12. 9-19.

Chap. IX. Vv. r-5. The apostle recurs to the subject of the collection, and suggests that they should complete it before his arrival, and thus make good his glorying concerning them. Vv. 6-II. That they should give amply, willingly, looking for

God's blessing, with the assurance that they will receive it, and that God will supply the requisite means for their benevolence. Vv. 12-14. Effect on those who are aided. V. 15. An expression of thanks to God.
 closely with the exhortation in ch. 8. 24) concerning the service to the saints (the collection to be made and conveyed into the midst of the saints), it is suferfluous for me to write ( $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \iota \nu$, pres. to contimue zuriting,
 (feel assured of) your readiness, of which in your behalf (or concerning you) I ams in the habit of slorying to the Mlacedonians, that (introducing the oratio recta) Achaia has been prepared (to make and send the collections) for a year (lit. from a year ago, cf.ch. 8. 10), and your zeal stirred $u p$ (i.e. the influence which proceeded from your zeal stirred up, stimulated; cf. Lex. $\epsilon \rho \in \theta l \zeta \omega)$ the greater number (of them, i. e. of the Macedonians), or very many (of them).
 the brethren (Titus and the two with him) that our glorying (кav́ $\eta \eta \mu a$, cf. chs. i. I4; 5. 12) respecting you may not be made void (empty) in this respect, in this particular (Alf.), i. e. the matter of the collection. - iva
 - $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ द̀àv ${ }^{\ell} \lambda \theta \omega \sigma$ เv $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, lest by any means if there shall have come with me (any) Macedonians (to bring me on my way, or to bear the Macedonian collection, Alf.), and they shall find you menprepared, wee - not to say ye - may be put to shame in this confudence (respecting you). - £va $\mu \geqslant े$
 nom., sc. кaтaı $\chi \nu \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$. The delicacy of feeling indicated here has often been noticed. In no other epistle does he write in so frank and familiar a style.
 to exhort the brethren (Titus and the two others) to go to you beforehand (lit. that they might, etc.; єis $\dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s$, into the midst of yout), and previously (before my coming) prepare, arrange, your bounty (lit. blessing) promised bcforchand. Note $\pi \rho о-\ldots \pi \rho о-\ldots \pi \rho о-$ : $\pi \rho о к а т \alpha \rho т і \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu, \pi \rho о-к а т-$
 (const. unusual, $=\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \nu \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. .), so that this might be ready as (oüt $\omega$ s $\dot{\omega}$ s, emphat., so as, in such sort as, Alf.) a bounty, not as (a sign of) covetousness, an extortion.

Vv. 6, 7. Tov̂to 8́.. It is usual here to supply $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \omega$ or $\phi \eta \mu$ í. Meyer regards it as acc. abs. The meaning is plain. It calls attention to the important principle following it, - And this (note this, remember this).
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ingly, and he who sows bountifully (lit. upon blessings, so that blessings attend, Th.) will reap alsobountifully. Note the emphatic juxtaposition, -

 $k \tau \dot{e} .$, lit., Each one according as he has chosen beforehand, purposed, in his heart. We readily supply with ëraozos, Let each one do, or Let each one give etc. - $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ék $\lambda \dot{\prime} \pi \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ктé., not out of sorrow (with a mind full of sorrow and regret), i. e. not grudgingly, or out of constraint, necessity (as if forced to do it) ; for God loves a cheerful giver (one who acts with alacrity; iגapós, only here in N. Test.; cf. iגapórクs, Rom. 12. S). Quoted from the LXX., Prov. 22. S, but wanting in our prosent Hebrew text. Cf. Ex. 25. 2.
 (with reference here to the collection for the poor) abound in you, that in everything, alzuays, wuith every sufficiency (lit. having evory sufficiency) ye may abound (entering) into every work (that is) good (the reference still being to acts of benevolence). Note the emphatic words, - $\pi a v \tau l$ táv-



He (the man who fears the Lord) hath scattered abroad, he hath given to the poor ;
His righteousness abides forever.
(The latter thought is the important one and is to be taken in its fullest sense.) He has scattered abroad, - a metaphor taken from the sowing of seed. His righteousness, as exhibited and proved in his beneficence.
 sous and bread for eating (or bread for food: Bpêats in actual use nearly $=$ Bр $\omega \mu a$, see Lex. Th.) zuill supply ( $\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$. Above in $\epsilon \pi \pi-\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$, the prep. signifies direction towards w . $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau \iota$ ) and maltiply your seed for sowing ( $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho \mu a$, that which is sown, seed; $\sigma \pi$ ópos, the act of sowing, seed
 the fruits (lit. the products) of your righteousness. Cf. Is. 55. Io. All this
 ye are enriched (pres. are being enriched) unto (entering into) all liberality.

 through us (the persons who convey the bounty) thanksgiving (on the part of those who receive it) to God.
 of the preceding clause) the ministration (on your part) of this service (this public religious service. See Lex. $\lambda$ eıtoupria) is not only supplying the
wants of the saints, but is also abounding through many thanksgivings to God: : $\pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon v^{\prime}$ ova $\alpha$ is understood not only of quantity but also of quality in connection with the words following: "a quality full of blessing, in that it brings forth many thanksgivings to God," Meyer.
 verse II), since they, or while they (particip. denoting time or cause), through the proof of this service (i.e. the proof of you furnished by this service), glorify God for the obedience (the subjection, Alf.) of your confession unto the gospel of Christ and for (sc. èri, expressed before) the liberality (openness of heart, sincerity) of your contribution for them and for all (a sharing, communion, contribution, to en'er into the midst of them, and thus supply their wants: $\operatorname{\epsilon is} \pi$ ád $\nu \tau a s$, this same spirit of liberality being exhibited towards all men).

Vv. 14, 15. kal av̉rஸ̂v . . . ėmıाँoӨov́vtcv (const. again changed from סogásovtes to the gen. abs.) while they themselves also (the Christians in Jerusalem) with supplication (prayer to God) for you long for you (the longing of pious thankful love for personal fellowship with the brethren far distant, Meyer), on account of the exceeding grace of God (bestowed) upion you (as is evidenced by this great liberality). - xápıs $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta} \theta \in \hat{\omega} \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$., Thanks be to God for his unspeakable (indescribable) gift ; 一 to be taken here in the widest sense; the gift of his son as a Saviour ; - a thought naturally suggested in the connection : often occurring to the mind of the apostle and to every true Christian.

Chapters X. - XIII. The third general division of the epistle; his defence against the opponents of his claims as an apostle.

Chap. X. Vv. I, 2. Introductory words. Vv. 3-8. Paul presents against his opponents the power of his work as an apostle; repelling, in Vv. 9-I I, the representation that he was strong only in his letters. Vv. iz-I6. The different modes of self-judgment as adopted by himself and his opponents; then in $\mathrm{Vv.17}, 18$, the Christian standard of glorying is held up before them.
 (presenting with emphasis his own individual personality), exhort you by the meekness and gentleness of Christ. Cf. Matt. II. 29, 30; $\delta 1 \alpha$ w. the gen. here, cf. Rom. I2. I, using these traits of character as a motive. - ôs кãd
 in personal appearance, Alf.; woho to the face, Meyer,) am lowily, humble, among you (opposed to the idea arrogint). I understand $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ ós in this sense, rather than that of mean, cringing ; as some understand it, used with irony as the representation of his adversaries. It is altogether un-
warrantable to infer from this expression that Paul was actuaily inferior in his personal appearance. - ámढ̀v $\delta \underset{\text { è Cappê } \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \text {., but being absent am }}{ }$ courageous towards you, - $\operatorname{a\alpha p\rho } \hat{\omega}$ in contrast w. זameıvós.
 тapฆेv ктє., yer, I entreat (yout) that I may not when present (at my next visit) be courrageous with the confuldence with which I count (calculate) to be bold agrainst some persons, those who take account of us as if we were walking according to the flesh. - $\tau$ ó makes definite and emphasizes the clause following it: $\pi a \rho \omega{ }^{2} v$ agrees w. the subj. of $\theta a \rho \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$, which is the same as that of the governing verb $\delta$ éo $\mu \alpha$, hence in the nom. : $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \alpha$, to be boll , during; a stronger word than $\theta$ app $\hat{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{al}$, to be confident, of good countge. ஸ́s кarà $\sigma$ ápкa $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau o u ̂ v \tau a s$, as if warlking, living, according to the flesh, i. e. as unconverted men live. There were some in the Corinthian church, as it appears from this and other similar statements, who thought Paul to be a shrewd worldly man, seeking his own selfish interests, and trying to deceive others.
 cessive ; also temporal) we walle in the flesh (i. e. though, or while, we are still in this mortal body), we do not carry on our wardeure according to the flesh (that does not furnish the guiding principles of our warfare.) - $\tau \mathbf{i}$
 warfare are not of the flesh (fleshly), but (are) fowerf ful before God ("in his estimation, after his rule of warfare." Alf.), to the casting doun of strongholds (the demolition of military bulwarks). - Note $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i a ̂ s$, fr. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i a ́$, army; in the sense apparently of $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i \alpha-a s$, zuarfare, military service. - $\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, before God, in the divine ricau. Often in this sense in the N. T. Cf. especially $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon i \hat{o} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, Acts 7.20 . The human view is often erroneous; the divine view never.
 9) $\kappa \tau \in \in$., casting dowin reasoningss (such as the Greek philosophers had engaged in for centuries, which were by no means favorable to Christianity. Explanatory of $\pi \rho \dot{s}$ кäaipecov ỏxupwuár $\omega \nu$ ) and every lofty thing which is being raised on high (or as mid. which is raising itself on high) in opposition to the knowledge of God (the true knowledge of him as revealed in the gospel) and leading captive every thought (everything held in the mind, vónua) into the obedience of Christ ( $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ Xpıavô̂, objective gen., into sulbjection to Christ, Alf.), and having in readiness (being ready) to avenge (punish) czcry disobedience, zohen your (emphat.) obedience shall have been fulffilled (i. e. being ready to inflict punishment, when ample opportunity has been offered them to join the ranks of the obedient. Alf.).
 possible; - to view the sentence as a question or as a direct statement;
to understand $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ as indic. or as impv. ; to translate $\boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi \pi \nu$, before your face, or after the outward aptearance. Each is intelligible. On the whole I prefer the rendering, - Do ye look on things after the outward appearance? So Alf., Kling, B. U.; or, Do ye look on that which lies before the eyes? So Meyer. Cf. кaтà đןó $\sigma \omega \pi \boldsymbol{\nu}$, verse I. The difference between these two renderings is not important. The apostle suggests, judging in this manner, even by the outward appearance, though that is humble, yet he will be able to substantiate his claims to be an apostle of Christ, war-
 trusts to himself that he belongs to Christ. From I Cor. I. 12, it appears that some in the Corintlian church claimed for themselves a special near-
 (If this be so) let him consider this again by himself, that even as he himself belongs to Christ, so we also (in this he can claim no superiority).

Vv. 8, 9. His apostolic authority greater than he had hitherto asserted
 deed if I glory somezwhat more abundantly concerning our authority, which the Lord gave for buildings you up and not for custing you down (more lit. with a view to your up-building, not with a viezo to your demolition) I shall
 'Tisch.; yet W-H. and Tregelles read $\kappa \alpha v \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha t$, aor. subjunc. the regular const. The difference in sound (not perceptible in modern Greek) was so very slight, in prose especially, that a transcriber might easily make
 deed. Cf. Rom. I. 26; 7. 7. - ii, as to something, somewhat. - $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}$, obj. of

 ashamed) that I may not seem as if I would terrify you by my letters. From all this, - from being made ashamed and from seeming as if I would terrify you, from being thus placed in a false light, - the Lord, whose apostle I am, will safely keep me. This const. seems preferable. So Meyer, Kling, et al. Many however supply something before iva $\mu \grave{\jmath} \delta o \delta \xi \omega \kappa \tau \mathcal{\epsilon}$. Thus, - "I say this, because I wish not to seem" etc. So Alf. et al. - ws àv éкфоßeiv ipuces, as if I zuould terrify your; $\dot{\omega}$ s à $\nu$, in later Greek, = Lat. tanquam, quasi.

Vv. io, in. ötu (may be viewed as causal, or as declarative), Becouse, or It is a fact that. - ai $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \tau 0 \lambda a l ~ \mu \dot{\epsilon} v, \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., his letters, they say, are weighty and strong, $\phi \eta \sigma i \nu$ may be viewed as impers. ; equivalent to they say, sast $m$ man (Meyer), or $\tau$ is may be understuod, some one says, cf. $\tau i s$ verse $7, \delta$ tooovios verse II. The former is the usual explanation. - $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha$ apovoia $\kappa \tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, but his bodily presence (lit. the presence of his body) is weak and his speech (his address, Lat. oratio) utterly despised, set at naulght ( ${ }^{\xi} \xi-$ ov $\theta \in \nu \in ́ \omega$, fr. où $\left.\theta^{\prime} \nu=o \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu\right)$. We must not infer, as has often been done, from this
expression of Paul's enemies that he was in any respect inferior in his outward appearance. This false idea is directly contradicted in verse in.
 (any one who affirms this) considtcr this ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ouro, emphat. pointing to what follows, - just this) that such as we are in word through letters when absint, such also are we in deed (in woork) when present ( $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ eैp $\gamma \varphi$, emphat. posit.). Whatever his personal appearance may have been, there was nothing in it to interfere with the efficiency of his work. I regard the various traditions on this subject as utterly worthless and $\bar{\xi} \xi=v \theta$ ev $\eta \mu \dot{\mu} v \circ$ ot.
V. 12. ov่ $\gamma$ àp тo入 $\mu \omega \hat{\mu} \mu \nu \kappa \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}$, For (introducing a confirmation of what he has just said, and a rebuke of the standard of judgment among his adversaries) we are not bold to judge ourselves among, or to judgre ourselves with, certain of those who commend themselves (cf. ch. 3. I ff.). We make no comparison of ourselves with Apollos, or with Cephas, as our adversaries have done (cf. I Cor. ch. I). - à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ aủrol . . . $\sigma v \nu$ vâotv ( $\sigma u \nu-i \eta \mu t$ ), but they themselaes measuring themselves among themselves and judsring themselaes (or comparing themsiters) with themsilves do not act wisily (do not put things together properly).
 will not glory (entering) into the things zwithout measure, but (will glory) according to the measure of the line which God imptarted to us as a measure to reach even to you. Paul therefore recognized no standard of judgment or of measurement but that which God gave him ; and he found it sufficient in length to reach even to the Corinthians! - кav'心v, кavóvos, a measure, either a rod, rule, or a line; Meyer renders it here, Gränzlinie, boundary-line.
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, For (explanatory) we are not stretching ourselies overmuch (beyond bounds; beyond the measuring line given to us) as if not reaching into the midst of you (as if we had not yet reached into the midst of you and must make an effort to clo so) ; for as fir as to you also que came (see Lex. Th. $\phi 0 \alpha \nu \omega)$ in the gosfel (i. e. in preaching the gestel) of Christ; not (while entering) into the things without measure, glorying in the labers (toils) of other men ; but having a hote, when your faith increases, of being (lit. to be) mag. nificd among you according to our measuring line (that which God has given us, never beyond this) entering into an abundance (a wide field); into the resions beyond you to preach the gosfel; not, while entering into the thiners (i. e. the fields of labor) zowich are ready, to glory in another man's preceince (that which has been measured out for another man). With all

 to be magnifued, enlurged, made efficient in apostolic labor. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, et al. See Lex. Th. $\mu \in \gamma \pi \lambda \dot{v} v \omega$. This sense seems most
suitable in the connection；although the metaphorical meaning，Lat． celdibrari，may not be excluded．－èv à̉入oтpị́ кavóve，in another man＇s prozince，that which has been measured out for him；－the secondary sense of ка⿰訁ٌఎ．
 contrast with the glorying of the worldly－minded man）he who glories lit him glory in the Lord（＇̇v кupi ，the sphere in which，and the only sphere in which a human being may glory：in the Lord，＂the Source of all grace and strength and success，＂Alf．）．Cf．Jer．9．23 ff．；i Cor．15．10．－oú
 that man is approved，but（the man）zohom the Lord commends（he is ap－ proved）．Sorechos，tested，tried，and approved．This will surely be the final verdict in regard to us all，whatever men may say of us now．

Chap．XI．The self－glorying of the apostle against his oppo－ nents with some reasons for it．
 $\grave{a} \nu \in ' \chi о \mu a \iota) \kappa \tau \epsilon \in .$, Would that ye zoould bear zuith me（connect $\mu \mathrm{ov} \mathrm{w}$ ．the verb） in a little foolishness（lit．in a little of etc．）：a form of wish indicating doubt whether it would be granted．In I Cor．4．S，$\partial \quad \phi \in \lambda o \nu$ w．the aor．；indi－
 or impv．），but ye even（kaí，intens．，really，indeed）do hear with me（as indic． Alf．，Meyer，Kling，B．U．，et al．）；or may indeed bear with me（as impv． Vulg．，Beza，Calvin，Beng．，IIofm．，et al．）．－̧ך $\bar{\lambda} \omega \hat{\omega}$ रàp ．．．$\eta_{\rho} \rho \mu \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ （ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \delta \omega)$ ）ктє．，For I am jealous in regard to you with a Godly jealousy（lit． a jealousy of God ；such as God has and such as he approves of．Cf．ch． I．I2，cỉıkpıveía $\tau o \hat{u} \theta \in o \hat{u}$ ），for I betrothed yout（this seems from the mean－ ing of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \delta \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ，also from the clause following，to be spoken of the engage－ ment rather than of the actual marriage）to one hushond（to be faithful to
 Christ．The church as a whole，by a figure of speech，is spoken of as the pure virgin betrothed to Christ．Cf．Eph．5． 25 ff．；Rev．19．7－9．
 means），as the serpent completcly deceived（ $\dot{\xi} \xi \eta \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ， $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$ intens．$\dot{\alpha} \pi a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ，to deceive）Eve in his craftiness your minds（or thoughts．Cf．ch．3．14，note ； 4．4）may be corvitted（ $\phi \theta a p i ̂$ in，$\phi \theta$ eipa，and turned）azury from your sim－ plicity（mental honcsty）tozurrds Christ（eis Xpıotov，while directing the thoughts into Christ，i．e．into his character）．It is suggested that Eve is here mentioned，instead of Adam as in Rom．5．12；I Cor．15．22，to preserve the figure of the bride in verse 2 ．

V．4． $\operatorname{\epsilon i} \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \kappa \tau \in$ ．，For if he who comes proclaims another Gesus（an－ other deliverer）whom we did not proclaim，or（if）yo receive another（and
different) spirit which ye did not receive, or another (and different) sospel which ye did not accept - кa入ิิs àvelx $\in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ye would bear with him honorably, right well; - severe irony; a fine thing it would be to bear with him! Note the change of const. fr. $\epsilon i$ w. the pres. indic. to the impf. $\alpha \nu \in i \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, sc. ă $\nu$. He begins the sentence with a simple supposition, but closes with the form of a supposition contrary to fact, thus making the irony more apparent. - Note the ordinary difference betw. ăd $\lambda o \nu$ another and Є̈тєpov, another with the idea different. - Note also кпрúб $\sigma \omega$, to procluim as a herald, to fublish. The definition preach is very properly omitted in Thayer's Lex. ; as that word has now come to be understood in a more limited sense, that of preaching the gospel, $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda i \zeta \omega$.

Vv. 5, 6. $\lambda$ oyí̧oual үàp ктє́,., For (introduces the confirmation of the thought suggested by the preceding irony; - viz. ye would act very unworthily, if ye should thus receive those who publish a different gospel, for) I consider that I am in nothing behind (inferior to) these arrogant afostle's (these cuermuck apostles, Alf.); - those who publish a different gospel. Such is the interpretation of this clause now generally received;
 But if (a further explanation of what he had just said) I am even rude, unskilled in steech (as my enemies represent. Cf. ch. IO. IO), yet (I am) not (such) in knowledse; (no indeed!) but in currything we have made (that fuct) flain among all men towurds you (in our relations to you, or havings gone among yout ; $\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s, \mathrm{sc} . \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$.
 objection by his opponents," Hodge) did I commit a sin in humblings myself (in making mysslf $\tau a \pi \epsilon \omega{ }^{\prime} s$, cf. ch. 10. 1) in order that you might be exalted? i. e. lifted from the degradation of heathen life to the high moral position of Christianity with its present blessings and its glorious hopes. It is probable that his enemies had actually cast reproach upon him on this account, and had found in the fact here stated a proof to their minds that he had not apostolic authority. The question as Paul puts it contains a very sharp point. - öть ктє́. (explanatory of $\dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a v)$, because (in vicau of the fact that), I preached to you the gostel of Godi gratuitously (zeithi-
 other churrches in tikings a support (the means of subsistence) for service to you. What could Paul mean in saying, I robbed other churches? Undoubtedly there was a keen irony in the expression which the Corinthians,
 voo, not the same as $\mu$ uroós, fay for scrvices, zuages; but "that part of a soldier's support given in place of pay," (Th.), rations ; hence, as here, the means of subsistence. Aid was furnished him by the churches in Macedonia, while he was laboring in Corinth; but even with such aid he sometimes felt the pressure of want for his daily comfort. - kal maposv
kTé，and being present with you and having fallen behind（in my resources）， I did not burden any mann（see Lex．кata－vapkáw，to zueiggh heavily upon，so as to create torpor，$\nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \eta$ ）．
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ，For（explanatory）my defciency the brethren having come from Alace－ donia supplied．The Corinthians would understand who were here re－ ferred to．－кai＇̇v mavтi ктé．，and in everything I carcfully gruarded（sce Lex．$\tau \eta \rho \epsilon \in \omega$ ）myself from being burdensome（lit．I carefully kept myself with－ out weight，not burdensome），and will（in the future）carefully keep myself．
 （ $๕ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，emphat．there exists）truth of Christ（i．e．such as exists in him）in me，that this glorying shall not be stopped in reference to me（фparnं $\sigma \in \tau a l$ ， Lex．фpá⿱宀⿻丷木⿴囗十 hedse being thrust into me，i．e．by any violent measures which my enemies
 pression more emphatic and at the same time less personal than $\epsilon \nu$ $\dot{v} \mu i v$ would have been．The thought of the sentence may be expressed thus：－As surely as there exists in me truth of Christ，so surely this glorying shall not be stopped etc．；or，so surely no man shall stop me ctc．

Vv．II，I2．Sıati；ötı oủk áyanต̂ ن́pâs；Why？（Is it）because I do not love you？God knozus．He thus anticipates and practically denies in the most solemn manner a suspicion of want of love for them that might arise
 expressing the same general thought，are admissible ；－But zohat I do，I zuill also continue to do，that I may cut off the occasion etc．（So Alf．，Meyer， Kling，R．V．，et al．）；or thus，－But wohat I do and weill continue to do，（is） that I may cut off etc．（So Luther，Erasm．，Ruickert，Ewald，B．U．，et al．）． －$\tau \grave{\eta} v \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi \circ p \mu \grave{\eta} v \kappa \tau \in \in$. ，the occasion of those who wish an occasion（i．e．an occasion to depreciate me and aggrandize themselves），in order that wherein they glory，they may be found even as we，i．e．that we may both be judged fairly according to the actual facts．

Vv．13－15．oi $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{\rho}$ тowôtol ктє．，For such men（fairly viewed，in the right light）are filse apostles，deccitful zoorkers（not merely deceitful，but ac－ tive in their deceit），transforminns themselves into apostles of Christ．And it is not a thing to zoonder at，a strange thing：for Satan himself（their mas－ ter）transformes himself into an angel of light（pres．is in the habit of doing this）；thus changing to all appearances his real character．God and his angels are represented as light and dwelling in light，cf．Ps．IO4． 2 ff．； Acts 12．7；Eph．5．8；I Thess．5．5；Satan is the power of darkness， Luke 22．53．－oủ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ a oîv $\kappa \tau \in \mathfrak{\epsilon}$. ．，It is not a great thing，therefore，if his ser－ vants also（as well as he）transform themselves（and appear）as servants of righteousness．Note the full force of $\mu \in \tau \alpha-\mp \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ So $\quad$ al．The simple verb
$\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau i\} o \mu a t$（not found in N．T．）would mean，to fïshion one＇s self；w． $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ ，Lat．trans，to change the figure of，to transform（Lex．Th．）．－$\delta$ táko－ vol，servants．The word ministers has now acquired a meaning so limited and technical，that it is no longer an adequate translation．－$\hat{\omega} v \tau \grave{v} \tau \in \dot{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{o s}$
 according to their works（their actual deeds；not according to outward ap－ pearances，while transformed as just described）．Note the word $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau$＇́dos， the end，endliches Schicksal（Meyer）；no change in the endless future．

Vv．16，17．Пá入ıv $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \omega$ ктє́．，Aggain I say＇（recurring to the topic in verse 1），let not any man think me to be without reflection，without intelli－ sence．The word foolish is positive，and does not make quite the same im－ pression as the Greek ä $\phi \rho \omega \nu$（fr．a priv．and $\phi \rho \eta \eta^{\prime}$, mind ${ }^{\prime}$ ）which is negative． Cf．ávóntos，Gal．3．1．－For the positive word in Greek，cf．$\mu \omega \rho{ }^{\prime} s$ s．Still， though the force of the Eng．word foolish is not just the same as that of ${ }_{\alpha} \phi \rho \rho \omega \nu$ ，yet it is perhaps in some passages our best rendering．The same remarks are true of the subst．áфpoovivn．－$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta \grave{~} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon$ ，but if ye do，i．e．if ye do thus think of me．After negative sentences，$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime}$ is used where we should expect simply $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$ ．The negative is not rendered，and the en－ tire clause may often be translated，otherwise，else．Cf．Matt．6．I；9．17； Luke $5 \cdot 36,37$ ；$\epsilon i \delta \bar{\epsilon} \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$ ，more emphat．than $\epsilon i \delta \frac{\delta}{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ ．See also the classic
 out intelligence，that I also（as well as they）may glory somezuhat（a certain little）．－кäv（＝кai ă $\nu$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ）suggests the ellipsis，even if（ye receize me） as one wuithout intelligence，（yet）receive me．－ô $\lambda a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，ov̉ kaтà кúpıov $\lambda a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\kappa \tau \in$ ．，What I speak（am speaking），I do not speak after the Lord（in accord－ ance with any direct instruction from him），but as it were in a state without reflection，without intelligence；im Zustand von Uuverstandig keit（Meyer），in this confidence of gloryins．s；comnect this clause in thought with the entire preceding part of the sentence．
 cording to）the flesh．Cf．ch．1． $17 ; 5.16 ; 10.2 .3$ ；кал⿳亠口冋 $\sigma$ d́pка here in substantially the same sense ；－＂in a spirit of fleshly regard；＂＂having regard to their extraction，achievements，etc．，＂Alf．－кáyळ̀ кavxท́бораи，
 For ye，being intelligent，cheerfully bear with those wiho are defcient in intel－ ligence；－introduced with sharp irony as a reason why they should bear
 For（additional reasons why they should bear with him）ye bear it（bear up under it，have patience ；cf．àvoxй）if any one enslaves you，if any one de－ vours you（strips you of your goods，Th．），if any one takes you（by force，or by craft ；catches you ；－a word used of hunters，fishermen etc．），if any one exalts himself（is proud，haughty，arrogant），if any one smite＇s you in the
face. Cf. Matt. 5. 39 ; Luke 22. 63, 64; Acts 23. 2. Note the repetition of $\epsilon \tau \tau$ s. Cf. I Tim. 5. ro. The last condition indicates the climax of forbearance. "That such violence might literally be expected from the rulers of the early Christian society, is also implied in the command in 1 Tim. 3. 3; Tit. I. 7, that the 'bishop' is not to be 'a striker.' Even so late as the seventh century the council of Braga, A. D. 675 , orders that no bishop at his will and pleasure shall stritie his clergy, lest he lose the respect which they owe him." Stanley.
V. 21. катவ̀ àтццíav $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., By way of disparagement (to myself) $I$ speak, as if (it were a fact) that we have been weak. This interpretation of кã̀े diтuiav, to (my) dispuragement, rather than to (your) disparagement, is now generally preferred. So Alf., Meyer, De Wette, Kling, et al. The older expositors, however, understood it in the latter sense. To (your) disparagennent I speak. So Chrys., Theod., Theoph., Pelag., Erasm., Calv., et al. Viewed in either way, the expression is quite intelligible; yet the former agrees better with the clause following it. The change from $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\prime} \gamma$, sing. to $\grave{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ( $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ), plur. is nothing unusual. Cf.
 in foolisluness I spcak (ironical, as the imputation of his enemies. Cf. his own assertion respecting himself in verse 16. $\mu$ 向 $\tau i s \mu \epsilon \delta o ́ \xi \eta$ ă apova єîval), $I$ also am bold.
Vv. 22,23. 'Eßpaiol єiovv; кảyต́ ктé., Are thcy Hebretus (the most ancient and venerable national name)? I also (sc. am a Hebrew). Are they Israelites (belonging to the theocracy. Cf. Rom. 9. 4 ff .) ? I also (am an Israelite). Are they Abraham's seed (sharers in the Messianic promises, cf. Rom. II. r; 9.7)? I also (am of the seed of Abraham). On the origin and signification of the word "Hebrew," see Bible Dic. Sıákovol Xpıotov̂ єioiv; ктє́., Ave they servants of Christ? being beside myself (out of my senses) I speak, I (am that) pre-eminently (vint́p, over and above). The following facts are proofs of this; and no one could deny their truth. - '̇v кótoos $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ ктé., in toils (hard labors) more abundantly (than they), in stripes (blows) more abundantly, in prisons above measure, in deaths (i. e. imminent danger of death) often. Cf. I Cor. I5. 31; z Cor. 4. II ; Rom. 8. 36. Note the reading of W-H., év фuдakais $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha i ̂ s$ í $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega s$. So R. V.

Vv. 24, 25. Some specific instances of the preceding. - ímò 'Iov\&aí $\omega v$ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau$ ákıs $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a ́ k o v \tau \alpha$ (sc. $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha$ 's) $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., Firom (lit. hy') the Fecus five times I received forty (stripes) save one ( $\mu i \alpha \nu, s c . \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) ; $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \mu i a \nu$, save, except, less, one. Lex. Th. III. 2. a. More than forty stripes in a punishment were not allowed by Jewish law (cf. Deut. 25.3), and so as not to go beyond the legal number they commonly inflicted but thirty-nine. None of these five scourgings are mentioned in Acts. Meyer suggests that Paul might number these among the $\theta$ ávacol, as it was no unusual thing for the
victim to die under the lash. Modern civilization, or rather Christian civ-
 $I$ was beaten with rods (sc. by the Roman magistrates. See Acts 16. 22, 23. The other two are not mentioned elsewhere). - ämak è $\lambda \wedge \theta \dot{a} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$
 $I$ suffered shipwreck. (Neither of these is elsewhere mentioned. The last
 $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} .$, a night and a day have I passed in the deep (probably clinging to some piece of the wreck). Note this use of $\pi o t \epsilon i v$, cf. Acts $15.33 ;$ Jas. 4.13 . The pf. $\pi \in \pi о i \eta \kappa a$ indicates his vivid recollection of the event. This whole passage suggests the thought, how many events in the life of Paul have found no record in this world's histories or biographies; and the same thing is still more emphatically true of the other apostles. Is there another, more perfect, record kept? See Rev.ch. 20. 12.

Vv. 26, 27. The line of thought in verse 23 resumed. Here, however, the prep. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is omitted. - ódoıторiaıs mo入入ákıs $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. (bear in mind that these datives are to be connected with $\dot{v \pi} \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ ف in verse $23, I$ am preeminently a seratant of Christ), in (or by) journeyings often, in dangers of rivers (gen. of source), in dangers of rolbers, in dangers from my kindred (the Jews), in dangers from (the) Gentiles, in dangers in the city (in Damascus, Acts 9. 23 ff.; in Jerusalem, Acts 9. 29; in Ephesus, Acts 19. 23 ff .), in dangers in the wilderness (or in the desert), in dangers in the sea, in dunters among false brethren (the climax in this category). - ко́тф каì
 R. V.; by labor and weariness, Alf.), in zuatchings (sleepless nights) often, in hunger and thirst, in fastings often (refers probably, following directly after $\lambda \iota \mu \hat{\kappa} \mathrm{kal} \delta i \psi \in \iota$, to voluntary fastings, Alf., Meyer, Kling, et al. Others, as De Wette, Stanley, think it refers to involuntary fastings, intensifying the preceding thought. Cf. ch. 6.5 , for the same diverse interpretations), in cold and nakedness (a want of sufficient clothing; not absolute nakedness. Cf. Lex. Th. $\gamma \nu \mu \nu$ ós, I. b. c.; also $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta s)$. With this description, cf. I Cor. 4. II ff.
 omitted, not mentioned (the extra duties, added to the things which I have above enumerated. See Lex. Th. $\pi$ aрєктós. So Alf., Meyer, Kling, et al.), I have (lit. there is to me) the daily pressure, the care (solicitude for) all the
 made to stumble, and I do not burn? - expressions of sympathy; and instances of the care for the churches. Every intelligent and faithful pastor now must have experiences similar to these.

Vv. $30,3 \mathrm{r}$. єi kauxâбlat $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \kappa \tau \mathfrak{e}$. A conclusion (without connective, asyndeton) from the preceding, from verse 23 ff . - If it is necessary to glory, I will glory in the things pertainings to my weakness, - very different
from the glorying of those who were opposed to him. - $\dot{\theta} \theta$ ès kal $\pi a \pi \eta{ }_{\mathrm{l}}^{\text {p }}$ ктє.., The God and Fathor of the Lord Yesus knowes, he who is blessed forevermore, that I do not speak falscly. This solemn asseveration, also uttered independently (asyndeton), as verse 30 , is in keeping with "the fervid and impassioned character of the whole passage."

Vv. 32, 33. ${ }^{\epsilon} v \quad \Delta \alpha \mu a \sigma \kappa \hat{\omega} \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$. A signal instance of danger and of providential escape. In Dimasius, the governor under Aretas ('A $\rho \in \epsilon \tau \alpha$, gen. Ist declens. masc.; nom. 'A $\rho \in \tau \tau a s$ ) the king was guarding the city of the Damascenes to take me ( $\pi$ เá $\alpha a t, \pi \iota a ́ \zeta \omega)$ and through a window in a basket I was let down (lowered, $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \sigma \eta \nu, \chi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega\right)$ through the wall (of the city) and escuped his hands. - Өupis, a little door, an opening. - oapyárך, a rope basket. Cf. $\sigma \pi v p i ́ s$, Acts 9. 25. - $\delta$ ì̀ $\tau 0 \hat{0}$ Teíxous. The houses and the wall of the city were often so united that in lowering him from an opening in the side of the house, he might be said to be lowered through the wall of the city. See view of Damascus in Smith's Bib. Dic., et al. - In this guarding the city, no doubt the governor and the Jews acted in concert. See Acts 9. 24. What a picture of trial have we in this chapter! In looking back from his present position in the heavenly world, does the apostle regret anything which he suffered for Christ ?

Chap. XII. Vv. i-Io. Visions of Paul, revelations, trials, assurances. Vv. ir-I8. Paul excuses his boasting; speaks of the signs of an apostle exhibited among them; and of his labors without compensation. VV. 19-2r. He does not vindicate himself for any other reason than for their good.
V. r. From the providential deliverance in Damascus, Paul proceeds to speak of other and still more remarkable experiences. - Kavxâo 0 aı $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\kappa \tau \mathcal{\varepsilon}$., It is necessary (a necessity brought on by the conduct of others towards me. See Lex. Th. $\delta \in \hat{\text { l }}, \mathrm{b}$.) to continue glorying (pres. inf.) ; it is not profitable indecd (i. e. it does not contribute to my own personal glory resulting from anything that I have myself done. It is the same as above, $I$ will glory in the things pertaining to my own weakness, ch. Ir. 30; and to the divine power) ; but I wivill procced to visions and revelations of the Lord (i. e. from the Lord). Note the correlatives $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu . . . \delta \delta^{\prime}$. The Greek text of this sentence is doubtful ; but adopting that which is now preferred by the best critics, the above interpretation seems to me the preferable one and most consistent with the connection.
 fourtecn years ago (whether in the body I knowe not, or out of the body I knowu not, God knows) zuas caught up eren to the third heaven. - ápmayévra ( $\dot{\rho}$ -
 in Christ fourtecn years ago . . such a one having been caught up etc. -
$\pi \rho o$ w. the gen. of time often = Eng. aso. Cf. Germ. vor. - Paul herè speaks of himself (a man in Christ) in the third pers. In this ecstasy the ego, $I$, disappears. - "̈ws $\tau p i ́ \tau o v ~ o u ́ p a v o \hat{v}$. This expression has led to much discussion with no definite conclusions. The simplest and most probable interpretation is to understand it as meaning "a high degree of celestial exaltation," Alf. In verses 3,4 , the idea is repeated with an important
 whether in the body or apart from the body I know not, God knows, that he was caught up into paradise and heard unspeakable words, which it is not
 another, but more definite, expression for éws rpliou oùpavoû, up ezen to the third (or a third) heaven, into paradise (or into the paradise). With words of the nature of proper names the article is often omitted in Greek, or if expressed is often not rendered into English. Some think the word paradise denotes a place different from the third heaven: but the entire statement is in such form that I must regard the two expressions as equivalent; or, at least, the latter (paradise) as situated within the third heaven. So Augustine, Estius, Calvin, Bengel, Alf., Wing, et al.

Vv. 5, 6. їாॄ̀p тov̂ тoเov́тov ктє́., Respecting such a person (in this exalted state) I will glory, but respecting myself (in my present, infirm, earthly, condition) $I$ will not glory except in my infirmities (ch. II. 30). All is an evident rebuke, which his adversaries would well understand, to all who glory while in this present imperfect state. - éàv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \in \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ (aor. subjunc.) $k \tau \varepsilon \in$. (I act thus voluntarily, even from choice), For if $I$ wish to glory (respecting myself), I shall not be foolish (without reflection), for I shall speak the truth (there will be reason in what I say). - фeío-
 lest some man regsarding me (looking into me) may estimate (me) beyond wulhat he sees me (to be) or hearrs from me (i. e. beyond the judgment which we form by the ordinary modes of estimating character, and thus may estimate me too highly; lest some one may make a grave mistake in this matter. The actual danger of such a mistake is seen in the occurrence at Lystra, Acts 14.8 ff . Perhaps the opposite danger of under-rating him was greater in Corinth ; but he did not wish to say anything which should lead them to any other than a fair estimate from their own personal observation.

Vv. 7, 8. каi тท̂ ขึтєрß૦入ท̂ ктє์, And (in these circumstances) that I might not be exalted overmuch (that I might not become vain and boastful) by reason of the excceding greatness of the revelations, there zwas given to me a thern in the fish. What was this thorn, or pointed stick, and who gave it? Paul does not answer these questions, and we need not go beyond what is written. That it was given for discipline is clistinctly stated; and that it was some physical malady, perhaps something that occasioned
sharp pain，seems also clear from $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa$ ，but what the malady actually was，no one now knows，though the Corinthians might know．The con－ jectures are various．Meyer enumerates a long list of them．The follow－ ing are the principal：－I．Spiritual assaults of Satan，who occasioned blasphemous thoughts ；stings of conscience over his earlier life ；entice－ ments to unchastity．－2．Conflicts with his adversaries who were in the service of Satan ；or the conflicts and straits occasioned by the duties of the apostolic office．－3．Severe bodily suffering（with hypochondriac melancholy），pain in the head，hæmorrhoids，falling sickness，epileptic attacks of cramp．For discussions of this subject，see on this passage Farrar，Lightfoot，Schaff（Popular Commentary on Galatians，p．33r ff．）． －ä $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda o s$ бatavâ（first declens．gen．），$\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} .$, a messenger of Satan（note this use of $\alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o s)$ that he（the messenger）might buffet me（strike me with the fist．See Lex．Th．кo入aфi $\oint \omega)$ ，that I might not be exalted over－ much．－vixìp tovitov тpis $\kappa \tau$ é．，Respecting this，thrice I besousght the Lord that it might depart from me，Alf．，Meyer，Kling，et al．，understand $\tau$ oúvou as referring to ä $\gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{=}$ os，and render，respecting this，thrice I besought the Lord that he might depart from me．The difference is not important．－ ròv kúpıov，the Lord．This is understood by many to mean the Lord Fesus；an instance of prayer offered directly to Christ．Verse 9 is thought to require this interpretation．So Alf．，Meyer，Kling，et al．Cf． Rom．10．12，13．Yet many（as Calvin，Neander，et al．）understand $\tau \delta \nu$ rúpoov here as meaning Gool the Fither；and this view is not inconsistent with verse 9 ．
 implies that what he said still holds good），My grace is sufficient for thee： for（explanatory）my power is made perfect（is carried out completely）in wealkness（the sphere in which the divine power works）．This implies that the agonizing and thrice repeated petition of the apostle Paul was not directly granted ；but in its place something far better，－the assur－ ance of divine grace and of its sufficiency．In what manner this assurance was given we are not informed．We only know the fact．In the vain and foolish attempt to ascertain precisely what the бкó入о廿 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa i ́ a c t u a l l y$ was，many persons lose sight of the valuable lesson which we may all draw from this passage．－$\eta \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ oûv $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$ ．，MIost gladly therefore（the changed feeling resulting from this divine response）will I rather slory in my infirmities（rather than continue to pray that the affliction might be removed from me），in order that the power of Christ may fix a ient（Lex． $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t-\sigma \kappa \eta \nu o ́ \omega)$ over me（Lex．$\grave{\epsilon} \pi i ́ \mathrm{w}$ ．acc．），may encamp over me．＂The choice of the word $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \delta \omega$ leads us to conclude that he had thought of the case as analogous to the Shechinah．＂Meyer．－ fore（a triumphant conclusion）I take pleasure（anl wedl content，Alf．）in infirmities，in insults，in necessities（necessitous circumstances），in persecutions
and straits, for the sake of Christ; for when I am weak, then am I powerful (then the power of Christ encamps over me, and I have an inward assurance of strength).
V. II. Paul now stands still and considers how much, from ch. II onward, he has said in his own commendation. This glance backward (over the first part of ch. 12) impels him to say $\gamma$ '́ $\gamma o \nu \alpha$ ă $\phi \rho \omega \nu$, but he then proceeds to justify himself and to attribute the blame to the readers
 glorying; -to be understood as ironical. Cf. ch. 11. 16; 12.6). Note the force of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ 'rova, I amb become, - a finished fact; but on whom does the responsibility rest? The answer follows; -ye compelled me, for Iought to have been commended by you ( $\sigma$ vilo $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a u$, pres. in respect to the leading
 үàp ívтép $\eta \sigma a$ ктé., for in nothing did I fall behind the overnuch (the pretentious, arrogant) apostles (cf. II. 5, note), if I am even nothing (or although I am nothing).
 firming the preceding statement), The signs indeed ( $\mu$ év, solitarium, Lex. Th.) of ant apostle ( $\tau o \hat{v}$, generic, 'ejus qui Apostolus sit,' Beng. (were wrought among you in all endurance (steadfustness) by signs and wonders and mighty works. Note here the three Greek words denoting miracles.
 For what is there in which ye were made inferior to the rest of the churches (lit. were made less in comparison with, or beyond etc.); except that I my.self did not burden yout (où катєעа́ $\kappa \eta \eta \sigma a$, ката. $\nu \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha ́ \omega$, did not weight heavily so as to benumb you, cf. ch. II. 8) ? - ímèp w. acc. after a word imply-
 sive me this injustice (injury, worong), - the severest irony.
V. 14. iSov̀ тpítov тоиิто ктє́., Behold this third (time) I am ready to come to your. Connect $\tau \rho i \tau o \nu \tau o \hat{\tau} \tau o$ ( nom . or acc. abs. Cf. I3. I) w. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \in i \hat{\nu}$. - kal ov̉ kazavapkฑ̆бш, and I zuill not burden you. On his two previous visits, he had not done so ; and he assures them he will not do it when he comes the third time. - ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \zeta_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \bar{\omega} \kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$, , for (a confirmation and reason) I seek not your possessions (the things belonging to you) but you (your spiritual interests, that you may become thoroughly devoted to Christ). -
 principle) it is not a duty of the children (or lit. the children ouchit not) to lay up treasure for the parents, but the parents for the children. The Corinthians sustain to him the relation of children; - a frequent figure of speech in the N. Test. He sustains to them the relation of father (cf. I Cor. 4. 15) ; and hence seeks to accumulate for them the treasures of the Messianic kingdom.


- And I most gladly will spend (whatever treasures I have accumulated) and will be completely spent (myself personally) for the sake of your souls. Note the intens. ék in compos. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i} \pi \in p \iota \sigma \sigma \circ \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ ктé., Paul's devotion to them, his willingness to spend and be completely spent for their souls, leads to the question, - If I love you more abunduntly, am I loved the less ? - a pointed question which needed no answer. - रोणनov, less, or the less, as a result of my devotion to you.
 (emphat.) did not burden you: but (some one may think) being crafty (cumning ) I caught you (a metaphor taken from hunting, cf. II. 20), by artifice (deceit), i. e. in order to gain some personal ends in some way; - a natural suggestion for a worldly man to make; one who had no true conception of Christian character, such as that of Paul. - $\mu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \downarrow \boldsymbol{v a} \hat{\omega} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \lambda \kappa \alpha$ ( $\mathfrak{a} \pi o-\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \omega) \kappa \tau \epsilon .$, As it respects any one of those whom I have sent to you, did I throusth him take advantage of you (over-reach you, so as to get money or any personal advantage from you) ? - the neg. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ anticipating a neg. answer. - $\tau \iota \nu \alpha ́$ without governing word: the thought resumed in $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o \hat{v} .-\widehat{\omega} \nu$ attracted to the case of the omitted antecedent, $=\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ cüs. — тарєка́入єซа Tíтоv ктє́., I exhorted Titus (to visit you) and I sent with him the brother. Did Titus take advantage of you in anything? - the neg. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ again suggesting a neg. answer. - ov̉ . . . $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \in \omega)$; Did we not walk in the same Spirit? in the same steps ? - the neg. ov, repeated for emphasis, suggesting an affirmative answer. The visit of Titus here referred to probably the one from which he returned with the report of their penitence. Cf. 7. 6 ff . The brother here referred to without name was doubtless known to the Corinthians, though not known to us; perhaps one of the two mentioned in ch. $8.18,22$, but that is entirely uncertain. He evidently accompanied Titus in a subordinate capacity.
V. 19. Пá入aı סокєitє ठ̈ть ктє́., Ye have long been thinking that we are defending ourselves (excusing, justifying ourselves) to you, i. e. that our own reputation with you was the leading thought in our minds. - $\quad$ ádal w. the pres. a classic idiom, rendered as above. Good., Had. - катє́vavtı
 that erroneous thought probably existing in their minds) In the presence of God in Christ we speak (cf. ch. 2. 17) ; but all thinsss, beloved, for your edifying (i.e. we are not trying to defend ourselves before you and establish our own reputation; but we solemnly affirm that we speak all things for your sake, to establish you in the Christian faith). - тà $\delta \dot{\text { t }} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ávтa, sc. $\lambda a \lambda o v \hat{\mu} \epsilon \nu$. So Meyer, Kling, Alf. (doubtful). Some however understand

V. 20. фоßov̂mal үàp $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \pi \omega s$ к $\dot{\varepsilon}$., For (the reason for what he has just said; - all things for your edifying) I fear lest in some zeray (possibly)

I may on my arrival（lit．having come）find you not such as I wish，and I may be found in relation to you such as yous do not wish．－єivpa，aor．sub－ junc．act．；єن́ps $\theta \hat{\omega}$ ，aor．subjunc．pass．of єن́píซк．－oîov，such a person as， obj．of $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \in \hat{i} \nu$ understood $w$ ．où $\theta \in ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．＂He here completely and finally throws off the apologist and puts on the Apostle．＂Alf．－$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ 登pls $\kappa \tau$ e．，lest in some way（there may be）strife，joalousy，angry＇fassions，fuctions
 ing against，defamation），whisferings（secret slandering $)$ ，sweellingss（ $\phi$ voiwots， a puffing up of soul，Vulg．inflatio），disturbances（disorders）．
 aggain，my＇God will humble me before you，or lest agrzin when I have come etc．，－connecting $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ with the entire phrase é $\lambda \theta o \dot{\nu} \tau \tau o s . . . \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon เ \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$
 put to the blush（Th．）．Note the fut．$-\sigma \epsilon t$ ，indicating it is thought a degree of probability，and forming a climax in the apostle＇s expression of anxiety． Yet this point is not certain，as the change from $-\sigma \eta$ aor．subjunc．to $-\sigma \in t$ fut．indic．was most easily made by a transcriber；since for a long period no difference in sound has been made by the Greeks between the two diphthongs $\epsilon \iota$ and $?$ ？．－$\pi$ pòs $\hat{v} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}$ ，before your，in my relation to you，with respect to you．－кal $\pi \in v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$（either fut．indic．or aor．subjunc．in form） тo入入ov̀s $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$ ．，and that I shall betuail（mourn for）many of those who haze sinned before and have not repented of（ė $\pi i$ ，more lit．for，on account of）the uncleanness and fornication，and licentiousness which（ $\hat{\eta}$ ，attracted to the case of the anteced．）they committed．－$\tau \omega \nu \nu \rho о \eta \mu a \rho \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$（ $\pi \rho o-a \mu a \rho-$ $\tau \alpha \nu \nu)$ ，pf．particip．，of those who haze sinned before and continued to sin up to the present time．－кal $\mu \eta े \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma a ́ v \tau \omega \nu\left(\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-\nu \sigma^{\prime} \omega\right)$ ，aor．particip．， and have not repented（as a finished act）；$\mu \in \tau \alpha-v o \neq \omega$ only here in Paul＇s epistles．Elsewhere in the N．T．w．$\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ or $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma}^{\prime}$ ．Hence Meyer joins $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$ ．with $\pi \in \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ ，that I shall beiuail many of etc．for the uncleanness etc． which they committed．This const．certainly seems probable．Alf．objects that the aor．$\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\pi} \rho a \xi a \nu$ forbids this const．；but his objection is not valid，as the aor．is often used where in English and most modern languages the perfect would be used．

Chap．XIII．Vv．i－io．The thought begun in ch．12．19，con－ tinued．He forewarns them of the severity which he would exer－ cise in proof of his apostolic authority ；but exhorts them to test themselves，and so not to subject him to this proof．Vv．II－13． Concluding promise，greeting and blessing．
V．I．Tpítov rov̂to ínom．or acc．abs．）ÉpXoudı ктєٔ，This third time I am coming to your．Cf．ch．12．14．When the second visit was made is matter of doubt，as no account of it is given in Acts．The opinion of Dr．

Wing, translator of Kling's commentary, is probably correct:- "We know that Paul resided at Ephesus during the whole time between his first visit to Corinth and his journey through Macedonia, during which journey he wrote our present Second Epistle . . . As Ephesus and Corinth were the usual points of transit between Asia and Europe, Paul might easily have made a brief visit of the kind supposed ; but as it was attended with no special results, it was not mentioned in the Acts. The shipwrecks and disasters at sea mentioned in 2 Cor. If. 23-28, indicate that Paul must have made several voyages, during his missionary life,
 verbal testimoony, lit. the mouth) of two witnesses and of three (кai introduces $\tau \rho i \omega \bar{\nu}$ with emphasis, certainly of three), every zuord shall be established. Quoted fr. Deut. 19. I5. This is usually understood of Paul's successive visits and his own testimonies and warnings ; yet Meyer, Alf., Kling, understand him to mean by this quotation that he will, at his next visit, resort to the strictest legal proceedings. The older and prevailing opinion, that the two and three witnesses mean the two and three visits of Paul, seems the correct one. So Chrys., Calvin, Estius, et al.; and more recently, Neander, Olshausen, Ewald, Stanley, et al.
 second visit) and I (now) say beforehand, as when I was present the second time, even now being alsent. This is the usual const. Some, however, understand $\pi \rho o$ - in the secondary sense, openly, plainly. - $\tau$ oîs $\pi \rho \circ \eta \mu a \rho \tau \eta-$ кó $\sigma เ \nu(\pi \rho o-\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha, \nu \omega) \kappa \tau \epsilon$., to those who have sinned before or heretofore (cf. 12. 21), and to all the rest (all the members of the church who require warning, though they do not come under the head тoîs пропиа $\tau \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ), that if I come (shall have come, éde w. the aor. subjunc., often as a fut. pf.) again, I shall not spare. - eis tò $\pi$ ádıv (looking forward), into the (coming) again. Why Paul at his second visit had been indulgent is as little known to us, as why Luke in Acts makes no mention of that visit.
 shall not spare) since ye seek a proof of Christ speaking in me, - may mean either, a proof of the fact that Christ speaks in me ; or, a proof from Christ (given by him) that he speaks in me. The following clause favors the latter. - ôs єis ípâs $\kappa \tau$ é, woho towacrd you (entering into you) is not weenk, but is powerfful in you (dweelling in you). - кal үàp é $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \eta$ ( $\sigma \tau \alpha u \rho o ́ \omega)$ $\kappa \tau \hat{\epsilon} .$, for (confirmation of ôs . . oùk à $\sigma \theta \in \nu \in \hat{\imath} k \tau \tau \in \in$.) he zuas czen crucificd from weakness (é $\xi$, resulting from), but he lives by (as a result of) the tozver of God. - $\zeta \hat{\eta}(\zeta \dot{\alpha} \omega)$, pres. indic. Note the irregular contraction.
 being in him, are weak in the same manner as he was weak), but wee shall live zuith him (in the same manner as he) by the pozver of God (when we
have entered) among your. It will then appear that God's power is working in and through us.
V. 5. éautov̀s (emphat. posit.) $\pi \in\llcorner\rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., Try yourselzes whether ye are in the fuith. Instead of seeking a proof respecting me; a proof from Christ that he speaks in me, tryy yourselies, on this vital point whether ye
 yourseleres. Not only try yourselves, but as a result make the fact plain,
 (if you have any hesitation, any doubt about proving yourselves) do you not know (definitely) your own selaes that Christ Fesus is in yrou, unless indeed ( $\tau l$, to some extent) ye are not approved (Th.), unapprozed ( Wing), not abidings the proof(Alf.). Either of these is a far better rendering than the word "reprobate." Christ Jesus is in you, unless ye are mere pretenders, hypocrites.
 whatever may be the result in trying yourselves) that we are not without approzal (not mere pretenders, hypocrites, and that we are entitled to the
 Geòv ктé., Yet we pray to Goid that ye may not do any evil, not in order that aie may afpaar afproved. With oùx sc. è̀xóue日a, we do not offer this prayer in order that zue etc. - фаvติ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ (фaive) may aptear plainly, manifistly. - $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’$ 'va $\dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon i \bar{s} \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$., but (we offer this prayer for your sake) in order that ye may do (pres. tense, may do habitually) that zuhich is grood, honorable; and that we may be as if, in the judgment of men, without approcial, i. e. we are willing even to sacrifice our own reputation among men for your spiritual welfare.
 7) we are not able to do anything (cf. Lex. Th. Sivaual, c.) against the truth (in the N. Test. sense, the truth of the grostel), but for (in behalf of) the truth (sc. סvעd́ $\mu \in \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau$, wue are able to labor). Whe are not able, i. e. in consistency with our calling and work as an apostle; and this is a reason why we are willing even to make personal sacrifices to build you up and establish you in the faith. - xaipouєv үà $\kappa \tau \epsilon \in$., For (with these principles and motives) twe rejoice when we (emphat.) are weak, and ye (emphat.) are strong: this we also pray, for, - your perfecting, your complete and perfect

V. ro. Sià тov̂to . . . ypá ${ }^{\omega} \omega$ ктÉ., On this account (because we pray for your perfecting) I write these things being absent, in order that when present I may not use severity (may not treat you ziith severity). - xp $\bar{\sigma} \omega \omega$ -
 $\xi_{\xi} \xi_{0}=i a v k \tau \epsilon \in$., according to the authority which the Lond gaze to me for building up (for edification) and not for casting down (for demolition). If
he liad used severity, his authority might seem to be exercised contrary to the purpose of him who gave it, i. e. for casting down.
 left), brethren, fareziell (lit. rejoice), be perfected (pres. tense, indicating something progressive, cf. кaтáprıбıv, verse 9), be comforted and encouraged (both ideas in the one word $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ), keep in mind the same thing (cf. Phil. 2. 2. What this one and the same thing was, and is, to the Christian, cannot be a matter of doubt. Connect it w. árám $\eta \mathrm{s}$, and $\epsilon i p \eta-$ $\nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ w. єiphivns), be at peace (live in peace), and the God of love and peace will be wivith you ( $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \omega ิ \nu$, in the midst of you). Salute one another (note that all the preceding imperatives are in the pres. tense, indicating something to be continued: but here we have the aor. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$, spoken of a single act) zoith a holy kiss (the manner in wohich they were to salute one another; at that day, a frequent form of salutation among intimate friends). All the saints (in the neighborhood where the letter was written, in Macedonia) salute your. - 'H Xápıs $\kappa \tau$ é. (sc. c'ln, optat. of wishing), The grace of the Lord Fesus Christ, and the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Spirit (be) with you all. The most complete and solemn form of closing prayer in all the epistles of Paul; and hence very generally adopted in closing public worship. It would appear that Paul wrote this letter with his own hand (without an amanuensis), or at least with the aid of Timothy (cf. ch. I. 1); and this sentence is "the seal of holy apostolic love after so much severe censure, one thing for all," Meyer.

Note that the closing sentence is in the form of a wish or prayer; not of a "benediction," in the ordinary sense of the word, - "the act of blessing," Webster.

In what manner this epistle was received by the Corinthians we have no direct information ; but we may infer, from Acts 20. 2, 3, that it had the desired effect, enabling Paul to remain in Corinth three months, apparently in peace and Christian fellowship.

## THE END.
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